

FSD2356

Finnish EU Attitudes 2007

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish EU Attitudes 2007 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (13.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2356 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Finnish Business and Policy Forum EVA & Yhdyskuntatutkimus: Finnish EU Attitudes 2007 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-13). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2356>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	4
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	81
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	81
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	89
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	97
B	Questionnaire in Swedish	105

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish EU Attitudes 2007

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 2007

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (13.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Finnish Business and Policy Forum EVA
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Kiljunen, Pentti (Yhdyskuntatutkimus)

Date of deposit

19.5.2008

Keywords

attitudes; defence and state security policy; European integration; European Union; foreign policy; globalization; international relations; NATO; Russia; safety and security

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

Abstract

The survey charted Finnish public opinion on the European Union membership, foreign policy, the relationship between Finland and Russia, and the potential NATO membership.

The respondents were first asked how interested they were in matters related to the Finnish EU membership, and how well they thought they were informed about these matters. The survey also carried a number of statements relating to the EU membership and international issues, such as the EU membership fee, abolishing compulsory military service in Finland, defence policy, the relationships between Finland and the other Nordic countries, globalisation, various countries applying for EU membership, and climate policy.

Finnish foreign policy was canvassed by presenting the respondents with a list of current international issues (e.g. the relationships with Russia and the Baltic States, Nordic defence cooperation, increasing development cooperation funds, mediating international crises, preventing climate change) and asking them to what extent Finnish foreign policy should concentrate on each topic. The respondents also graded the bodies responsible for foreign policy in Finland

(the President, Prime Minister, Minister of Foreign Affairs, Minister of Defence, three last governments, etc.).

Some questions focused on the EU and its actions. The respondents' views were queried on changes in the EU's actions in view of Finland's influence, the strength of the Union as an economic actor in the world, and the openness/transparency of the Union. The respondents' opinions on the current questions connected to the relationship between Finland and Russia (e.g. Russian wood tariffs, other obstacles to trade, the St. Petersburg area's environmental load to the Baltic Sea) and the importance of solving them were charted. The potential effects of Finnish NATO membership on various issues, such as international influence, the share of defence expenditure in the budget, and the personal feeling of safety were also examined.

Finally, the respondents estimated the influence of globalisation on their work and gave their current opinion on the EU membership, the transition to the euro, and the EU enlargement in May 2004.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, age group, size of municipality of residence, region of residence (NUTS3), basic and vocational education, economic activity, industry of employment, occupation group, trade union membership, and which party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the survey.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People aged 18-70 resident in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 28.11.2007 – 23.1.2008

Data collector(s): Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Data producer(s): Finnish Business and Policy Forum

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2007 – 2008

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Response rate: 39.6%

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 153 variables and 1187 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Simple random

The original sample was randomly drawn from Finland's population register, and consisted of 3,000 Finnish and Swedish-speaking persons (the Åland Islands residents excluded) aged 18-70 and living in Finland. There were 1,187 respondents, and the sample is regionally and socially representative of the Finnish population.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The data do not include responses to an open-ended question asking for comments.

Related publications

Haavisto, Ilkka & Kiljunen, Pentti (2008). Kenen joukoissa seisot? EVAn Suomi, EU ja maailma -asennetutkimus 2008 [verkkodokumentti]. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti. Saatavissa: http://www.eva.fi/files/2166_k [viitattu 9.7.2008]

Kotiranta, Annu (2008). Kuka pelkää globalisaatiota? Suomalaisten pelot ja toiveet sekä toteutuneet vaikutukset. Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän tutkimuslaitos. ETLA Keskusteluaiheita nro 1167.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2356?lang=en&study_language=en

Related material

Haavisto, Ilkka & Kiljunen, Pentti (2008). Kenen joukoissa seisot? EVAn Suomi, EU ja maailma -asennetutkimus 2008 [verkkodokumentti]. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti. http://www.eva.fi/files/2166_k [viitattu 9.7.2008]

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1187
minimum	2356.00
maximum	2356.00
mean	2356.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1187
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question***FSD case id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1187
minimum	1.00
maximum	1187.00
mean	594.00
standard deviation	342.80

[Q1] At the moment, how interested are you in issues relating to Finland's EU membership?**Question***At the moment, how interested are you in issues relating to Finland's EU membership?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	7.2	–
Very interested, follow them actively	1	115	9.7	10.4
Fairly interested, follow them to some extent	2	607	51.1	55.1
Not very interested, don't follow them much	3	343	28.9	31.2
Not at all interested, don't follow them at all	4	32	2.7	2.9
Can't say	5	4	0.3	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q2] How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?**Question***How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	89	7.5	–
Very well informed	1	31	2.6	2.8
Fairly well informed	2	364	30.7	33.2
Not very well informed	3	574	48.4	52.3
Not at all informed	4	95	8.0	8.7
Can't say	5	34	2.9	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] The EU should have a common foreign policy in order to have credibility in international politics

Question

The EU should have a common foreign policy in order to have credibility in international politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	1.9	–
Strongly agree	1	230	19.4	19.7
Agree	2	494	41.6	42.4
Difficult to say	3	219	18.4	18.8
Disagree	4	158	13.3	13.6
Strongly disagree	5	64	5.4	5.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] The EU membership fees are far too high compared to the benefits gained by the membership

Question

The EU membership fees are far too high compared to the benefits gained by the membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.8	–
Strongly agree	1	463	39.0	39.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	305	25.7	26.2
Difficult to say	3	274	23.1	23.5
Disagree	4	96	8.1	8.2
Strongly disagree	5	28	2.4	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development

Question

Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
Strongly agree	1	169	14.2	14.6
Agree	2	433	36.5	37.4
Difficult to say	3	256	21.6	22.1
Disagree	4	210	17.7	18.1
Strongly disagree	5	91	7.7	7.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] Increasing immigration is an issue concerning all EU member states and taking care of it requires a common EU policy

Question

Increasing immigration is an issue concerning all EU member states and taking care of it requires a common EU policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	389	32.8	33.3
Agree	2	459	38.7	39.3
Difficult to say	3	148	12.5	12.7
Disagree	4	136	11.5	11.6
Strongly disagree	5	36	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] Finland should participate in international debate more bravely

Question

Finland should participate in international debate more bravely

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	13	1.1	–
Strongly agree	1	395	33.3	33.6
Agree	2	407	34.3	34.7
Difficult to say	3	214	18.0	18.2
Disagree	4	137	11.5	11.7
Strongly disagree	5	21	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] There is enough reliable information available to everyone about matters related to Finland's EU membership

Question

There is enough reliable information available to everyone about matters related to Finland's EU membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	178	15.0	15.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	309	26.0	26.4
Difficult to say	3	310	26.1	26.5
Disagree	4	258	21.7	22.1
Strongly disagree	5	115	9.7	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_7] Finland should leave the EU altogether

Question

Finland should leave the EU altogether

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.2	–
Strongly agree	1	220	18.5	18.8
Agree	2	119	10.0	10.1
Difficult to say	3	260	21.9	22.2
Disagree	4	214	18.0	18.2
Strongly disagree	5	360	30.3	30.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_8] Finland should follow Sweden's example and already begin to abolish compulsory military service

Question

Finland should follow Sweden's example and already begin to abolish compulsory military service

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.2	–
Strongly agree	1	107	9.0	9.1
Agree	2	127	10.7	10.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	127	10.7	10.8
Disagree	4	313	26.4	26.7
Strongly disagree	5	499	42.0	42.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_9] In all circumstances, Finland has to be capable of taking care of its own security, others cannot help us

Question

In all circumstances, Finland has to be capable of taking care of its own security, others cannot help us

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	12	1.0	–
Strongly agree	1	337	28.4	28.7
Agree	2	384	32.4	32.7
Difficult to say	3	143	12.0	12.2
Disagree	4	242	20.4	20.6
Strongly disagree	5	69	5.8	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_10] EU membership obliges Finns to comply with many norms and regulations which we do not want or need

Question

EU membership obliges Finns to comply with many norms and regulations which we do not want or need

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	9	0.8	–
Strongly agree	1	566	47.7	48.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	369	31.1	31.3
Difficult to say	3	110	9.3	9.3
Disagree	4	108	9.1	9.2
Strongly disagree	5	25	2.1	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_11] The actions and policies of the USA in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns

Question

The actions and policies of the USA in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	11	0.9	–
Strongly agree	1	17	1.4	1.4
Agree	2	76	6.4	6.5
Difficult to say	3	193	16.3	16.4
Disagree	4	404	34.0	34.4
Strongly disagree	5	486	40.9	41.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_12] Turkey's EU membership would be a good thing because it would help Islamic and Western cultures to understand each other

Question

Turkey's EU membership would be a good thing because it would help Islamic and Western cultures to understand each other

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	10	0.8	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	45	3.8	3.8
Agree	2	180	15.2	15.3
Difficult to say	3	340	28.6	28.9
Disagree	4	294	24.8	25.0
Strongly disagree	5	318	26.8	27.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_13] Finland has been able to influence decision-making in the EU**Question***Finland has been able to influence decision-making in the EU***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	12	1.0	–
Strongly agree	1	7	0.6	0.6
Agree	2	179	15.1	15.2
Difficult to say	3	267	22.5	22.7
Disagree	4	464	39.1	39.5
Strongly disagree	5	258	21.7	22.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_14] A common, strong EU foreign policy would also benefit Finland**Question***A common, strong EU foreign policy would also benefit Finland***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	186	15.7	15.9
Agree	2	428	36.1	36.6
Difficult to say	3	351	29.6	30.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	4	154	13.0	13.2
Strongly disagree	5	51	4.3	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_15] The EU should have a common defence

Question

The EU should have a common defence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.9	–
Strongly agree	1	133	11.2	11.4
Agree	2	351	29.6	30.2
Difficult to say	3	330	27.8	28.4
Disagree	4	225	19.0	19.3
Strongly disagree	5	125	10.5	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_16] If I did not know Finland is an EU member state, I would not easily notice it in my everyday life

Question

If I did not know Finland is an EU member state, I would not easily notice it in my everyday life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.3	–
Strongly agree	1	94	7.9	8.0
Agree	2	241	20.3	20.6
Difficult to say	3	134	11.3	11.4
Disagree	4	474	39.9	40.4
Strongly disagree	5	229	19.3	19.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_17] The EU must be the leading actor in international environmental policy

Question

The EU must be the leading actor in international environmental policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	348	29.3	29.7
Agree	2	464	39.1	39.7
Difficult to say	3	209	17.6	17.9
Disagree	4	103	8.7	8.8
Strongly disagree	5	46	3.9	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_18] The Prime Minister should represent Finland in EU summits instead of the President, because it would enable parliamentarism to work better

Question

The Prime Minister should represent Finland in EU summits instead of the President, because it would enable parliamentarism to work better

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	10	0.8	–
Strongly agree	1	268	22.6	22.8
Agree	2	262	22.1	22.3
Difficult to say	3	341	28.7	29.0
Disagree	4	192	16.2	16.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	5	114	9.6	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_19] Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have nowadays no reason to have a negative attitude towards their big neighbour

Question

Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have nowadays no reason to have a negative attitude towards their big neighbour

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	9	0.8	–
Strongly agree	1	219	18.4	18.6
Agree	2	443	37.3	37.6
Difficult to say	3	167	14.1	14.2
Disagree	4	259	21.8	22.0
Strongly disagree	5	90	7.6	7.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_20] Finland should join NATO

Question

Finland should join NATO

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	6	0.5	–
Strongly agree	1	122	10.3	10.3
Agree	2	133	11.2	11.3
Difficult to say	3	277	23.3	23.5
Disagree	4	171	14.4	14.5
Strongly disagree	5	478	40.3	40.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_21] It is Finland's responsibility to participate in international crisis management operations all over the world

Question

It is Finland's responsibility to participate in international crisis management operations all over the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.2	–
Strongly agree	1	127	10.7	10.8
Agree	2	417	35.1	35.5
Difficult to say	3	168	14.2	14.3
Disagree	4	327	27.5	27.9
Strongly disagree	5	134	11.3	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_22] Being a small country, Finland should be much more actively involved in EU policy-making to really be able to influence the Union's decisions

Question

Being a small country, Finland should be much more actively involved in EU policy-making to really be able to influence the Union's decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	16	1.3	–
Strongly agree	1	370	31.2	31.6
Agree	2	530	44.7	45.3
Difficult to say	3	195	16.4	16.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	4	63	5.3	5.4
Strongly disagree	5	13	1.1	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_23] Finland should increasingly manage its relationship with Russia through the EU, not directly

Question

Finland should increasingly manage its relationship with Russia through the EU, not directly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.8	–
Strongly agree	1	76	6.4	6.5
Agree	2	223	18.8	19.1
Difficult to say	3	343	28.9	29.4
Disagree	4	403	34.0	34.6
Strongly disagree	5	121	10.2	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_24] I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it

Question

I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	137	11.5	11.7
Agree	2	134	11.3	11.5
Difficult to say	3	225	19.0	19.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	4	210	17.7	18.0
Strongly disagree	5	462	38.9	39.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_25] Being a small country, Finland should not participate in solving the problems of various crisis spots around the world

Question

Being a small country, Finland should not participate in solving the problems of various crisis spots around the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	282	23.8	24.1
Agree	2	312	26.3	26.7
Difficult to say	3	188	15.8	16.1
Disagree	4	293	24.7	25.1
Strongly disagree	5	93	7.8	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_26] International terrorism poses no threat to Finland if we do not get involved in the conflicts of the world

