

FSD2269

Finnish National Election Study 2007

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish National Election Study 2007 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2019.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (12.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2269 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Paloheimo, Heikki (University of Tampere): Finnish National Election Study 2007 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-12). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2269>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	4
1.4	Use of data	5
2	Variables	11
3	Indexes	213
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	213
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	230
A	Questionnaires in Finnish	245
B	Questionnaires in Swedish	275

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish National Election Study 2007

Titles and data version in Finnish: Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007

Titles and data version in Swedish: Riksdagsvalundersökning 2007

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (12.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Other material

The website of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES) research project¹

Authoring entity

Paloheimo, Heikki (University of Tampere. Department of Political Science and International Relations)

The members of the Political Participation and Modes of Democracy: Finland in a Comparative Perspective research group: Åsa Bengtsson (Åbo Akademi University), Sami Borg (University of Tampere. Finnish Social Science Data Archive), Kimmo Grönlund (Åbo Akademi University, Vaasa), Lauri Karvonen (Åbo Akademi University), Mikko Mattila (University of Helsinki), Tom Moring (University of Helsinki), Kim Strandberg (Åbo Akademi University, Vaasa), Jan Sundberg (University of Helsinki), Hanna Wass (University of Helsinki) and Tuomo Turja (Taloustutkimus) and Risto Sänkiaho (University of Tampere).

¹<http://www.cses.org/>

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Paloheimo, Heikki (University of Tampere. Department of Political Science and International Relations)

Date of deposit

20.6.2007

Keywords

election campaigns; elections; Internet; parliamentary candidates; parliamentary elections; party identification; political allegiance; political attitudes; political awareness; political influence; political interest; political participation; political support; voting

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: elections; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish National Election Studies

Finnish National Election Studies are nationally representative surveys conducted in connection with parliamentary elections in Finland. Data have been collected by the Election Study Consortium from the year 2003 onwards. More information in the Finnish Election Study Portal.

The data, collected through face-to-face interviews and self-administered questionnaires, allow study of changes in public opinion and democracy over time. Some modules are repeated but each study also contains questions on current issues. Main themes include political participation, political attitudes, candidate and party choice, voting, and election campaigning.

Abstract

The survey consists of two parts which were collected after the 2007 parliamentary elections in Finland with the help of face-to-face interviews and a supplementary, self-administered questionnaire. Swedish-speaking population is over-represented in the data. The interview data form

Finland's contribution to the international Comparative Study of Electoral Systems program (CSES).

The respondents were asked about their interest in politics and how much they had followed the elections in different media. Their views were canvassed on which group they primarily identified with, self-perceived social status, how stable their party preference was, and what they would be willing to do in order to promote the issues they considered important. Views on voting, participating in parliamentary elections, politics, politicians, and various institutions were queried with the help of attitudinal statements. The respondents were also asked questions about the Internet and the ways of using it as a means of political participation.

Next, the respondents were asked whether they had held any municipal elected offices, and whether they were members of any political party. They were also asked to name objectives which the recently elected members of parliament in their constituency should focus on, and also to name the most important issues debated during the past parliamentary elections as well as the most severe social problems in Finland. The respondents were asked whether they thought voting makes any difference, and whether it makes any difference who is in power. They were also asked to assess the performance of the previous government led by Matti Vanhanen. In addition, the respondents were asked to place various political parties as well as Matti Vanhanen, Eero Heinäluoma, Jyrki Katainen, and themselves on the left-right axis. Some questions focused on how the parties had distinguished themselves from each other during the elections, to what extent the respondents had followed election campaigning, and how well democracy worked in Finland.

In addition, the respondents were asked whether they had voted in the 2007 parliamentary elections, which party they had voted or would have voted for, and whether there was a party in the past elections they would have never considered voting for. If the respondents had not voted, they were asked about their reasons for abstaining from voting. Those who had cast a vote were asked what their voting decision was based on, whether they had voted for a candidate of their own gender, how old their candidate was, and whether they had voted in the 2003 parliamentary elections. Finally, the respondents were presented with some questions measuring their knowledge of political issues.