Question

International terrorism poses no threat to Finland if we do not get involved in the conflicts of the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.5	–
Strongly agree	1	135	11.4	11.5
Agree	2	277	23.3	23.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	226	19.0	19.3
Disagree	4	339	28.6	29.0
Strongly disagree	5	192	16.2	16.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_27] The energy and environmental policy of the EU does not take into account the special circumstances in Finland and will therefore become excessively expensive for our country

Question

The energy and environmental policy of the EU does not take into account the special circumstances in Finland and will therefore become excessively expensive for our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	16	1.3	–
Strongly agree	1	421	35.5	36.0
Agree	2	426	35.9	36.4
Difficult to say	3	210	17.7	17.9
Disagree	4	87	7.3	7.4
Strongly disagree	5	27	2.3	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_28] The EU is too complicated and distant to be understood

Question

The EU is too complicated and distant to be understood

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	253	21.3	21.6
Agree	2	397	33.4	33.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	182	15.3	15.6
Disagree	4	273	23.0	23.3
Strongly disagree	5	65	5.5	5.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_29] The globalisation of international economy will increase global welfare

Question

The globalisation of international economy will increase global welfare

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.8	–
Strongly agree	1	60	5.1	5.1
Agree	2	228	19.2	19.6
Difficult to say	3	434	36.6	37.2
Disagree	4	314	26.5	26.9
Strongly disagree	5	130	11.0	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_30] EU membership has caused excessive problems for Finnish agriculture

Question

EU membership has caused excessive problems for Finnish agriculture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	382	32.2	32.6
Agree	2	366	30.8	31.3
Difficult to say	3	209	17.6	17.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	4	170	14.3	14.5
Strongly disagree	5	43	3.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_31] The Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems

Question

The Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.5	–
Strongly agree	1	257	21.7	22.0
Agree	2	394	33.2	33.7
Difficult to say	3	201	16.9	17.2
Disagree	4	267	22.5	22.8
Strongly disagree	5	50	4.2	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_32] Globalisation means that Finland will lose an increasing amount of jobs to so-called cheap labour countries

Question

Globalisation means that Finland will lose an increasing amount of jobs to so-called cheap labour countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	309	26.0	26.4
Agree	2	469	39.5	40.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	196	16.5	16.8
Disagree	4	161	13.6	13.8
Strongly disagree	5	35	2.9	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_33] The most important goal in Finnish foreign policy is to maintain good relationships with the USA and Russia

Question

The most important goal in Finnish foreign policy is to maintain good relationships with the USA and Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	239	20.1	20.5
Agree	2	419	35.3	35.9
Difficult to say	3	216	18.2	18.5
Disagree	4	249	21.0	21.3
Strongly disagree	5	45	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_34] I am satisfied with the actions of the current Government in EU issues

Question

I am satisfied with the actions of the current Government in EU issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	22	1.9	–
Strongly agree	1	45	3.8	3.9
Agree	2	297	25.0	25.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	320	27.0	27.5
Disagree	4	354	29.8	30.4
Strongly disagree	5	149	12.6	12.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_35] Russia has again become a self-conscious superpower to be reckoned with

Question

Russia has again become a self-conscious superpower to be reckoned with

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.7	–
Strongly agree	1	364	30.7	31.2
Agree	2	561	47.3	48.1
Difficult to say	3	166	14.0	14.2
Disagree	4	66	5.6	5.7
Strongly disagree	5	10	0.8	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_36] In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy

Question

In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	203	17.1	17.4
Agree	2	410	34.5	35.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Difficult to say	3	271	22.8	23.2
Disagree	4	226	19.0	19.3
Strongly disagree	5	58	4.9	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_37] Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it**Question***Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	16	1.3	–
Strongly agree	1	303	25.5	25.9
Agree	2	181	15.2	15.5
Difficult to say	3	329	27.7	28.1
Disagree	4	175	14.7	14.9
Strongly disagree	5	183	15.4	15.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_38] Epidemics, environmental pollution and natural disasters are greater security threats to Finland than acts of military aggression**Question***Epidemics, environmental pollution and natural disasters are greater security threats to Finland than acts of military aggression***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	336	28.3	28.7
Agree	2	467	39.3	39.9
Difficult to say	3	211	17.8	18.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	4	122	10.3	10.4
Strongly disagree	5	34	2.9	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_39] The EU member states should be able to influence the policy of the European Central Bank

Question

The EU member states should be able to influence the policy of the European Central Bank

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	231	19.5	19.9
Agree	2	410	34.5	35.3
Difficult to say	3	385	32.4	33.2
Disagree	4	85	7.2	7.3
Strongly disagree	5	50	4.2	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_40] Finnish foreign policy is nowadays weak and empty

Question

Finnish foreign policy is nowadays weak and empty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.5	–
Strongly agree	1	169	14.2	14.5
Agree	2	415	35.0	35.5
Difficult to say	3	293	24.7	25.1
Disagree	4	262	22.1	22.4
Strongly disagree	5	30	2.5	2.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_41] The internationalisation of Finnish companies supports employment in Finland as well

Question

The internationalisation of Finnish companies supports employment in Finland as well

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.8	–
Strongly agree	1	123	10.4	10.5
Agree	2	330	27.8	28.3
Difficult to say	3	264	22.2	22.6
Disagree	4	313	26.4	26.8
Strongly disagree	5	136	11.5	11.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_42] The Prime Minister should lead Finnish foreign policy instead of the President

Question

The Prime Minister should lead Finnish foreign policy instead of the President

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	179	15.1	15.3
Agree	2	208	17.5	17.8
Difficult to say	3	315	26.5	26.9
Disagree	4	283	23.8	24.2
Strongly disagree	5	185	15.6	15.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_43] It is daydreaming to think that Finland could enter into a military alliance only when a real threat is already present

Question

It is daydreaming to think that Finland could enter into a military alliance only when a real threat is already present

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.2	–
Strongly agree	1	326	27.5	28.1
Agree	2	315	26.5	27.1
Difficult to say	3	332	28.0	28.6
Disagree	4	131	11.0	11.3
Strongly disagree	5	57	4.8	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_44] Finland has nowadays relatively few common interests with the other Nordic countries

Question

Finland has nowadays relatively few common interests with the other Nordic countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.9	–
Strongly agree	1	59	5.0	5.1
Agree	2	295	24.9	25.3
Difficult to say	3	267	22.5	22.9
Disagree	4	409	34.5	35.1
Strongly disagree	5	134	11.3	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_45] Finland has no real military threats**Question***Finland has no real military threats***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	195	16.4	16.7
Agree	2	378	31.8	32.4
Difficult to say	3	233	19.6	19.9
Disagree	4	269	22.7	23.0
Strongly disagree	5	93	7.8	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_46] As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it would be safer for Finland to be a member of NATO than unallied**Question***As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it would be safer for Finland to be a member of NATO than unallied***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.5	–
Strongly agree	1	152	12.8	13.0
Agree	2	173	14.6	14.8
Difficult to say	3	290	24.4	24.8
Disagree	4	256	21.6	21.9
Strongly disagree	5	298	25.1	25.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_47] The Ukraine would become a suitable EU member state in the future

Question

The Ukraine would become a suitable EU member state in the future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	45	3.8	3.9
Agree	2	147	12.4	12.6
Difficult to say	3	545	45.9	46.7
Disagree	4	229	19.3	19.6
Strongly disagree	5	202	17.0	17.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_48] Russia is not a democracy according to Western standards

Question

Russia is not a democracy according to Western standards

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.7	–
Strongly agree	1	514	43.3	44.0
Agree	2	416	35.0	35.6
Difficult to say	3	169	14.2	14.5
Disagree	4	53	4.5	4.5
Strongly disagree	5	15	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_49] Even though Finland is part of the EU, Nordic cooperation is still very important to us

Question

Even though Finland is part of the EU, Nordic cooperation is still very important to us

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	1.3	–
Strongly agree	1	621	52.3	53.0
Agree	2	460	38.8	39.2
Difficult to say	3	65	5.5	5.5
Disagree	4	21	1.8	1.8
Strongly disagree	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_50] Finland should look out for its own national interests in the EU much more attentively**Question**

Finland should look out for its own national interests in the EU much more attentively

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.2	–
Strongly agree	1	669	56.4	57.0
Agree	2	370	31.2	31.5
Difficult to say	3	103	8.7	8.8
Disagree	4	25	2.1	2.1
Strongly disagree	5	6	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_51] Of all nationalities, Swedes are the closest to us**Question**

Of all nationalities, Swedes are the closest to us

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.2	–
Strongly agree	1	243	20.5	20.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	428	36.1	36.5
Difficult to say	3	304	25.6	25.9
Disagree	4	162	13.6	13.8
Strongly disagree	5	36	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_52] Finland has the moral responsibility to be in the vanguard of tackling the climate change

Question

Finland has the moral responsibility to be in the vanguard of tackling the climate change

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	304	25.6	26.0
Agree	2	416	35.0	35.6
Difficult to say	3	176	14.8	15.1
Disagree	4	197	16.6	16.9
Strongly disagree	5	75	6.3	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_53] The President's role in foreign policy should be strengthened in order to secure the continuation of Finland's line

Question

The President's role in foreign policy should be strengthened in order to secure the continuation of Finland's line

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	169	14.2	14.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	228	19.2	19.5
Difficult to say	3	410	34.5	35.1
Disagree	4	273	23.0	23.4
Strongly disagree	5	88	7.4	7.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_54] Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia

Question

Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	168	14.2	14.4
Agree	2	268	22.6	22.9
Difficult to say	3	256	21.6	21.9
Disagree	4	361	30.4	30.9
Strongly disagree	5	117	9.9	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_55] It is not worthwhile for Finns to invest in Russia, because ownership is uncertain there

Question

It is not worthwhile for Finns to invest in Russia, because ownership is uncertain there

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.8	–
Strongly agree	1	152	12.8	13.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	309	26.0	26.5
Difficult to say	3	304	25.6	26.1
Disagree	4	333	28.1	28.6
Strongly disagree	5	68	5.7	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_56] Sweden is no longer more than one EU state among others to Finland

Question

Sweden is no longer more than one EU state among others to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.5	–
Strongly agree	1	81	6.8	6.9
Agree	2	265	22.3	22.7
Difficult to say	3	220	18.5	18.8
Disagree	4	446	37.6	38.2
Strongly disagree	5	157	13.2	13.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_57] China is becoming a superpower equal to the USA not only in economy but also in international politics

Question

China is becoming a superpower equal to the USA not only in economy but also in international politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	19	1.6	–
Strongly agree	1	271	22.8	23.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	503	42.4	43.1
Difficult to say	3	259	21.8	22.2
Disagree	4	116	9.8	9.9
Strongly disagree	5	19	1.6	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_58] The increasing Russian ownership in Finland is worrying**Question***The increasing Russian ownership in Finland is worrying***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Strongly agree	1	346	29.1	29.6
Agree	2	341	28.7	29.1
Difficult to say	3	216	18.2	18.5
Disagree	4	211	17.8	18.0
Strongly disagree	5	56	4.7	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q3_59] Finland could learn much from the dynamic economy of Estonia**Question***Finland could learn much from the dynamic economy of Estonia***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	18	1.5	–
Strongly agree	1	88	7.4	7.5
Agree	2	244	20.6	20.9
Difficult to say	3	530	44.7	45.3
Disagree	4	232	19.5	19.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly disagree	5	75	6.3	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Strengthening the roles of the UN and other organisations

Question

In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Strengthening the roles of the UN and other organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.4	–
More than at present	1	406	34.2	35.1
The same amount as at present	2	572	48.2	49.4
Less than at present	3	43	3.6	3.7
Can't say	4	137	11.5	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Direct relationships with other countries, also outside organisations

Question

In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Direct relationships with other countries, also outside organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.4	–
More than at present	1	313	26.4	27.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The same amount as at present	2	592	49.9	51.6
Less than at present	3	77	6.5	6.7
Can't say	4	165	13.9	14.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_3] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with Russia

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.9	–
More than at present	1	292	24.6	25.1
The same amount as at present	2	751	63.3	64.5
Less than at present	3	54	4.5	4.6
Can't say	4	67	5.6	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_4] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with the USA

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with the USA

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.0	–
More than at present	1	215	18.1	18.5
The same amount as at present	2	748	63.0	64.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Less than at present	3	136	11.5	11.7
Can't say	4	64	5.4	5.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_5] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cooperation with the Baltic States

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Co-operation with the Baltic States

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.2	–
More than at present	1	449	37.8	38.7
The same amount as at present	2	603	50.8	51.9
Less than at present	3	36	3.0	3.1
Can't say	4	73	6.1	6.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_6] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cooperation with the Nordic countries

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Co-operation with the Nordic countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.1	–
More than at present	1	619	52.1	53.3
The same amount as at present	2	486	40.9	41.8
Less than at present	3	14	1.2	1.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	43	3.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_7] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Obtaining firm security guarantees

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Obtaining firm security guarantees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
More than at present	1	383	32.3	33.0
The same amount as at present	2	502	42.3	43.3
Less than at present	3	62	5.2	5.3
Can't say	4	212	17.9	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_8] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cherishing military non-alignment

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cherishing military non-alignment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.5	–
More than at present	1	333	28.1	28.8
The same amount as at present	2	499	42.0	43.1
Less than at present	3	201	16.9	17.4
Can't say	4	124	10.4	10.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_9] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of NATO membership

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of NATO membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.3	–
More than at present	1	207	17.4	17.8
The same amount as at present	2	248	20.9	21.4
Less than at present	3	526	44.3	45.3
Can't say	4	179	15.1	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_10] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Nordic defence cooperation

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Nordic defence cooperation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.0	–
More than at present	1	489	41.2	42.0
The same amount as at present	2	534	45.0	45.9
Less than at present	3	45	3.8	3.9
Can't say	4	95	8.0	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_11] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of free world trade