The supplementary, self-administered questionnaire charted the importance of issues and objectives debated during the elections for the respondents. The respondents were also presented with a set of attitudinal statements on redundancies, growing income disparity, and building a sixth nuclear power plant in Finland. In addition, they were asked about different sources where they had received information relevant to their voting decision, and presented with general questions and statements pertaining to voting, Finland's international relations and politics. Membership in various groups was canvassed, as well as how often the respondents discussed political issues with other people and what they would think if it were possible to vote online on the issues debated in the Parliament. The respondents expressed their views on the significance of party leaders for party support, whether there should be more freedom of choice in the public sector, whether there should be more social reforms, and whether the state of affairs was better at the time of the interview than two years before. Finally, views on the future and satisfaction with financial situation and life in general were probed.

Variables beginning with 'k' denote the national election study variables, 'q' denotes CSES variables, 'p' denotes variables from the self-administered questionnaire, 'a' denotes CSES administrative variables, and 'd' denotes background variables.

Background variables included the respondent's year of birth, gender, education, marital sta-

tus, trade union membership, economic activity, occupational status, annual household income, religiosity, mother tongue, place of residence, type of accommodation, and constituency.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish people entitled to vote in the 2007 parliamentary elections, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 20.3.2007 – 22.5.2007

Data collector(s): Taloustutkimus

Data producer(s): Academy of Finland; Finnish Social Science Data Archive; Ministry of Justice; Advisory Council for Youth Affairs; The Society of Swedish Literature in Finland

Mode of data collection: Face-to-face interview, Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2007

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 399 variables and 1422 cases.

Sampling procedure: Non-probability: Quota

For the interviews of the Finnish-speaking respondents, the sample was drawn with the help of quota sampling, in which the quotas were based on age, gender and province of residence of the respondents. The interviews were conducted by using the starting point method. The first interview was conducted in a randomly selected starting point, after which the interviewer proceeded to the next four households. In the interviews of the Swedish-speaking respondents, the quotas were not based on province; instead, they were based on constituency, because the interviews were only conducted in the constituencies where the number of Swedish-speaking people entitled to vote was significant (the constituencies of Helsinki, Uusimaa, Finland Proper, and Vaasa). In these constituencies, the interviews were further limited to the municipalities where the proportion of Swedish-speaking residents was significant. The selection was based on the information about the percentage of Swedish-speaking residents in Finnish municipalities, drawn from the Finlandssvenskarna 2002 report compiled by The Swedish Assembly of Finland (Folktinget). The quotas used in the interviews of the Swedish-speaking respondents were formed by ordering a random sample from the Finnish Population Register Centre. The size of the sample was ten times greater than the desired number of responses, and it contained altogether 3,000 names of Swedish-speaking people entitled to vote and living in the municipalities selected for the sample. The quotas in this sample were based on age, gender and constituency-specific population distribution. Face-to-face interviews for the Finnish-speaking respondents were conducted 20 March - 23 April 2007 and for the Swedish-speaking respondents 1 April - 20 May 2007. The drop-off questionnaires were returned before 22 May 2007.

1.4 Use of data

Related publications

Bengtsson, Åsa (2012). Väljarnas kandidatval: rörlighet och motiv. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 139-155. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Bengtsson, Åsa (2014). Kansanedustajien roolit ja kansalaisodotukset. Teoksessa: Eduskunta. Kansanvaltaa puolueiden ja hallituksen ehdoilla (toim. Raunio, Tapio & Wiberg, Matti), 66-76. Helsinki: Gaudeamus.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Mattila, Mikko (2009). Direct Democracy and its Critics: Support for Direct Democracy and 'Stealth' Democracy in Finland. *West European Politics* 32(5):1031-1048.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Mattila, Mikko (2009). Suoran demokratian ja häivedemokratian kannatus Suomessa. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 303-324. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Serup Christensen, Henrik (2009). Politiskt deltagande i Finland. *Politiikka* 51(2):77-95.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Wass, Hanna (2009). Äänestäjien edustusta koskevat odotukset. *Politiikka* 51(3):187-207.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Wass, Hanna (2009). Kansalaisten edustusodotukset. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 279-302. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Bengtsson, Åsa & Wass, Hanna (2010). Styles of political representation: what do voters expect? *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties* 20(1), 55-81. DOI: 10.1080/17457280903450724

Bengtsson, Åsa & Wass, Hanna (2011). The representative roles of MPs: a citizen perspective. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 34(2), 143-167.

Berggren, Niclas & Jordahl, Henrik & Poutvaara, Panu (2011). The Right Look. Conservative Politicians Look Better and Their Voters Reward It. IZA Discussion Paper 5513. Bonn: Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).

Borg, Sami (2008). Hiljaa hyvä tulee. Puheenvuoro äänestysprosentista ja vaaliaktiivoinnista. Helsinki: Kunnallissalan kehittämissätiö. *Polemia-sarja*; 71.

Borg, Sami (2008). Kansalaisten jäsenyys ja toiminta puolueissa. Teoksessa: Suomen puolueet ja puoluejärjestelmä (toim. Paloheimo, Heikki & Raunio, Tapio), 85-107. Porvoo-Helsinki: WSOY Oppimateriaalit.

Borg, Sami (2009). Nuorten poliittinen osallistuminen. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 149-174. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Borg, Sami (2012). Perussuomalaiset. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 191-210. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Borg, Sami (2012). Valitsijoiden liikkuvuus eduskuntavaaleissa 2007-2011. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 126-138. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu.

1. Study description

isu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Borg, Sami (2018). Kuntavaalitutkimus 2017 [verkkodokumentti]. Keuruu: Otavan Kirjapaino Oy. https://kaks.fi/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/tutkimusjulkaisu_kuntavaalitutkimus-2017.pdf [viitattu 5.11.2018].

Borg, Sami & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Westinen, Jussi (2015). *Demokratiaindikaattorit 2015*. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Selvityksiä ja ohjeita 56/2015. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-483-9>

Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki (2009). Vaalipiirin koon vaikutus vaaliosallistumiseen. Teoksessa: *Vaalit yleisödemokratiassa*. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 243-278. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Demokratiaindikaattorit 2013. Toim. Borg, Sami. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Selvityksiä ja ohjeita 52/2013. URN:ISBN:978-952-259-334-4

Elo, Kimmo & Rapeli, Lauri (2012). Poliittikatietämys ja poliittinen kiinnostus. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 275-291. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Federer-Shtayer, Hila (2012) *Alignment, realignment and dealignment in multi-party systems: a conceptual and empirical study*. Doctoral thesis. Institute of Political Science, Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Leiden University. hdl:1887/18669

Garzia, D., De Angelis, A. & Pianzola, J. (2014). "The Impact of VAAs on Electoral Participation". In D. Garzia & S. Marschall (eds.), *Matching Voters with Parties and Candidates. Voting Advice Applications in a Comparative Perspective*. Colchester: ECPR Press.

Giger, Nathalie & Holli, Anne Maria & Lefkofridi, Zoe & Wass, Hanna (2014). The gender gap in same-gender voting. The role of context. In: *Electoral Studies* 35(3), 303-314.