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of free world trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.3	–
More than at present	1	309	26.0	26.6
The same amount as at present	2	575	48.4	49.6
Less than at present	3	135	11.4	11.6
Can't say	4	141	11.9	12.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_12] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of fair globalisation

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of fair globalisation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
More than at present	1	516	43.5	44.5
The same amount as at present	2	447	37.7	38.6
Less than at present	3	71	6.0	6.1
Can't say	4	125	10.5	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_13] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Increase in development cooperation funds

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Increase in development cooperation funds

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.5	–
More than at present	1	296	24.9	25.6
The same amount as at present	2	520	43.8	44.9
Less than at present	3	243	20.5	21.0
Can't say	4	98	8.3	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_14] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Securing Finland's national benefits

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Securing Finland's national benefits

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.2	–
More than at present	1	776	65.4	66.8
The same amount as at present	2	338	28.5	29.1
Less than at present	3	6	0.5	0.5
Can't say	4	41	3.5	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_15] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Defending human rights all over the world

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Defending human rights all over the world

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.5	–
More than at present	1	608	51.2	52.5
The same amount as at present	2	459	38.7	39.7
Less than at present	3	52	4.4	4.5
Can't say	4	38	3.2	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_16] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Mediating international crises

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Mediating international crises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.2	–
More than at present	1	190	16.0	16.4
The same amount as at present	2	734	61.8	63.2
Less than at present	3	169	14.2	14.6
Can't say	4	68	5.7	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_17] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Participation in military crisis management

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Participation in military crisis management

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.1	–
More than at present	1	76	6.4	6.5
The same amount as at present	2	677	57.0	58.3
Less than at present	3	324	27.3	27.9
Can't say	4	85	7.2	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_18] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Traditional peacekeeping and civilian crisis management

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Traditional peacekeeping and civilian crisis management

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.4	–
More than at present	1	197	16.6	17.0
The same amount as at present	2	826	69.6	71.3
Less than at present	3	77	6.5	6.6
Can't say	4	58	4.9	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_19] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Tackling climate change

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Tackling climate change

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	2.0	–
More than at present	1	694	58.5	59.7
The same amount as at present	2	367	30.9	31.6
Less than at present	3	65	5.5	5.6
Can't say	4	37	3.1	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q4_20] In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Solving environmental problems in the Baltic Sea

Question

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Solving environmental problems in the Baltic Sea

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.7	–
More than at present	1	889	74.9	76.2
The same amount as at present	2	213	17.9	18.3
Less than at present	3	28	2.4	2.4
Can't say	4	37	3.1	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_1] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.0	–
Very good	1	80	6.7	7.0
Fairly good	2	884	74.5	76.8
Fairly bad	3	119	10.0	10.3
Very bad	4	5	0.4	0.4
Can't say	5	63	5.3	5.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_2] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: President Tarja Halonen

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: President Tarja Halonen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
Very good	1	334	28.1	28.8
Fairly good	2	559	47.1	48.2
Fairly bad	3	158	13.3	13.6
Very bad	4	47	4.0	4.1
Can't say	5	61	5.1	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_3] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Matti Vanhanen

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Matti Vanhanen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
Very good	1	118	9.9	10.2
Fairly good	2	582	49.0	50.2
Fairly bad	3	313	26.4	27.0
Very bad	4	61	5.1	5.3
Can't say	5	85	7.2	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_4] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Ilkka Kanerva

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Ilkka Kanerva

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.9	–
Very good	1	194	16.3	16.7
Fairly good	2	551	46.4	47.3
Fairly bad	3	205	17.3	17.6
Very bad	4	52	4.4	4.5
Can't say	5	162	13.6	13.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_5] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jyri Häkämies

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jyri Häkämies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.1	–
Very good	1	59	5.0	5.1
Fairly good	2	298	25.1	25.6
Fairly bad	3	387	32.6	33.3
Very bad	4	205	17.3	17.6
Can't say	5	213	17.9	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_6] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's current government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the National Coalition Party)

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's current government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.6	–
Very good	1	72	6.1	6.2
Fairly good	2	512	43.1	44.3
Fairly bad	3	324	27.3	28.0
Very bad	4	101	8.5	8.7
Can't say	5	147	12.4	12.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_7] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's previous government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the Social Democratic Party)

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's previous government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the Social Democratic Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
Very good	1	40	3.4	3.5
Fairly good	2	567	47.8	48.9
Fairly bad	3	314	26.5	27.1
Very bad	4	41	3.5	3.5
Can't say	5	197	16.6	17.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_8] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Lipponen's second government (the main parties being the Social Democratic Party and the National Coalition Party)

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Lipponen's second government (the main parties being the Social Democratic Party and the National Coalition Party)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.6	–
Very good	1	67	5.6	5.8
Fairly good	2	504	42.5	43.6
Fairly bad	3	267	22.5	23.1
Very bad	4	75	6.3	6.5
Can't say	5	243	20.5	21.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_9] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Previous Minister for Foreign Affairs Erkki Tuomioja

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Previous Minister for Foreign Affairs Erkki Tuomioja

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.1	–
Very good	1	218	18.4	18.8
Fairly good	2	475	40.0	40.9
Fairly bad	3	238	20.1	20.5
Very bad	4	83	7.0	7.1
Can't say	5	148	12.5	12.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q5_10] How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs

Question

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
Very good	1	43	3.6	3.7
Fairly good	2	397	33.4	34.3
Fairly bad	3	174	14.7	15.0
Very bad	4	39	3.3	3.4
Can't say	5	506	42.6	43.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_1] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Functionality of the EU decision-making process

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Functionality of the EU decision-making process

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.5	–
It has strengthened	1	50	4.2	4.4
It has remained the same	2	452	38.1	39.5
It has weakened	3	453	38.2	39.6
Can't say	4	190	16.0	16.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_2] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: National selfishness of the member states, self-seeking and stonewalling in decision-making processes

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: National selfishness of the member states, self-seeking and stonewalling in decision-making processes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.2	–
It has strengthened	1	699	58.9	60.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
It has remained the same	2	244	20.6	21.2
It has weakened	3	70	5.9	6.1
Can't say	4	136	11.5	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_3] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global economic actor

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global economic actor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.0	–
It has strengthened	1	228	19.2	19.8
It has remained the same	2	609	51.3	52.9
It has weakened	3	155	13.1	13.5
Can't say	4	159	13.4	13.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_4] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global political actor

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global political actor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.9	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
It has strengthened	1	156	13.1	13.5
It has remained the same	2	675	56.9	58.6
It has weakened	3	166	14.0	14.4
Can't say	4	155	13.1	13.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_5] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Relationship between the EU and Russia

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Relationship between the EU and Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.9	–
It has strengthened	1	89	7.5	7.7
It has remained the same	2	508	42.8	44.1
It has weakened	3	367	30.9	31.8
Can't say	4	189	15.9	16.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_6] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Openness/transparency of EU activity

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Openness/transparency of EU activity

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	3.0	–
It has strengthened	1	51	4.3	4.4
It has remained the same	2	464	39.1	40.3
It has weakened	3	442	37.2	38.4
Can't say	4	194	16.3	16.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_7] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Influence of the large member states

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Influence of the large member states

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.7	–
It has strengthened	1	827	69.7	71.6
It has remained the same	2	210	17.7	18.2
It has weakened	3	21	1.8	1.8
Can't say	4	97	8.2	8.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_8] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finland's influence

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finland's influence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.8	–
It has strengthened	1	7	0.6	0.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
It has remained the same	2	336	28.3	29.1
It has weakened	3	728	61.3	63.1
Can't say	4	83	7.0	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_9] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Successfulness of Finnish EU policy in general

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Successfulness of Finnish EU policy in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.9	–
It has strengthened	1	30	2.5	2.6
It has remained the same	2	488	41.1	42.3
It has weakened	3	497	41.9	43.1
Can't say	4	138	11.6	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_10] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finns' trust in the EU and its activities

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finns' trust in the EU and its activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.8	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
It has strengthened	1	32	2.7	2.8
It has remained the same	2	324	27.3	28.1
It has weakened	3	708	59.6	61.4
Can't say	4	90	7.6	7.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q6_11] Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Your trust in the EU and its activities

Question

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Your trust in the EU and its activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.7	–
It has strengthened	1	67	5.6	5.8
It has remained the same	2	407	34.3	35.2
It has weakened	3	621	52.3	53.8
Can't say	4	60	5.1	5.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_1] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Environmental issues related to Russian gas and oil export

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Environmental issues related to Russian gas and oil export

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.4	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	766	64.5	66.1
Fairly important	2	323	27.2	27.9
Less important	3	30	2.5	2.6
Can't say	4	39	3.3	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_2] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Russian wood tariffs and other obstacles to trade

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Russian wood tariffs and other obstacles to trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.3	–
Very important	1	782	65.9	67.4
Fairly important	2	306	25.8	26.4
Less important	3	33	2.8	2.8
Can't say	4	39	3.3	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_3] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Fluidity of the truck and other freight traffic between Finland and Russia

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Fluidity of the truck and other freight traffic between Finland and Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	861	72.5	74.3
Fairly important	2	245	20.6	21.1
Less important	3	27	2.3	2.3
Can't say	4	26	2.2	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_4] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Promoting the mobility of workers from Russia to Finland

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Promoting the mobility of workers from Russia to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.5	–
Very important	1	74	6.2	6.4
Fairly important	2	329	27.7	28.4
Less important	3	691	58.2	59.7
Can't say	4	63	5.3	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_5] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing Finnish companies' scope for action in Russia

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing Finnish companies' scope for action in Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.4	–
Very important	1	480	40.4	41.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fairly important	2	504	42.5	43.5
Less important	3	112	9.4	9.7
Can't say	4	62	5.2	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_6] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Activities and acquisitions of Russian companies in Finland

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Activities and acquisitions of Russian companies in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.4	–
Very important	1	129	10.9	11.1
Fairly important	2	410	34.5	35.4
Less important	3	539	45.4	46.5
Can't say	4	81	6.8	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_7] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: St. Petersburg area's environmental load to the Baltic Sea

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: St. Petersburg area's environmental load to the Baltic Sea

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	25	2.1	–
Very important	1	961	81.0	82.7
Fairly important	2	154	13.0	13.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Less important	3	18	1.5	1.5
Can't say	4	29	2.4	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_8] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Increased Russian military activity in areas close to Finland

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Increased Russian military activity in areas close to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.4	–
Very important	1	492	41.4	42.5
Fairly important	2	444	37.4	38.3
Less important	3	149	12.6	12.9
Can't say	4	73	6.1	6.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_9] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing electricity supply from Russia to Finland

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing electricity supply from Russia to Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.2	–
Very important	1	611	51.5	52.6
Fairly important	2	432	36.4	37.2
Less important	3	64	5.4	5.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	54	4.5	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q7_10] How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Relationship between the Baltic States and Russia

Question

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Relationship between the Baltic States and Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.3	–
Very important	1	391	32.9	33.7
Fairly important	2	543	45.7	46.8
Less important	3	159	13.4	13.7
Can't say	4	67	5.6	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_1] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's international influence

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's international influence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.8	–
Would increase	1	245	20.6	21.5
Would not change	2	551	46.4	48.2
Would decrease	3	192	16.2	16.8
Can't say	4	154	13.0	13.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_2] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's external/military security

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's external/military security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.6	–
Would increase	1	408	34.4	35.7
Would not change	2	334	28.1	29.2
Would decrease	3	241	20.3	21.1
Can't say	4	161	13.6	14.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_3] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Clarity of Finland's international status

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Clarity of Finland's international status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.9	–
Would increase	1	339	28.6	29.7
Would not change	2	428	36.1	37.5
Would decrease	3	219	18.4	19.2
Can't say	4	155	13.1	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_4] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with Russia

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.5	–
Would increase	1	37	3.1	3.2
Would not change	2	219	18.4	19.1
Would decrease	3	757	63.8	66.1
Can't say	4	132	11.1	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_5] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with the USA

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with the USA

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.5	–
Would increase	1	636	53.6	55.5
Would not change	2	344	29.0	30.0
Would decrease	3	37	3.1	3.2
Can't say	4	128	10.8	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_6] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Capability to counter the military threat Russia poses

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Capability to counter the military threat Russia poses

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.7	–
Would increase	1	439	37.0	38.4
Would not change	2	388	32.7	33.9
Would decrease	3	118	9.9	10.3
Can't say	4	198	16.7	17.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_7] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for a military strike

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for a military strike

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.5	–
Would increase	1	516	43.5	45.0
Would not change	2	348	29.3	30.4
Would decrease	3	131	11.0	11.4
Can't say	4	151	12.7	13.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_8] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Our soldiers' participation in dangerous crisis management operations

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Our soldiers' participation in dangerous crisis management operations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.7	–
Would increase	1	860	72.5	75.2
Would not change	2	179	15.1	15.7
Would decrease	3	21	1.8	1.8
Can't say	4	83	7.0	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_9] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Share of defence expenditure in the state budget

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Share of defence expenditure in the state budget

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.5	–
Would increase	1	876	73.8	76.5
Would not change	2	104	8.8	9.1
Would decrease	3	40	3.4	3.5
Can't say	4	125	10.5	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_10] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Receiving information on security threats

Question

*In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things:
Receiving information on security threats*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.8	–
Would increase	1	532	44.8	46.6
Would not change	2	409	34.5	35.8
Would decrease	3	43	3.6	3.8
Can't say	4	158	13.3	13.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_11] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for terrorism

Question

*In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things:
Risk of becoming a target for terrorism*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.5	–
Would increase	1	678	57.1	59.2
Would not change	2	316	26.6	27.6
Would decrease	3	32	2.7	2.8
Can't say	4	120	10.1	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_12] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's opportunities to mediate international crises

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's opportunities to mediate international crises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	4.0	–
Would increase	1	304	25.6	26.7
Would not change	2	455	38.3	39.9
Would decrease	3	238	20.1	20.9
Can't say	4	143	12.0	12.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_13] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Need to retain compulsory military service

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Need to retain compulsory military service

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.9	–
Would increase	1	239	20.1	20.9
Would not change	2	497	41.9	43.6
Would decrease	3	265	22.3	23.2
Can't say	4	140	11.8	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_14] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's national sovereignty

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's national sovereignty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	4.0	–
Would increase	1	60	5.1	5.3
Would not change	2	354	29.8	31.1
Would decrease	3	625	52.7	54.8
Can't say	4	101	8.5	8.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_15] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's trade relations with other countries

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's trade relations with other countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.9	–
Would increase	1	96	8.1	8.4
Would not change	2	712	60.0	62.4
Would decrease	3	117	9.9	10.3
Can't say	4	216	18.2	18.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_16] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' will to defend their country and their patriotism

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' will to defend their country and their patriotism

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	4.0	–
Would increase	1	91	7.7	8.0
Would not change	2	484	40.8	42.5
Would decrease	3	449	37.8	39.4
Can't say	4	116	9.8	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_17] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' feeling of security

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' feeling of security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.6	–
Would increase	1	316	26.6	27.6
Would not change	2	337	28.4	29.5
Would decrease	3	307	25.9	26.8
Can't say	4	184	15.5	16.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q8_18] In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Your personal feeling of security

Question

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Your personal feeling of security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.6	–
Would increase	1	226	19.0	19.8
Would not change	2	370	31.2	32.3
Would decrease	3	429	36.1	37.5
Can't say	4	119	10.0	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] Do the following statements apply to you: I have had a job that has later been moved abroad

Question

Do the following statements apply to you: I have had a job that has later been moved abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.9	–
Yes, it is true	1	102	8.6	8.9
No, it isn't true	2	845	71.2	74.1
I'm not/haven't been in the labour market	3	140	11.8	12.3
Can't say	4	54	4.5	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] Do the following statements apply to you: There is a risk that my job will be moved abroad in the near future

Question

Do the following statements apply to you: There is a risk that my job will be moved abroad in the near future

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.7	–
Yes, it is true	1	68	5.7	6.0
No, it isn't true	2	791	66.6	69.9
I'm not/haven't been in the labour market	3	200	16.8	17.7
Can't say	4	72	6.1	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] Do the following statements apply to you: The nature of my job makes it difficult to move it abroad**Question**

Do the following statements apply to you: The nature of my job makes it difficult to move it abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.7	–
Yes, it is true	1	610	51.4	53.9
No, it isn't true	2	286	24.1	25.3
I'm not/haven't been in the labour market	3	166	14.0	14.7
Can't say	4	69	5.8	6.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] Do the following statements apply to you: I am in regular contact with other countries because of my job**Question**

Do the following statements apply to you: I am in regular contact with other countries because of my job

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	61	5.1	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, it is true	1	189	15.9	16.8
No, it isn't true	2	644	54.3	57.2
I'm not/haven't been in the labour market	3	243	20.5	21.6
Can't say	4	50	4.2	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] Do the following statements apply to you: I believe that in other countries I would receive a wage that would correspond better with my skills

Question

Do the following statements apply to you: I believe that in other countries I would receive a wage that would correspond better with my skills

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.7	–
Yes, it is true	1	329	27.7	29.1
No, it isn't true	2	473	39.8	41.8
I'm not/haven't been in the labour market	3	162	13.6	14.3
Can't say	4	167	14.1	14.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q9_6] Do the following statements apply to you: Globalisation has increased employment opportunities in my field/made my job more secure

Question

Do the following statements apply to you: Globalisation has increased employment opportunities in my field/made my job more secure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	59	5.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, it is true	1	181	15.2	16.0
No, it isn't true	2	589	49.6	52.2
I'm not/haven't been in the labour market	3	151	12.7	13.4
Can't say	4	207	17.4	18.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q10] What is your current attitude towards Finland's EU membership?

Question

What is your current attitude towards Finland's EU membership?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.7	–
Very positive	1	112	9.4	9.6
Somewhat positive	2	309	26.0	26.5
Neutral	3	320	27.0	27.4
Somewhat negative	4	276	23.3	23.7
Very negative	5	138	11.6	11.8
Can't say	6	12	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q11] The Euro replaced the Finnish currency at the beginning of 2002. What is your attitude to this?

Question

The Euro replaced the Finnish currency at the beginning of 2002. What is your attitude to this?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.7	–
Very positive	1	236	19.9	20.2
Somewhat positive	2	248	20.9	21.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Neutral	3	203	17.1	17.4
Somewhat negative	4	218	18.4	18.7
Very negative	5	257	21.7	22.0
Can't say	6	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[Q12] What is your attitude towards the 2004 EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe and the Baltic States?

Question

What is your attitude towards the 2004 EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe and the Baltic States?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	21	1.8	–
Very positive	1	90	7.6	7.7
Somewhat positive	2	285	24.0	24.4
Neutral	3	396	33.4	34.0
Somewhat negative	4	237	20.0	20.3
Very negative	5	115	9.7	9.9
Can't say	6	43	3.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	6	0.5	–
Male	1	608	51.2	51.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	2	573	48.3	48.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age group**Question***Respondent's age group***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.7	–
18-25 years	1	94	7.9	8.2
26-35 years	2	159	13.4	13.9
36-45 years	3	182	15.3	15.9
46-55 years	4	228	19.2	19.9
56-65 years	5	320	27.0	28.0
Over 65 years	6	160	13.5	14.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Size of the respondent's municipality of residence**Question***Size of the respondent's municipality of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	74	6.2	–
Less than 4,000 inhabitants	1	86	7.2	7.7
4,000-8,000 inhabitants	2	129	10.9	11.6
8,000-30,000 inhabitants	3	305	25.7	27.4
30,000-80,000 inhabitants	4	212	17.9	19.0
Over 80,000 inhabitants	5	381	32.1	34.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.3	–
Primary education	1	262	22.1	22.6
Lower secondary education	2	410	34.5	35.3
Upper secondary education	3	488	41.1	42.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.3	–
No vocational education	1	156	13.1	13.4
Vocational course, other short voc. training	2	134	11.3	11.6
Upper secondary level vocational education	3	257	21.7	22.2
College level education	4	307	25.9	26.5
Polytechnic education	5	94	7.9	8.1
University education	6	212	17.9	18.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's industry of employment**Question***Respondent's industry of employment***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Agriculture and forestry	1	57	4.8	4.9
Industry and construction	2	206	17.4	17.6
Private services	3	232	19.5	19.8
Public services	4	279	23.5	23.9
Not in the labour market	5	395	33.3	33.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Region of residence (NUTS3)**Question***Region of residence (NUTS3)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	1.4	–
Uusimaa	1	281	23.7	24.0
Eastern Uusimaa	2	11	0.9	0.9
Southwest Finland	3	129	10.9	11.0
Satakunta	4	41	3.5	3.5
Häme	5	47	4.0	4.0
Tampere Region	6	98	8.3	8.4
Päijät-Häme	7	57	4.8	4.9
Kymenlaakso	8	43	3.6	3.7
South Karelia	9	38	3.2	3.2
South Savo	10	38	3.2	3.2
North Savo	11	42	3.5	3.6
North Karelia	12	30	2.5	2.6
Central Finland	13	89	7.5	7.6
South Ostrobothnia	14	46	3.9	3.9
Ostrobothnia, Vaasa Coastal	15	26	2.2	2.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Central Ostrobothnia	16	20	1.7	1.7
North Ostrobothnia	17	87	7.3	7.4
Kainuu	18	16	1.3	1.4
Lapland	19	31	2.6	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Respondent's economic activity

Question

Respondent's economic activity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.6	–
Higher managerial employee	1	51	4.3	4.4
Lower managerial or professional employee	2	150	12.6	13.0
Lower level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	140	11.8	12.1
Worker	4	274	23.1	23.7
Entrepreneur or self-employed	5	114	9.6	9.9
Farmer	6	33	2.8	2.9
Student	7	65	5.5	5.6
Pensioner	8	275	23.2	23.8
Housewife/househusband, homemaker	9	13	1.1	1.1
Unemployed	10	26	2.2	2.2
Something else	11	15	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Respondent's trade union membership

Question

Respondent's trade union membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	60	5.1	–
No, not a member	1	567	47.8	50.3
Yes, my trade union is:	2	25	2.1	2.2
SAK (Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	224	18.9	19.9
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	121	10.2	10.7
Akava (Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	158	13.3	14.0
MTK (Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners)	6	32	2.7	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[BV10] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which political party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which political party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.7	–
Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	160	13.5	13.9
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	209	17.6	18.1
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	3	198	16.7	17.1
Left Alliance (Vasemmistoliitto)	4	47	4.0	4.1
Greens (Vihreät)	5	101	8.5	8.7
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	38	3.2	3.3
Christian Democrats (KD)	7	25	2.1	2.2
True Finns (Perussuomalaiset)	8	73	6.1	6.3
Some other party or group	9	11	0.9	1.0
Wouldn't vote	10	63	5.3	5.5
Can't say	11	155	13.1	13.4
Don't want to say	12	75	6.3	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1187	100.0	100.0

[KIELI] Questionnaire language

Question

Questionnaire language

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1130	95.2	95.2
Swedish	2	57	4.8	4.8
		1187	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
At the moment, how interested are you in issues relating to Finland's EU membership? [Q1]	6
How well informed do you feel you are of these issues? [Q2]	6
The EU should have a common foreign policy in order to have credibility in international politics [Q3_1]	7
The EU membership fees are far too high compared to the benefits gained by the membership [Q3_2]	7
Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development [Q3_3]	8
Increasing immigration is an issue concerning all EU member states and taking care of it requires a common EU policy [Q3_4]	8
Finland should participate in international debate more bravely [Q3_5]	9
There is enough reliable information available to everyone about matters related to Finland's EU membership [Q3_6]	9
Finland should leave the EU altogether [Q3_7]	10
Finland should follow Sweden's example and already begin to abolish compulsory military service [Q3_8]	10
In all circumstances, Finland has to be capable of taking care of its own security, others cannot help us [Q3_9]	11
EU membership obliges Finns to comply with many norms and regulations which we do not want or need [Q3_10]	11
The actions and policies of the USA in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns [Q3_11]	12

3. Indexes

Turkey's EU membership would be a good thing because it would help Islamic and Western cultures to understand each other [Q3_12]	12
Finland has been able to influence decision-making in the EU [Q3_13]	13
A common, strong EU foreign policy would also benefit Finland [Q3_14]	13
The EU should have a common defence [Q3_15]	14
If I did not know Finland is an EU member state, I would not easily notice it in my everyday life [Q3_16]	14
The EU must be the leading actor in international environmental policy [Q3_17]	15
The Prime Minister should represent Finland in EU summits instead of the President, because it would enable parliamentarism to work better [Q3_18]	15
Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have nowadays no reason to have a negative attitude towards their big neighbour [Q3_19]	16
Finland should join NATO [Q3_20]	16
It is Finland's responsibility to participate in international crisis management operations all over the world [Q3_21]	17
Being a small country, Finland should be much more actively involved in EU policy-making to really be able to influence the Union's decisions [Q3_22]	17
Finland should increasingly manage its relationship with Russia through the EU, not directly [Q3_23]	18
I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it [Q3_24]	18
Being a small country, Finland should not participate in solving the problems of various crisis spots around the world [Q3_25]	19
International terrorism poses no threat to Finland if we do not get involved in the conflicts of the world [Q3_26]	19
The energy and environmental policy of the EU does not take into account the special circumstances in Finland and will therefore become excessively expensive for our country [Q3_27]	20
The EU is too complicated and distant to be understood [Q3_28]	20
The globalisation of international economy will increase global welfare [Q3_29]	21
EU membership has caused excessive problems for Finnish agriculture [Q3_30]	21
The Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems [Q3_31]	22
Globalisation means that Finland will lose an increasing amount of jobs to so-called cheap labour countries [Q3_32]	22
The most important goal in Finnish foreign policy is to maintain good relationships with the USA and Russia [Q3_33]	23
I am satisfied with the actions of the current Government in EU issues [Q3_34]	23
Russia has again become a self-conscious superpower to be reckoned with [Q3_35]	24

In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy [Q3_36]	24
Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it [Q3_37]	25
Epidemics, environmental pollution and natural disasters are greater security threats to Finland than acts of military aggression [Q3_38]	25
The EU member states should be able to influence the policy of the European Central Bank [Q3_39]	26
Finnish foreign policy is nowadays weak and empty [Q3_40]	26
The internationalisation of Finnish companies supports employment in Finland as well [Q3_41]	27
The Prime Minister should lead Finnish foreign policy instead of the President [Q3_42] ...	27
It is daydreaming to think that Finland could enter into a military alliance only when a real threat is already present [Q3_43]	28
Finland has nowadays relatively few common interests with the other Nordic countries [Q3_44]	28
Finland has no real military threats [Q3_45]	29
As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it would be safer for Finland to be a member of NATO than unallied [Q3_46]	29
The Ukraine would become a suitable EU member state in the future [Q3_47]	30
Russia is not a democracy according to Western standards [Q3_48]	30
Even though Finland is part of the EU, Nordic cooperation is still very important to us [Q3_49]	30
Finland should look out for its own national interests in the EU much more attentively [Q3_50]	31
Of all nationalities, Swedes are the closest to us [Q3_51]	31
Finland has the moral responsibility to be in the vanguard of tackling the climate change [Q3_52]	32
The President's role in foreign policy should be strengthened in order to secure the continuation of Finland's line [Q3_53]	32
Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia [Q3_54]	33
It is not worthwhile for Finns to invest in Russia, because ownership is uncertain there [Q3_55]	33
Sweden is no longer more than one EU state among others to Finland [Q3_56]	34
China is becoming a superpower equal to the USA not only in economy but also in international politics [Q3_57]	34
The increasing Russian ownership in Finland is worrying [Q3_58]	35
Finland could learn much from the dynamic economy of Estonia [Q3_59]	35