Grönlund, Kimmo (2009). Poliittinen tietämys. Teoksessa: *Vaalit yleisödemokratiassa*. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 175-205. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Grönlund, Kimmo & Westinen, Jussi (2012). Puoluevalinta. Teoksessa: *Muutosvaalit 2011* (toim. Borg, Sami), 156-188. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Han, Sung Min. 2015. Income inequality, electoral systems and party polarisation, *European Journal of Political Research* 54(3): 582-600. DOI: 10.1111/1475-6765.12098

Hart, Linda & Kovalainen, Anne & Holli, Anne Maria (2009). Gender and Power in Politics and Business in Finland. In: *Kön och makt i Norden: Del I Landsrapporter* (eds. Kirsti Niskanen & Anita Nyberg), 65-130. Copenhagen: Nordiska ministerrådet. TemaNord 2009:569.

Holli, Anne Maria & Wass, Hanna (2009). Sukupuoli ja äänestäminen. Teoksessa: *Vaalit yleisödemokratiassa*. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 126-148. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Holli, Anne & Wass, Hanna (2010). Gender-based voting in the parliamentary elections of 2007 in Finland. *European Journal of Political Research* 49(5), 598-630. DOI: 10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01910.x

Intke, Maarit (2018): *Asiantuntijapäätöksenteko ja poliittinen epäluottamus: Häivedemokratian kannatus Suomessa vuosina 2007, 2011 ja 2015*. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Valtio-opin pro gradu

-tutkielma.

Karjalainen, Maija (2011). Kuuliaisia alamaisia ja demokratian ritareita. Suomalaisten asenteet ja demokratiakäsitykset perinteisen ja ei-perinteisen poliittisen osallistumisen taustalla. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma.

Karvonen, Lauri (2009). Poliitiikan henkilöityminen. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 94-125. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Karvonen, Lauri (2010). The Personalization of Politics. A Study of Parliamentary Democracies. Colchester: ECPR Press.

Karvonen, Lauri (2012). Att välja parti och att välja person. I Sphinx årsbok 2011-2012, 41-54. Helsingfors: Finska Vetenskaps-Societeten.

Karvonen, Lauri (2012). Ehdokasäänestäminen. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 313-323. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.

Karvonen, Lauri (2014). Parties, Governments and Voters in Finland. Politics under Fundamental Societal Transformation. Colchester. ECPR Press

Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina & Tiihonen, Aino & Westinen, Jussi (2018). Vääriä kysymyksiä vai vääriä vastauksia? Vasemmisto-oikeisto -ulottuvuus vuosien 2003-2015 vaalitutkimusten valossa. *Politiikka* 60:2, 92-111.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2008). Ideologiat ja ristiriitaulottuvuudet. Teoksessa: Suomen puolueet ja puoluejärjestelmä (toim. Paloheimo, Heikki & Raunio, Tapio), 27-59. Porvoo-Helsinki: WSOY Oppimateriaalit.

Paloheimo, Heikki (2009). Puoluejärjestelmän lohkeamat ja ristiriitaulottuvuudet. Teoksessa: Puolueiden tulevaisuus (toim. Rauli Mickelsson), 15-61. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. - (Oikeusministeriön julkaisuja 2009: 6)

Paloheimo, Heikki (2009). Suurten ikäluokkien diktatuuri. Teoksessa: Ajan särmä. Yhteiskuntatieteellinen tiedekunta 1949-2009 (toim. Paula Järvenpää, Mikko Lahtinen & Kaarle Nordens-
streng), 151-165. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto

Paloheimo, Heikki & Borg, Sami (2009). Eduskuntavaalit yleisdemokratian aikakaudella. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 357-376. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Paloheimo, Heikki & Sundberg, Jan (2009). Vaaliliitot eduskuntavaaleissa 1945-2007. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 206-242. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Papageorgiou, Achillefs (2010). Finnish Parties' Positions in 2007: A Matter of Equilibrium or Voters' Projection? *Electoral Studies* 29(4), 724-732. doi:10.1016/j.electstud.2010.09.002

Papageorgiou, Achillefs (2010). Simulation Analysis of the Effect of Party Identification on Finnish Parties' Optimal Positions. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 33(3), 224-247.