3. Indexes

In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Strengthening the roles of the UN and other organisations [Q4_1]	36
In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Direct relationships with other countries, also outside organisations [Q4_2]	36
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with Russia [Q4_3]	37
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with the USA [Q4_4]	37
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cooperation with the Baltic States [Q4_5]	38
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cooperation with the Nordic countries [Q4_6]	38
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Obtaining firm security guarantees [Q4_7]	39
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cherishing military non-alignment [Q4_8]	39
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of NATO membership [Q4_9]	40
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Nordic defence cooperation [Q4_10]	40
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of free world trade [Q4_11]	41
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of fair globalisation [Q4_12]	41
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Increase in development cooperation funds [Q4_13]	42
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Securing Finland's national benefits [Q4_14]	42
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Defending human rights all over the world [Q4_15]	43
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Mediating international crises [Q4_16]	43
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Participation in military crisis management [Q4_17]	44
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Traditional peacekeeping and civilian crisis management [Q4_18]	44
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Tackling climate change [Q4_19]	45
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Solving environmental problems in the Baltic Sea [Q4_20]	45

How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole [Q5_1]	46
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: President Tarja Halonen [Q5_2]	46
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Matti Vanhanen [Q5_3]	47
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Ilkka Kanerva [Q5_4]	47
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jyri Häkämies [Q5_5]	48
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's current government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the National Coalition Party) [Q5_6]	48
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's previous government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the Social Democratic Party) [Q5_7]	49
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Lipponen's second government (the main parties being the Social Democratic Party and the National Coalition Party) [Q5_8]	49
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Previous Minister for Foreign Affairs Erkki Tuomioja [Q5_9]	50
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs [Q5_10]	50
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Functionality of the EU decision-making process [Q6_1]	51
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: National selfishness of the member states, self-seeking and stonewalling in decision-making processes [Q6_2]	51
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global economic actor [Q6_3]	52
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global political actor [Q6_4]	52
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Relationship between the EU and Russia [Q6_5]	53
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Openness/transparency of EU activity [Q6_6]	53
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Influence of the large member states [Q6_7]	54
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finland's influence [Q6_8]	54
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed	

3. Indexes

in some way: Successfulness of Finnish EU policy in general [Q6_9]	55
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finns' trust in the EU and its activities [Q6_10]	55
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Your trust in the EU and its activities [Q6_11]	56
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Environmental issues related to Russian gas and oil export [Q7_1]	56
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Russian wood tariffs and other obstacles to trade [Q7_2]	57
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Fluidity of the truck and other freight traffic between Finland and Russia [Q7_3]	57
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Promoting the mobility of workers from Russia to Finland [Q7_4]	58
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing Finnish companies' scope for action in Russia [Q7_5]	58
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Activities and acquisitions of Russian companies in Finland [Q7_6]	59
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: St. Petersburg area's environmental load to the Baltic Sea [Q7_7]	59
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Increased Russian military activity in areas close to Finland [Q7_8]	60
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing electricity supply from Russia to Finland [Q7_9]	60
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Relationship between the Baltic States and Russia [Q7_10]	61
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's international influence [Q8_1]	61
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's external/military security [Q8_2]	62
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Clarity of Finland's international status [Q8_3]	62
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with Russia [Q8_4]	63
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with the USA [Q8_5]	63
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Capability to counter the military threat Russia poses [Q8_6]	64
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for a military strike [Q8_7]	64
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things:	

Our soldiers' participation in dangerous crisis management operations [Q8_8]	65
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Share of defence expenditure in the state budget [Q8_9]	65
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Receiving information on security threats [Q8_10]	66
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for terrorism [Q8_11]	66
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's opportunities to mediate international crises [Q8_12]	67
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Need to retain compulsory military service [Q8_13]	67
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's national sovereignty [Q8_14]	68
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's trade relations with other countries [Q8_15]	68
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' will to defend their country and their patriotism [Q8_16]	69
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' feeling of security [Q8_17]	69
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Your personal feeling of security [Q8_18]	70
Do the following statements apply to you: I have had a job that has later been moved abroad [Q9_1]	70
Do the following statements apply to you: There is a risk that my job will be moved abroad in the near future [Q9_2]	70
Do the following statements apply to you: The nature of my job makes it difficult to move it abroad [Q9_3]	71
Do the following statements apply to you: I am in regular contact with other countries because of my job [Q9_4]	71
Do the following statements apply to you: I believe that in other countries I would receive a wage that would correspond better with my skills [Q9_5]	72
Do the following statements apply to you: Globalisation has increased employment opportuni- ties in my field/made my job more secure [Q9_6]	72
What is your current attitude towards Finland's EU membership? [Q10]	73
The Euro replaced the Finnish currency at the beginning of 2002. What is your attitude to this? [Q11]	73
What is your attitude towards the 2004 EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe and the Baltic States? [Q12]	74
Respondent's gender [BV1]	74

3. Indexes

Respondent's age group [BV2]	75
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence [BV3]	75
Respondent's basic education [BV4]	76
Respondent's vocational education [BV5]	76
Respondent's industry of employment [BV6]	77
Region of residence (NUTS3) [BV7]	77
Respondent's economic activity [BV8]	78
Respondent's trade union membership [BV9]	78
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which political party would you vote for? [BV10]	
79	
Questionnaire language [KIELI]	79

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

A common, strong EU foreign policy would also benefit Finland	13
Although the EU has its problems, it is nevertheless the only power that can lead and control Europe's development	8
As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it would be safer for Finland to be a member of NATO than unallied	29
At the moment, how interested are you in issues relating to Finland's EU membership?	6
Being a small country, Finland should be much more actively involved in EU policy-making to really be able to influence the Union's decisions	17
Being a small country, Finland should not participate in solving the problems of various crisis spots around the world	19
China is becoming a superpower equal to the USA not only in economy but also in international politics	34
Do the following statements apply to you: Globalisation has increased employment opportunities in my field/made my job more secure	72
Do the following statements apply to you: I am in regular contact with other countries because of my job	71
Do the following statements apply to you: I believe that in other countries I would receive a wage that would correspond better with my skills	72
Do the following statements apply to you: I have had a job that has later been moved abroad	70
Do the following statements apply to you: The nature of my job makes it difficult to move it abroad	71
Do the following statements apply to you: There is a risk that my job will be moved abroad in the near future	70
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finland's influence	54
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Finns' trust in the EU and its activities	55
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Functionality of the EU decision-making process	51
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Influence of the large member states	54
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: National selfishness of the member states, self-seeking and stonewalling in decision-making processes	51
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Openness/transparency of EU activity	53
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Relationship between the EU and Russia	53

3. Indexes

Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global economic actor	52
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Strength of the EU as a global political actor	52
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Successfulness of Finnish EU policy in general	55
Do you think that the following things related to the EU and its activities have recently changed in some way: Your trust in the EU and its activities	56
Epidemics, environmental pollution and natural disasters are greater security threats to Finland than acts of military aggression	25
EU membership has caused excessive problems for Finnish agriculture	21
EU membership obliges Finns to comply with many norms and regulations which we do not want or need	11
Even though Finland is part of the EU, Nordic cooperation is still very important to us	30
Even though Russia has its own problems, Finns have nowadays no reason to have a negative attitude towards their big neighbour	16
Finland could learn much from the dynamic economy of Estonia	35
Finland has been able to influence decision-making in the EU	13
Finland has no real military threats	29
Finland has nowadays relatively few common interests with the other Nordic countries	28
Finland has the moral responsibility to be in the vanguard of tackling the climate change ...	32
Finland should follow Sweden's example and already begin to abolish compulsory military service	10
Finland should increasingly manage its relationship with Russia through the EU, not directly	18
Finland should join NATO	16
Finland should leave the EU altogether	10
Finland should look out for its own national interests in the EU much more attentively	31
Finland should not participate in international debates which could jeopardise our good relationship with Russia	33
Finland should participate in international debate more bravely	9
Finland should remain outside NATO, even if Sweden joined it	25
Finnish foreign policy is nowadays weak and empty	26
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
Globalisation means that Finland will lose an increasing amount of jobs to so-called cheap labour countries	22

How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Activities and acquisitions of Russian companies in Finland	59
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Environmental issues related to Russian gas and oil export	56
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Fluidity of the truck and other freight traffic between Finland and Russia	57
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Increased Russian military activity in areas close to Finland	60
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Promoting the mobility of workers from Russia to Finland	58
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Relationship between the Baltic States and Russia	61
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Russian wood tariffs and other obstacles to trade	57
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing electricity supply from Russia to Finland	60
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: Securing Finnish companies' scope for action in Russia	58
How important do you consider discussing/solving the following issues: St. Petersburg area's environmental load to the Baltic Sea	59
How well informed do you feel you are of these issues?	6
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Finnish foreign policy as a whole	46
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Lipponen's second government (the main parties being the Social Democratic Party and the National Coalition Party)	49
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister for Foreign Affairs Ilkka Kanerva	47
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Minister of Defence Jyri Häkämies	48
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Officials of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs	50
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: President Tarja Halonen	46
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Previous Minister for Foreign Affairs Erkki Tuomioja	50
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Prime Minister Matti Vanhanen	47
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's current government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the National Coal-	

3. Indexes

tion Party)	48
How would you grade the following bodies in terms of managing foreign policy: Vanhanen's previous government as a whole (the main parties being the Centre Party and the Social Democratic Party)	49
I am satisfied with the actions of the current Government in EU issues	23
I would support Finland's membership in NATO if the Government and the President were in favour of it	18
If I did not know Finland is an EU member state, I would not easily notice it in my everyday life	14
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which political party would you vote for?	79
In all circumstances, Finland has to be capable of taking care of its own security, others cannot help us	11
In foreign policy, environmental questions have already become even more important than security policy	24
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cherishing military non-alignment	39
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cooperation with the Baltic States	38
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Cooperation with the Nordic countries	38
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Defending human rights all over the world	43
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Increase in development cooperation funds	42
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Mediating international crises	43
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Nordic defence cooperation	40
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Obtaining firm security guarantees	39
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Participation in military crisis management	44
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of fair globalisation	41
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of free world trade	41
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Promotion of NATO membership	40
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with Russia	37

In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Relationship with the USA	37
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Securing Finland's national benefits	42
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Solving environmental problems in the Baltic Sea	45
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Tackling climate change	45
In your opinion, how should the following issues be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Traditional peacekeeping and civilian crisis management	44
In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Direct relationships with other countries, also outside organisations	36
In your opinion, how should the following things be emphasised in Finnish foreign policy: Strengthening the roles of the UN and other organisations	36
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Capability to counter the military threat Russia poses	64
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Clarity of Finland's international status	62
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's external/military security	62
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's international influence	61
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's national sovereignty	68
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's opportunities to mediate international crises	67
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with Russia	63
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's relationship with the USA	63
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finland's trade relations with other countries	68
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' feeling of security	69
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Finns' will to defend their country and their patriotism	69
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Need to retain compulsory military service	67
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Our soldiers' participation in dangerous crisis management operations	65

3. Indexes

In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Receiving information on security threats	66
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for a military strike	64
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Risk of becoming a target for terrorism	66
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Share of defence expenditure in the state budget	65
In your opinion, what kind of impact would NATO membership have on the following things: Your personal feeling of security	70
Increasing immigration is an issue concerning all EU member states and taking care of it re- quires a common EU policy	8
International terrorism poses no threat to Finland if we do not get involved in the conflicts of the world	19
It is daydreaming to think that Finland could enter into a military alliance only when a real threat is already present	28
It is Finland's responsibility to participate in international crisis management operations all over the world	17
It is not worthwhile for Finns to invest in Russia, because ownership is uncertain there	33
Of all nationalities, Swedes are the closest to us	31
Questionnaire language	79
Region of residence (NUTS3)	77
Respondent's age group	75
Respondent's basic education	76
Respondent's economic activity	78
Respondent's gender	74
Respondent's industry of employment	77
Respondent's trade union membership	78
Respondent's vocational education	76
Russia has again become a self-conscious superpower to be reckoned with	24
Russia is not a democracy according to Western standards	30
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence	75
Sweden is no longer more than one EU state among others to Finland	34
The actions and policies of the USA in international politics are legitimate and deserve the support of Finns	12
The energy and environmental policy of the EU does not take into account the special circum- stances in Finland and will therefore become excessively expensive for our country	20

The EU is too complicated and distant to be understood	20
The EU member states should be able to influence the policy of the European Central Bank	26
The EU membership fees are far too high compared to the benefits gained by the membership	7
The EU must be the leading actor in international environmental policy	15
The EU should have a common defence	14
The EU should have a common foreign policy in order to have credibility in international politics	7
The Euro replaced the Finnish currency at the beginning of 2002. What is your attitude to this?	73
The Finnish decision-makers focus too much on international issues, forgetting domestic problems	22
The globalisation of international economy will increase global welfare	21
The increasing Russian ownership in Finland is worrying	35
The internationalisation of Finnish companies supports employment in Finland as well	27
The most important goal in Finnish foreign policy is to maintain good relationships with the USA and Russia	23
The President's role in foreign policy should be strengthened in order to secure the continuation of Finland's line	32
The Prime Minister should lead Finnish foreign policy instead of the President	27
The Prime Minister should represent Finland in EU summits instead of the President, because it would enable parliamentarism to work better	15
The Ukraine would become a suitable EU member state in the future	30
There is enough reliable information available to everyone about matters related to Finland's EU membership	9
Turkey's EU membership would be a good thing because it would help Islamic and Western cultures to understand each other	12
What is your attitude towards the 2004 EU enlargement to Eastern and Central Europe and the Baltic States?	74
What is your current attitude towards Finland's EU membership?	73

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD2356 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 2007

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD2356 Finnish EU Attitudes 2007

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>



Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon numeron, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

Esimerkki vastauksen merkitsemistavasta:

1 **2** 3

1. Kuinka kiinnostunut Te nykyisin olette/kuinka aktiivisesti seuraatte Suomen EU-jäsenyyteen liittyviä asioita?

- 1 Erittäin kiinnostunut, seuraan aktiivisesti
- 2 Melko kiinnostunut, seuraan jonkin verran
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut, en juuri seuraa
- 4 En lainkaan kiinnostunut, en seuraa lainkaan
- 5 En osaa sanoa



2. Entä kuinka hyvin katsotte tuntevanne kyseisiä asioita? Oletteko niistä mielestänne perillä...

- 1 Erittäin hyvin
- 2 Melko hyvin
- 3 Melko huonosti
- 4 Erittäin huonosti
- 5 En osaa sanoa

3. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista maamme EU-jäsenyyttä ja muita kansainvälisiä kysymyksiä koskevista väitteistä?

Täysin samaa mieltä Jokseenkin samaa mieltä Vaikea sanoa Jokseenkin eri mieltä Täysin eri mieltä

EU:lla tulisi olla yhteinen ulkopoliittikka, jotta se olisi uskottava toimija maailmanpolitiikassa	1	2	3	4	5
EU:n jäsenmaksut ovat aivan liian korkeat jäsenyydestä saataviin etuihin nähden	1	2	3	4	5
Vaikka EU:lla onkin omat pulmansa, se on joka tapauksessa ainoa voima joka voi ohjata ja hallita Euroopan kehitystä	1	2	3	4	5
Lisääntyvä maahanmuutto on kaikkia EU-maita koskettava asia, jonka hoito edellyttää EU:lta yhteistä linjaa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen pitäisi ottaa rohkeammin kantaa kansainvälisiin asioihin	1	2	3	4	5
Maamme EU-jäsenyyteen liittyvistä asioista on jokaisen saatavilla riittävästi luotettavaa tietoa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen pitäisi erota EU:sta	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen pitäisi seurata Ruotsin mallia ja alkaa jo luopua yleisestä asevelvollisuudesta	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen on kaikissa oloissa kyettävä vastaamaan itse turvallisuudestaan, muista ei ole siinä apua	1	2	3	4	5
EU-jäsenyys pakottaa suomalaiset noudattamaan monia sellaisia normeja ja säädöksiä, joita emme halua emmekä tarvitse	1	2	3	4	5
Yhdysvallat toimii maailmanpolitiikassa oikein ja ansaitsee myös suomalaisten tuen	1	2	3	4	5
Turkin EU-jäsenyys olisi hyvä asia, sillä se auttaisi islamilaista ja länsimaista kulttuuria ymmärtämään toisiaan	1	2	3	4	5
Suomi on saanut hyvin äänensä kuuluviin EU:n päätöksenteossa	1	2	3	4	5
Olisi Suomenkin etu, jos EU:lla olisi vahva yhteinen ulkopoliittikka	1	2	3	4	5
EU:lla tulisi olla yhteinen puolustus	1	2	3	4	5
Jos en tietäisi maamme olevan EU:n jäsen, en helposti huomaisi sitä mistään asiasta omassa arkielämässäni	1	2	3	4	5
EU:lla tulee olla johtava rooli maailman ilmastopoliittikan hoidossa	1	2	3	4	5
Pääministerin eikä presidentin olisi edustettava maamme EU:n huippukokouksissa, koska parlamentarismi toteutuu näin paremmin	1	2	3	4	5
Vaikka Venäjällä on omat ongelmansa, suomalaisilla ei ole nykyisin mitään syytä suhtautua suureen naapuriinsa kielteisesti	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen tulisi liittyä NATOon	1	2	3	4	5

(jatkuu...)

Täysin
samaa
mieltä

Jokseen-
kin samaa
mieltä

Vai-
kea
sanoa

Jokseen-
kin eri
mieltä

Täysin
eri
mieltä

Suomella on velvollisuus osallistua kansainvälisiin kriisinhallinta-
tehtäviin eri puolilla maailmaa

1 2 3 4 5

Suomen pitäisi pienenä maana harjoittaa paljon aktiivisempaa EU-poli-
tiikkaa, jotta se todella voisi vaikuttaa unionin päätöksiin

1 2 3 4 5

Suomen kannattaisi hoitaa Venäjä-suhteitaan enenevästi EU:n kautta
eikä kahdenvälisesti

1 2 3 4 5

Kannattaisin Suomen NATO-jäsenyyttä, jos maamme ylin valtiojohto
puoltaisi sitä

1 2 3 4 5

Pienenä maana Suomen ei pitäisi lähteä mukaan ratkomaan maailman
kriisipesäkkeiden ongelmia

1 2 3 4 5

Kansainvälinen terrorismi ei uhkaa Suomea, jos pysyttelemme syrjässä
maailman kiistoista

1 2 3 4 5

EU:n energia- ja ilmastopolitiikka ei tunnista Suomen erityisolosuhteita
ja tulee siksi muodostumaan maallemme kohtuuttoman kalliiksi

1 2 3 4 5

EU on liian monimutkainen ja kaukainen, jotta sitä voisi ymmärtää

1 2 3 4 5

Kansainvälisen talouden ns. globalisaatiokehitys johtaa hyvinvoinnin
maailmanlaajuiseen lisääntymiseen

1 2 3 4 5

EU-jäsenyys on aiheuttanut kohtuuttomia vaikeuksia Suomen maa-
taloudelle

1 2 3 4 5

Suomen päättäjät keskittyvät liaksi kansainvälisiin kysymyksiin
unohtaen kotimaan ongelmat

1 2 3 4 5

Globalistuminen merkitsee sitä, että ns. halpatyövoiman maat vievät
Suomesta enenevästi työpaikkoja

1 2 3 4 5

Kaikkein tärkeintä Suomen ulkopolitiikassa on säilyttää hyvät suhteet
Yhdysvaltoihin ja Venäjään

1 2 3 4 5

Olen tyytyväinen maamme nykyisen hallituksen toimintaan EU-asioissa

1 2 3 4 5

Venäjältä on tullut jälleen itsetietoinen ja varteenotettava suurvalta
maailmanpolitiikassa

1 2 3 4 5

Ilmastokysymykset ovat ulkopolitiikassa jo turvallisuuspolitiikkaakin
tärkeämpiä

1 2 3 4 5

Suomen tulee pysyttäytyä NATO:n ulkopuolella siinäkin tapauksessa,
että Ruotsi liittyisi puolustusliittoon

1 2 3 4 5

Epidemiat, ympäristön saastuminen ja luonnonmullistukset ovat maal-
lemme sotilaallisen voimankäytön uhkaa suurempia turvallisuusuhkia

1 2 3 4 5

EU:n jäsenvaltioiden tulisi voida vaikuttaa Euroopan keskuspankin poli-
tiikkaan

1 2 3 4 5

Suomen ulkopolitiikka on nykyisin vaisua ja mitäänsanomaton

1 2 3 4 5

Suomalaisyriyten kansainvälistyminen tukee työllisyyttä myös kotimaassa

1 2 3 4 5

Pääministerin tulisi johtaa Suomen ulkopolitiikkaa presidentin sijaan

1 2 3 4 5

On haihattelua ajatella, että Suomi voisi liittoutua sotilaallisesti vasta
silloin kun todellinen uhka on jo läsnä

1 2 3 4 5

Suomella on nykyään oikeastaan varsin vähän yhteisiä intressejä
muiden Pohjoismaiden kanssa

1 2 3 4 5

Suomella ei ole todellisia sotilaallisia uhkakuvia

1 2 3 4 5

Epävakaan Venäjän naapurina Suomen olisi turvallisempaa olla
NATO:n jäsen kuin sotilaallisesti liittoutumaton

1 2 3 4 5

Ukraina sopisi tulevaisuudessa hyvin EU:n jäseneksi

1 2 3 4 5

Venäjä ei ole länsimaisen mittapuun mukaan demokraattinen maa

1 2 3 4 5

(jatkuu...)

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Pohjoismainen yhteistyö on EU-jäsenyydestä huolimatta meille edelleen hyvin tärkeää	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen pitäisi ajaa omia kansallisia etujaan EU:ssa paljon nykyistä tiukemmin	1	2	3	4	5
Kaikista kansoista ruotsalaiset ovat meille kaikkein läheisimpiä	1	2	3	4	5
Suomella on moraalinen velvollisuus toimia ilmastonmuutoksen torjunnan eturintamassa	1	2	3	4	5
Presidentin ulkopoliittista valtaa pitäisi vahvistaa, jotta Suomen linjan jatkuvuus voitaisiin turvata	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen ei pitäisi ottaa kantaa sellaisiin kansainvälisiin kysymyksiin, jotka asettaisivat hyvät Venäjä-suhteemme vaakalaudalle	1	2	3	4	5
Suomalaisten ei kannata investoida Venäjälle, sillä omistusoikeus siellä on epävarma	1	2	3	4	5
Ruotsi ei enää ole Suomelle kuin yksi EU-maa muiden joukossa	1	2	3	4	5
Kiina on nousemassa Yhdysvaltain veroiseksi suurvallaksi talouden ohella myös maailmanpolitiikassa	1	2	3	4	5
Venäläisten kasvava omistus Suomessa on huolestuttavaa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomella voisi olla paljon opittavaa Viron dynaamisesta taloudesta	1	2	3	4	5

4. Miten seuraavien asioiden Teidän mielestänne tulisi painottua Suomen ulkopoliitikassa?

	Nykyistä enemmän	Kuten nykyisin	Nykyistä vähemmän	En osaa sanoa
YK:n ja muiden järjestöjen roolin vahvistaminen	1	2	3	E
Suora toiminta toisten valtioiden kanssa järjestöjen ulkopuolellakin	1	2	3	E
Suhteet Venäjään	1	2	3	E
Suhteet Yhdysvaltoihin	1	2	3	E
Yhteistyö Baltian maiden kanssa	1	2	3	E
Yhteistyö Pohjoismaiden kanssa	1	2	3	E
Pitävien turvatakuiden hankkiminen	1	2	3	E
Sotilaallisen liittoutumattomuuden vaaliminen	1	2	3	E
NATO-jäsenyyden edistäminen	1	2	3	E
Pohjoismainen puolustusyhteistyö	1	2	3	E
Vapaan maailmankaupan edistäminen	1	2	3	E
Oikeudenmukaisen globalisaation edistäminen	1	2	3	E
Kehitysyhteistyön määrärahojen lisääminen	1	2	3	E
Suomen kansallisten etujen turvaaminen	1	2	3	E
Ihmisoikeuksien puolustaminen kaikkialla maailmassa	1	2	3	E
Kansainvälisten kriisien sovittelu	1	2	3	E
Osallistuminen sotilaalliseen kriisinhallintaan	1	2	3	E
Perinteinen rauhanturvaaminen ja siviilikriisinhallinta	1	2	3	E
Ilmastonmuutoksen torjuminen	1	2	3	E
Itämeren ympäristöongelmien ratkaiseminen	1	2	3	E

5. Perustuslakimme mukaan Suomen ulkopoliitikkaa johtaa tasavallan presidentti yhteistoiminnassa valtioneuvoston (hallituksen) kanssa. Silti myös muilla toimijoilla on oma roolinsa asiassa. Millaisen arvosanan ulkopoliitiikan hoidosta antaisitte seuraaville?

Arvosana ulkopoliitiikan hoidosta:

	Erittäin hyvä	Melko hyvä	Melko huono	Erittäin huono	En osaa sanoa
Maamme ulkopoliitiikka kokonaisuutena	1	2	3	4	E
Presidentti Tarja Halonen	1	2	3	4	E
Pääministeri Matti Vanhanen	1	2	3	4	E
Ulkoministeri Ilkka Kanerva	1	2	3	4	E
Puolustusministeri Jyri Häkämies	1	2	3	4	E
Nykyinen Vanhasen (porvari)hallitus kokonaisuutena	1	2	3	4	E
Edellinen Vanhasen ns. punamultahallitus	1	2	3	4	E
Sitä edeltävä Lipposen (toinen) ns. sinipunahallitus	1	2	3	4	E
Edellisten hallitusten ulkoministeri Erkki Tuomioja	1	2	3	4	E
Ulkoministeriön virkamiehistö	1	2	3	4	E

6. EU:n viime vuosien kehityksestä on esitetty erilaisia, osin ristiriitaisiakin arvioita. Onko unioni ja sen toiminta Teidän nähdäksenne muuttunut jollakin tavoin viime aikoina?

	Vahvistunut	Ennal-laan	Heiken-tynyt	En osaa sanoa
Unionin päätöksenteon toimivuus (pätöksentekokyky)	1	2	3	E
Jäsenmaiden kansallinen itsekyky, 'kotiinpäinveto'/jarrutus päätöksissä	1	2	3	E
EU:n vahvuus taloudellisena toimijana maailmassa	1	2	3	E
EU:n vahvuus poliittisena toimijana maailmassa	1	2	3	E
EU:n ja Venäjän suhteet	1	2	3	E
Unionin avoimuus/toiminnan läpinäkyvyys	1	2	3	E
Suurten jäsenmaiden vaikutusvalta	1	2	3	E
Suomen vaikutusvalta	1	2	3	E
Suomen harjoittaman EU-politiikan onnistuneisuus yleisesti ottaen	1	2	3	E
Suomalaisten luottamus EU:hun ja sen toimintaan	1	2	3	E
Oma luottamuksenne EU:hun ja sen toimintaan	1	2	3	E

7. Poliittisten päättäjimme asialistalla on useita Suomen ja Venäjän välisiin suhteisiin liittyviä kysymyksiä. Kuinka tärkeänä pidätte seuraavien asioiden käsittelemistä/ratkaisemista?