Papageorgiou, Achillefs (2011). Spatial model analysis of party policy strategies. Insights of deterministic and probabilistic voting with 'biased' voters: Applications to Finland. Tampere: University of Tampere. *Acta Universitatis Tamperensis*; 1609/Acta Electronica Universitatis Tamperensis; 1069. urn:isbn:978-951-44-8438-4

1. Study description

Pikkala, Sari (2016). Naiset ja miehet äänestäjinä. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 398-414. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Quinlan, Stephen (2012). The Conundrum of Youth Turnout. A Cross-national Examination of Generational Processes. Dublin, IE: University College Dublin, IE. Doctoral Dissertation.

Rapeli, Lauri (2010). Poliittikatietämyksen ajallinen vaihtelu Suomessa. *Politiikka*, 52(2), 133-139.

Rapeli, Lauri (2010). Tietääkö kansa? Kansalaisten poliittikatietämys teoreettisessa ja empirisessä tarkastelussa. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Turun yliopiston julkaisuja. Sarja C;296.

Rapeli, Lauri (2014). Eduskunta ja kansalaismielipide. Teoksessa: Eduskunta. Kansanvaltaa puolueiden ja hallituksen ehdoilla (toim. Raunio, Tapio & Wiberg, Matti), 51-65. Helsinki: Gaudeamus.

Rapeli, Lauri & Borg, Sami (2016). Kiinnostavaa mutta monimutkaista. Tiedot, osallistuminen ja suhtautuminen vaikuttamiseen. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 358-378. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Ruusulaakso, Ari (2012). Miksi työtön ei äänestä? Tutkimus työttömien äänestämisestä vuosien 2003 ja 2007 eduskuntavaaleissa. Helsinki: Helsingin yliopisto. Yleisen valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma. <http://hdl.handle.net/10138/36797>

Sharkansky, Mattan (2015). Prime Ministers' Effect on Voting Behavior and on the Distribution of Resources. Rochester, N.Y.: University of Rochester. Political Science Ph.D. Theses. <http://hdl.handle.net/1802/30217>

Sivonen, Jukka (2017). Poliittisesti eriytyneet asiantuntijat? Ammattirakenteen ja poliittisen suuntautumisen yhteys jälkiteollisessa Suomessa. Turku: Turun yliopisto. Taloussosiologian pro gradu -tutkielma.

Smets, Kaat (2013). De opkomstkloof tussen jong volwassenen en ouderen in nationale verkiezingen. Een vergelijkend onderzoek (The Age Gap in Voter Turnout Between Young Adults and Older Citizens in National Elections. A Comparative Study). *Res Publica* 55(1), 11-36.

Söderlund, Peter (2016). Kannastaan epävarmat ja liikkuvat äänestäjät. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 343-356. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

Söderlund, Peter & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2014). Economic Voting in Finland Before and After an Economic Crisis. *Acta Politica* 49, 395-412. doi:10.1057/ap.2014.11

Strandberg, Kim (2009). Internet vaalikampanjassa - etulinjassa vai marginaalissa?. Teoksessa: Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki), 60-93. Tampere: Tampere University Press.

Strandberg, Kim (2016). Ehdokkaiden ja kansalaisten internetin ja sosiaalisen median poliittinen käyttö vuosien 2003-2015 eduskuntavaaleissa. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 95-116. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>