	Erittäin tärkeä	Melko tärkeä	Vähem-män tärkeä	En osaa sanoa
Venäjän kaasun ja öljyn vientiin liittyvät ympäristökysymykset	1	2	3	E
Venäjän puutullit ja muut kaupan esteet	1	2	3	E
Suomen ja Venäjän välisen rekka- ja muun tavaraliikenteen sujuvuus	1	2	3	E
Työvoiman liikkuvuuden edistäminen Venäjältä Suomeen	1	2	3	E
Suomalaisten yritysten toimintamahdollisuuksien turvaaminen Venäjällä	1	2	3	E
Venäläisten yritysten toiminta ja yritysostot Suomessa	1	2	3	E
Pietarin alueen Itämerelle aiheuttama ympäristökuormitus	1	2	3	E
Venäjän lisääntynyt sotilaallinen aktiviteetti lähialueilla	1	2	3	E
Venäläisen energian toimitusvarmuus Suomeen	1	2	3	E
Baltian maiden ja Venäjän väliset suhteet	1	2	3	E

8. Keskustelussa Suomen mahdollisesta NATO-jäsenyydestä on tuotu esiin erilaisia hyöty- ja haittanäkökohtia. Millainen vaikutus NATO-jäsenyydellä Teidän nähdäkseenne olisi seuraaviin asioihin maassamme?

	Li- säisi	Ei muut- taisi	Vähän- täisi	En osaa sanoa
Suomen kansainvälinen vaikutusvalta	1	2	3	E
Suomen ulkoinen/sotilaallinen turvallisuus	1	2	3	E
Suomen kansainvälisen aseman selkeys	1	2	3	E
Suomen suhteet Venäjään	1	2	3	E
Suomen suhteet Yhdysvaltoihin	1	2	3	E
Kyky torjua Venäjän sotilaallisen voimankäytön uhka	1	2	3	E
Uhka, että Suomi joutuisi sotilaallisen voimankäytön kohteeksi	1	2	3	E
Sotilaidemme osallistuminen vaarallisiin kriisinhallintatehtäviin	1	2	3	E
Puolustusmenojen osuus valtion budjetissa	1	2	3	E
Suomen tiedonsaanti turvallisuushuolista	1	2	3	E
Uhka, että Suomi joutuisi terrori-iskujen kohteeksi	1	2	3	E
Maamme mahdollisuudet toimia kansainvälisten kriisien välittäjätehtävissä	1	2	3	E
Tarve säilyttää yleinen asevelvollisuus	1	2	3	E
Kansallinen itsemääräämisoikeus	1	2	3	E
Maamme kauppasuhteet muihin maihin	1	2	3	E
Suomalaisten maanpuolustustahto (ja isänmaallisuus)	1	2	3	E
Suomalaisten turvallisuudentunne	1	2	3	E
Henkilökohtainen turvallisuudentunteenne	1	2	3	E

9. Talouden globalisaation vaikutuksista suomalaisten työllisyyteen on esitetty erilaisia näkemyksiä. Miten seuraavat lausumat sopivat Teihin henkilökohtaisesti?

	Pitää paik- kansa	Ei pidä paik- kansa	En ole/ole ollut työ- elämässä	En osaa sanoa
Olen joskus hoitanut työtehtävää, joka sittemmin siirrettiin ulkomaille	1	2	3	E
On uhka, että työpaikkani siirtyy lähiaikoina Suomesta ulkomaille	1	2	3	E
Työtehtäväni ovat sellaisia, että niitä on vaikea siirtää Suomesta minnekään	1	2	3	E
Olen työtehtävieni puitteissa toistuvasti yhteydessä ulkomaille	1	2	3	E
Uskon, että saisin osaamistani paremmin vastaavaa palkkaa ulkomalla	1	2	3	E
Globalisaatio on vahvistanut oman alan työllisyyttä/työpaikkani säilymistä	1	2	3	E

10. Miten Te suhtaudutte nykyisin maamme EU-jäsenyyteen?

- 1 Erittäin myönteisesti
- 2 Melko myönteisesti
- 3 Neutraalisti
- 4 Melko kielteisesti
- 5 Erittäin kielteisesti
- 6 En osaa sanoa



11. Entä siihen, että markka korvattiin eurolla vuoden 2002 alussa?

- 1 Erittäin myönteisesti
- 2 Melko myönteisesti
- 3 Neutraalisti
- 4 Melko kielteisesti
- 5 Erittäin kielteisesti
- 6 En osaa sanoa



12. Siihen, että EU laajentui keväällä 2004 uusiin jäsenmaihin Itä- ja Keski-Eurooppaan ja Baltiaan?

- 1 Erittäin myönteisesti
- 2 Melko myönteisesti
- 3 Neutraalisti
- 4 Melko kielteisesti
- 5 Erittäin kielteisesti
- 6 En osaa sanoa

TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

Sukupuoli

- 1 Mies
- 2 Nainen

Ikäryhmä

- 1 18 - 25 vuotta
- 2 26 - 35 vuotta
- 3 36 - 45 vuotta
- 4 46 - 55 vuotta
- 5 56 - 65 vuotta
- 6 Yli 65 vuotta

Asuinkunnan koko

- 1 Alle 4 000 asukasta
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 asukasta
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 asukasta
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 asukasta
- 5 Yli 80 000 asukasta

Millainen peruskoulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Kansakoulu
- 2 Keski- tai peruskoulu
- 3 Ylioppilastutkinto

Millainen ammatillinen koulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Ei ammatillista koulutusta
- 2 Ammattikurssi, muu lyhyt ammattikoulutus
- 3 Ammattikoulu, kouluasteen ammatillinen tutkinto
- 4 Opistotasoinen ammattikoulutus
- 5 Ammattikorkeakoulututkinto
- 6 Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto

Toimiala, jolla työskentelette

- 1 Maa- ja metsätalous
- 2 Teollisuus ja rakennustoiminta
- 3 Yksityiset palvelut
- 4 Julkiset palvelut
- 5 En ole mukana työelämässä

Maakunta, jonka alueella asutte

- 1 Uusimaa
- 2 Itä-Uusimaa
- 3 Varsinais-Suomi
- 4 Satakunta
- 5 Häme
- 6 Pirkanmaa
- 7 Päijät-Häme
- 8 Kymenlaakso
- 9 Etelä-Karjala
- 10 Etelä-Savo
- 11 Pohjois-Savo
- 12 Pohjois-Karjala
- 13 Keski-Suomi
- 14 Etelä-Pohjanmaa
- 15 Pohjanmaa (Vaasan r.)
- 16 Keski-Pohjanmaa
- 17 Pohjois-Pohjanmaa
- 18 Kainuu
- 19 Lappi

Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne

- 1 Johtavassa asemassa toisen palv.
- 2 Ylempi toimihenkilö
- 3 Alempi toimihenkilö
- 4 Työntekijä
- 5 Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharj.
- 6 Maatalousyrittäjä
- 7 Opiskelija
- 8 Eläkeläinen
- 9 Kotiäiti/koti-isä
- 10 Työtön
- 11 Muu

Kuulutteko johonkin ammatilliseen keskusjärjestöön?

- 1 En kuulu
- 2 Kyllä, keskusjärjestöni on:
 - a) SAK
 - b) STTK
 - c) AKAVA
 - d) MTK

Jos eduskuntavaalit pidettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen ehdokasta äänestäisitte?

- 1 KESK
- 2 KOK
- 3 SDP
- 4 Vasemmistoliitto
- 5 Vihreät
- 6 RKP
- 7 Kristillisdemokraatit
- 8 Perussuomalaiset
- 9 Jokin muu puolue tai ryhmittymä
- 10 En äänestäisi lainkaan
- 11 En osaa sanoa
- 12 En halua sanoa

KOMMENTTEJA? Kaikki mielipiteet tiedustelluista asioista tai tästä tutkimuksesta ovat tervetulleita ja arvokkaita.

KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.

Appendix B

Questionnaire in Swedish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD2356 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 2007

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD2356 Finnish EU Attitudes 2007

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>



Vi ber er besvara alla frågor genom att ringa in det alternativ som motsvarar er personliga åsikt.

Så här markerar ni era svar:

1 **2** 3

1. Hur intresserad är ni idag/hur aktivt följer ni de frågor som rör Finlands EU-medlemskap?

- 1 Mycket intresserad, följer aktivt
- 2 Ganska intresserad, följer i någon mån
- 3 Bara litet intresserad, följer inte nämnvärt
- 4 Inte alls intresserad, följer inte
- 5 Kan inte säga



2. Hur insatt anser ni er vara i dessa frågor? Anser ni er känna dem...

- 1 Mycket väl
- 2 Ganska väl
- 3 Ganska dåligt
- 4 Synnerligen dåligt
- 5 Kan inte säga

3. Vad anser ni om följande påståenden om Finlands medlemskap i EU och andra internationella frågor?

	Helt av samma åsikt	Nästan av samma åsikt	Svårt att säga	Nästan av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt
EU borde ha en gemensam utrikespolitik för att vara en trovärdig aktör i världspolitiken	1	2	3	4	5
Medlemsavgifterna till EU är alldeles för höga i förhållande till de fördelar som medlemskapet ger	1	2	3	4	5
Även om EU har sina egna problem är det trots allt den enda kraft som kan styra och kontrollera Europas utveckling	1	2	3	4	5
Den ökande invandringen berör alla EU-länder och förutsätter därför en gemensam linje på EU-nivå	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde ta djärvare ställning i internationella frågor	1	2	3	4	5
Alla har tillgång till tillräckligt med tillförlitlig information om de frågor som rör Finlands EU-medlemskap	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde utträda ur EU	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde följa Sveriges exempel och småningom avveckla den allmänna värnplikten	1	2	3	4	5
Finland måste under alla förhållanden själv kunna ansvara för sin säkerhet, där är andras hjälp inte att vänta	1	2	3	4	5
EU-medlemskapet tvingar finländarna att följa många sådana normer och rättsakter som vi varken önskar oss eller behöver	1	2	3	4	5
Förenta staterna agerar rätt i världspolitiken och förtjänar även finländarnas stöd	1	2	3	4	5
Turkiets EU-medlemskap är en god sak, det skulle bidra till ömsesidig förståelse mellan islamsk och västerländsk kultur	1	2	3	4	5
Finland har fått sin röst väl hörd i EUs beslutsfattande	1	2	3	4	5
Det vore också Finlands fördel om EU hade en stark gemensam utrikespolitik	1	2	3	4	5
EU borde ha ett gemensamt försvar	1	2	3	4	5
Om jag inte visste att Finland är EU-medlem skulle jag inte heller märka av det i mitt eget vardagsliv	1	2	3	4	5
EU bör ha en ledande roll i skötseln av den globala klimatpolitiken	1	2	3	4	5
Statsministern, inte presidenten, bör representera vårt land i EU:s toppmöten, eftersom parlamentarismen då förverkligas bättre	1	2	3	4	5
Även om Ryssland har sina egna problem har finländarna idag ingen orsak att förhålla sig negativt till sin stora granne	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde gå med i NATO	1	2	3	4	5

(fortsätter)	Helt av samma åsiikt	Nästan av samma åsiikt	Svårt att säga	Nästan av annan åsiikt	Helt av annan åsiikt
Finland har skyldighet att delta i internationella krishanteringsuppdrag runtom i världen	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde som ett litet land driva en mycket aktivare EU-politik för att verkligen kunna påverka unionens beslut	1	2	3	4	5
Det skulle löna sig för Finland att sköta sina relationer till Ryssland i ökande utsträckning via EU i stället för bilateralt	1	2	3	4	5
Jag skulle stödja Finlands medlemskap i NATO om den högsta stadsledningen förordade det	1	2	3	4	5
Ett litet land som Finland borde inte engagera sig i att lösa problem i världens krishärddar	1	2	3	4	5
Den internationella terrorismen hotar inte Finland om vi inte blandar oss i tvistefrågorna i världen	1	2	3	4	5
EU:s energi- och klimatpolitik beaktar inte Finlands särförhållanden och kommer därför att bli orimligt dyr för vårt land	1	2	3	4	5
EU är alltför invecklat och avlägset för att vara begripligt	1	2	3	4	5
Den s.k. globaliseringsutvecklingen i den internationella ekonomin medför ökad välfärd i hela världen	1	2	3	4	5
EU-medlemskapet har medfört orimliga svårigheter för jordbruket i Finland	1	2	3	4	5
De finska beslutsfattarna koncentrerar sig för mycket på internationella frågor och glömmet problemen här hemma	1	2	3	4	5
Globaliseringen innebär att länder med billig arbetskraft fråntar Finland allt fler arbetsplatser	1	2	3	4	5
Det allra viktigaste för Finlands utrikespolitik är att bibehålla goda relationer till USA och Ryssland	1	2	3	4	5
Jag är nöjd med hur vårt lands nuvarande regering har agerat i EU-frågor	1	2	3	4	5
Ryssland har igen blivit en självmedveten och beaktansvärd stormakt i världspolitiken	1	2	3	4	5
Klimatfrågorna är redan viktigare än de säkerhetspolitiska frågorna i utrikespolitiken	1	2	3	4	5
Finland bör hålla sig utanför NATO även i fall Sverige skulle ansluta sig till försvarsalliansen	1	2	3	4	5
Epidemier, miljöföroreningar och naturkatastrofer är större hot mot vårt land än hotet av militära angrepp	1	2	3	4	5
EU:s medlemsländer borde kunna påverka Europeiska centralbankens politik	1	2	3	4	5
Finlands utrikespolitik är nuförtiden lam och intetsägande	1	2	3	4	5
Att de finska företagen internationaliseras stöder sysselsättningen också i Finland	1	2	3	4	5
Statsministern borde leda Finlands utrikespolitik i stället för presidenten	1	2	3	4	5
Det är naivt att tro att Finland skulle kunna alliera sig militärt först då, när ett verkligt hot redan föreligger	1	2	3	4	5
Finland har nuförtiden egentligen ganska få gemensamma intressen med de övriga nordiska länderna	1	2	3	4	5
Det finns inga verkliga militära hotbilder mot Finland	1	2	3	4	5
Som granne till det instabila Ryssland vore det tryggare för Finland att vara NATO-medlem än att stå utanför militärallianserna	1	2	3	4	5
Ukraina skulle passa bra som medlem i EU i framtiden	1	2	3	4	5
Ryssland är inte ett demokratiskt land enligt västerländsk måttstock	1	2	3	4	5

(fortsätter)

	Helt av samma åsikt	Nästan av samma åsikt	Svårt att säga	Nästan av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt
Oberoende av EU-medlemskapet är det nordiska samarbetet mycket viktigt för oss	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde driva sina egna nationella intressen i EU mycket kraftfullare än idag	1	2	3	4	5
Av alla folk är svenskarna de som står oss närmast	1	2	3	4	5
Finland har en moralisk förpliktelse att gå i bräschen för arbetet för att motverka klimatförändringen	1	2	3	4	5
Presidentens utrikespolitiska makt borde stärkas för att den finländska linjens kontinuitet skall kunna säkras	1	2	3	4	5
Finland borde inte ta ställning till sådana internationella frågor som kunde ifrågasätta våra goda relationer med Ryssland	1	2	3	4	5
Det är inte lönsamt för finländarna att investera i Ryssland då äganderätten där är osäker	1	2	3	4	5
Sverige är inte längre annat för Finland än ett EU-land bland andra	1	2	3	4	5
Kina håller på att bli en stormakt som USA, inte bara i ekonomiskt hänseende utan också i världspolitiken	1	2	3	4	5
Ryssarnas ökande ägande i Finland är oroväckande	1	2	3	4	5
Finland kunde ha mycket att lära av Estlands dynamiska ekonomi	1	2	3	4	5

4. Hur borde följande betonas i Finlands utrikespolitik?

	Mer än nu	Som nu	Mindre än nu	Kan inte säga
Stärkandet av den roll som FN:s och andra organisationer har	1	2	3	E
Direkt samarbete med andra stater även utanför organisationerna	1	2	3	E
Relationerna till Ryssland	1	2	3	E
Relationerna till USA	1	2	3	E
Samarbete med de baltiska länderna	1	2	3	E
Samarbete med de nordiska länderna	1	2	3	E
Anskaffandet av hållbara säkerhetsgarantier	1	2	3	E
Värnandet av den militära alliansfriheten	1	2	3	E
Främjandet av ett NATO-medlemskap	1	2	3	E
Det nordiska försvarssamarbetet	1	2	3	E
Främjandet av en fri världshandel	1	2	3	E
Främjandet av en rättvis globalisering	1	2	3	E
Ökning av anslag för u-hjälp	1	2	3	E
Säkran det av de finländska nationella intressena	1	2	3	E
Försvarandet av de mänskliga rättigheterna överallt i världen	1	2	3	E
Medlandet i internationella kriser	1	2	3	E
Deltagandet i militär krishantering	1	2	3	E
Traditionellt fredsbevarande och civil krishantering	1	2	3	E
Avvärdandet av klimatförändringen	1	2	3	E
Lösandet av Östersjöns miljöproblem	1	2	3	E

5. Enligt vår grundlag leds Finlands utrikespolitik av republikens president i samråd med statsrådet (regeringen). Dock har också andra aktörer en roll. Vilket vitsord skulle ni ge åt följande i skötseln av utrikespolitiken?

Vitsord i skötseln av utrikespolitiken:

	Mycket bra	Ganska bra	Ganska illa	Mycket illa	Kan inte säga
Vårt lands utrikespolitik som helhet	1	2	3	4	5
President Tarja Halonen	1	2	3	4	5
Statsminister Matti Vanhanen	1	2	3	4	5
Utrikesminister Ilkka Kanerva	1	2	3	4	5
Försvarsminister Jyri Häkämies	1	2	3	4	5
Vanhanens nuvarande (borgerliga) regering som helhet	1	2	3	4	5
Vanhanens tidigare sk. rödmylleregering	1	2	3	4	5
Lipponens före detta (andra) sk. blå-röda regering	1	2	3	4	5
Utrikesminister i tidigare regeringar Erkki Tuomioja	1	2	3	4	5
Utrikesministeriets tjänstemannakår	1	2	3	4	5

6. Om EU:s utveckling har under de senaste åren framförts olika och delvis motstridiga åsikter. Har unionen och dess verksamhet enligt er åsikt förändrats på något sätt på sistone?

	Har blivit starkare	Har inte ändrats	Har blivit svagare	Kan inte säga
Effektiviteten av unionens beslutsfattande (förmåga att fatta beslut)	1	2	3	E
Medlemsländernas nationella egoism, "hemåtdragande"/bromsande i beslutsfattande	1	2	3	E
EU:s styrka som ekonomisk aktör i världen	1	2	3	E
EU:s styrka som politisk aktör i världen	1	2	3	E
Relationerna mellan EU och Ryssland	1	2	3	E
Unionens öppenhet/verksamhetens transparens	1	2	3	E
De stora medlemsländernas inflytande	1	2	3	E
Finlands inflytande	1	2	3	E
Den finska EU-politikens framgång överhuvudtaget	1	2	3	E
Finländarnas förtroende för EU och dess verksamhet	1	2	3	E
Ert eget förtroende för EU och dess verksamhet	1	2	3	E

7. På våra politiska beslutsfattaress agenda finns flera frågor som berör relationerna mellan Finland och Ryssland. Hur viktigt anser ni det vara att följande ärenden behandlas/löses?

	Mycket viktigt	Ganska viktigt	Inte så viktigt	Kan inte säga
Miljöfrågorna förknippade med rysk export av gas och olja	1	2	3	E
De ryska virkestullarna och övriga handelshinder	1	2	3	E
Smidigheten i lastbils- och övrig godstrafik mellan Finland och Ryssland	1	2	3	E
Främjandet av arbetskraftens rörlighet från Ryssland till Finland	1	2	3	E
Tryggandet av finska företags verksamhetsmöjligheter i Ryssland	1	2	3	E
Rysk företagsverksamhet och ryska företagsuppköp i Finland	1	2	3	E
Miljöbelastningen i Östersjön förorsakad av St. Petersburgsregionen	1	2	3	E
Rysslands tilltagande militära aktivitet i närområdena	1	2	3	E
Den ryska energins leveranssäkerhet till Finland	1	2	3	E
Relationerna mellan de Baltiska staterna och Ryssland	1	2	3	E

8. I diskussionen om Finlands eventuella NATO-medlemskap har olika åsikter framförts angående för- och nackdelar av ett medlemskap. Vilken inverkan skulle ett möjligt NATO-medlemskap enligt er åsikt ha på följande i vårt land?

	Ökar	Påverkar inte	Minskar	Kan inte säga
Finlands internationella inflytande	1	2	3	E
Finlands externa/militära säkerhet	1	2	3	E
Klarhet vad beträffar Finlands internationella ställning	1	2	3	E
Finlands relationer till Ryssland	1	2	3	E
Finlands relationer till Förenta Staterna	1	2	3	E
Förmågan att avvärja ryskt militärt hot	1	2	3	E
Hotet, att Finland skulle bli föremål för militärt angrepp	1	2	3	E
Våra soldaters deltagande i farliga krishanteringsuppdrag	1	2	3	E
Försvarsutgifternas andel av statsbudgeten	1	2	3	E
Finlands tillgång till information om säkerhetshot	1	2	3	E
Hotet, att Finland skulle bli föremål för terroråd	1	2	3	E
Vårt lands möjligheter att fungera som medlare i internationella kriser	1	2	3	E
Behovet att bibehålla den allmänna värnplikten	1	2	3	E
Den nationella självbestämmanderätten	1	2	3	E
Vårt lands handelsrelationer till andra länder	1	2	3	E
Finländarnas försvarsvilja (och fosterländskhet)	1	2	3	E
Finländarnas trygghetskänsla	1	2	3	E
Er personliga trygghetskänsla	1	2	3	E

9. Globaliseringens inverkan på finländarnas sysselsättning har beskrivits på olika sätt. Hur träffande är följande beskrivningar i ert fall?

	Stämmer	Stämmer inte	Jag är inte/ har inte varit med i arbetslivet	Kan inte säga
Jag har någon gång skött en arbetsuppgift som sedermera flyttades utomlands	1	2	3	E
Det finns ett hot att min arbetsplats utlokaliseras från Finland i en nära framtid	1	2	3	E
Mina arbetsuppgifter är sådana att det är svårt att utlokalisera dem från Finland	1	2	3	E
Inom ramen för mina arbetsuppgifter är jag ofta i kontakt med utlandet	1	2	3	E
Jag tror att jag utomlands skulle få en lön som bättre motsvarar mitt kunnande	1	2	3	E
Globaliseringen har förbättrat sysselsättningen inom min bransch/sannolikheten att min arbetsplats bevaras	1	2	3	E

10. Hur förhåller ni er idag till Finlands EU-medlemskap?

- 1 Mycket positivt
- 2 Ganska positivt
- 3 Neutralt
- 4 Ganska negativt
- 5 Mycket negativt
- 6 Kan inte säga

11. Hur förhåller ni er till att den finska marken byttes ut mot euro i början av 2002?

- 1 Mycket positivt
- 2 Ganska positivt
- 3 Neutralt
- 4 Ganska negativt
- 5 Mycket negativt
- 6 Kan inte säga

12. Hur förhåller ni er till att EU våren 2004 utvidgades till Öst- och Centraleuropa och Baltikum?

- 1 Mycket positivt
- 2 Ganska positivt
- 3 Neutralt
- 4 Ganska negativt
- 5 Mycket negativt
- 6 Kan inte säga

BAKGRUNDSUPPGIFTER FÖR STATISTISK BEHANDLING AV MATERIALET

Kön

- 1 Man
- 2 Kvinna

Ålder

- 1 18 - 25 år
- 2 26 - 35 år
- 3 36 - 45 år
- 4 46 - 55 år
- 5 56 - 65 år
- 6 Över 65 år

Hemkommunens storlek

- 1 Under 4 000 invånare
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 invånare
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 invånare
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 invånare
- 5 Över 80 000 invånare

Hurudan grundutbildning har ni?

- 1 Folkskola
- 2 Mellan- eller grundskola
- 3 Studentexamen

Hurudan yrkesutbildning har ni?

- 1 Ingen yrkesutbildning
- 2 Yrkeskurs, annan kort yrkesskolning
- 3 Yrkeskola, handelsskola eller motsvarande
- 4 Institutexamen
- 5 Yrkehögskoleexamen
- 6 Universitets- eller högskoleexamen

Inom vilken sektor arbetar ni?

- 1 Jord- och skogsbruk
- 2 Industrin eller byggnadssektorn
- 3 Privata servicesektorn
- 4 Offentliga servicesektorn
- 5 Jag är inte verksam i arbetslivet

I vilket landskap bor ni?

- 1 Nyland
- 2 Östra Nyland
- 3 Egentliga Finland
- 4 Satakunta
- 5 Tavastland
- 6 Birkaland
- 7 Päijännetavastland
- 8 Kymmenedalen
- 9 Södra Karelen
- 10 Södra Savolax
- 11 Norra Savolax
- 12 Norra Karelen
- 13 Mellersta Finland
- 14 Södra Österbotten
- 15 Vasa kustregion
- 16 Mellersta Österbotten
- 17 Norra Österbotten
- 18 Kajaland
- 19 Lappland

Yrkesgrupp ni anser er närmast tillhöra

- 1 I ledande ställning i annans tjänst
- 2 Högre tjänsteman
- 3 Lägre tjänsteman
- 4 Arbetare
- 5 Företagare eller privat yrkesutövare
- 6 Lantbruksföretagare
- 7 Studerande
- 8 Pensionär
- 9 Hemmamamma/hemmapappa
- 10 Arbetslös
- 11 Annan

Hör ni till någon facklig centralorganisation?

- 1 Nej
- 2 Ja, min centralorganisation är
 - a) FFC
 - b) FTFC
 - c) AKAVA
 - d) SLC (MTK)

Om det hölls riksdagsval nu, vilket partis kandidat skulle ni rösta på?

- 1 Centern
- 2 Samlingspartiet
- 3 Socialdemokraterna
- 4 Vänsterförbundet
- 5 De gröna
- 6 Svenska folkpartiet
- 7 Kristdemokraterna
- 8 Sannfinländarna
- 9 Något annat
- 10 Jag skulle inte rösta alls
- 11 Jag vet inte
- 12 Jag vill inte svara

KOMMENTARER?

Här kan ni skriva vilka åsikter som helst om EU-angelägenheter eller denna undersökning. Alla åsikter är välkomna och värdefulla.

TACK FÖR SAMARBETET!

Skicka in frågeformuläret i det bifogade svarskuvertet.