- Strandberg, Kim & Carlson, Tom (2017). Expanding the Online Political Demos but Maintaining the Status Quo? The Development of Finnish Voters' Use of the Internet and Social Media Prior to Parliamentary Elections 2003-2015. *Scandinavian Political Studies* 40(1), 82-106. DOI:10.1111/1467-9477.12082
- Tiihonen, Aino (2015). Etujärjestöt, puolueet ja yhteiskuntaluokat Suomessa 2000-luvulla. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Poliittikan tutkimuksen tutkinto-ohjelma. Pro gradu -tutkielma. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:urn:fi:urn:nbn:fi:201511022409>
- Tiihonen, Aino (2016). Etujärjestöjen, puolueiden ja äänestäjien väliset suhteet. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 321-342. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>
- Vaalit yleisdemokratiassa. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007 (2009). Toim. Borg, Sami & Paloheimo, Heikki. Tampere: Tampere University Press.
- Valovirta, Viljo (2009). Viestinnällistyneen politiikan verkko. Tutkimus puolueiden verkkokampanjoinnista eduskuntavaaleissa 2007. Tampereen yliopisto: Poliittikan tutkimuksen laitos. Valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma.
- von Schoultz, Åsa (2016). Puolueiden puheenjohtajien merkitys äänestyspäätöksen kannalta. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 159-176. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>
- Wanamo, Markus (2015). Prospektiva och retrospektiva partibedömningars inverkan på väljarörlighet. En studie av Finlands riksdagsval 2007 och 2011. Åbo: Åbo Akademi. Statskunskap. Pro Gradu.
- Wass, Hanna & Borg, Sami (2012). Äänestysaktiivisuus. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 97-115. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.
- Westinen, J. 2015. Cleavages - Dead and Gone? An Analysis of Cleavage Structure and Party Choice in Contemporary Finland. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 38:3, 277-300. DOI: 10.1111/1467-9477.12046
- Westinen, Jussi (2011). Yhteiskunnallisten ristiriitaulottuvuuksien ja puoluevalinnan suhde eduskuntavaaleissa 1991-2007. Tilastollinen analyysi. Tampere: Tampereen yliopisto. Valtio-opin pro gradu -tutkielma.
- Westinen, Jussi (2012). Keskustan vaalitappio - menetetyt kannatuksen taustatekijät. Teoksessa: Muutosvaalit 2011 (toim. Borg, Sami), 211-226. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu; OMSO 16/2012. Helsinki: Edita. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-172-2>.
- Westinen, Jussi (2016). Puoluevalinta Suomessa 2000-luvulla. Teoksessa: Poliittisen osallistumisen eriytyminen. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015 (toim. Grönlund, Kimmo & Wass, Hanna), 249-272. Helsinki: Oikeusministeriö. Oikeusministeriön julkaisu 28/2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-259-517-1>
- Westinen, Jussi & Kestilä-Kekkonen, Elina (2015). Perusduunarit, vihervasemmisto ja porvarit: Suomalaisen äänestäjäkunnan jakautuminen ideologisiin blokkeihin vuoden 2011 eduskuntavaaleissa. *Politiikka* 57: 2, 94-114.
- Zuber, Christina Isabel & Szöcsik, Edina (2015). Ethnic outbidding and nested competition.

1. Study description

Explaining the extremism of ethnonational minority parties in Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*. Volume 54, Issue 4, pages 784-801, November 2015. DOI: 10.1111/1475-6765.12105

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2269?lang=en&study_language=en

Related studies

FSD2263

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

The data contain a weight variable matching the whole data according to the mother tongue distribution in the Finnish population. There is also a language weight variable for the drop-off questionnaire data. The weight variables drop the proportion of Swedish speakers to 5.5%, leaving Finnish speaker percentage as 94,5%, as these two mother tongue options were the only ones given in the study.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	2269.00
maximum	2269.00
mean	2269.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question***FSD case id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	1.00
maximum	1422.00
mean	711.50
standard deviation	410.64

[FSD_PRO] FSD processing level**Question***FSD processing level***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
A (see codebook)	1	1422	100.0	100.0
B (see codebook)	2	0	0.0	0.0
C (dataset has not been checked at FSD)	3	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[A2] Interviewer id**Question***Interviewer id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	155.00
maximum	5503.00

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
mean	3867.95
standard deviation	1603.29

[A3] Interviewer gender**Question***Interviewer gender***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	285	20.0	20.0
Female	2	1137	80.0	80.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[A4_1] Date of the interview: month**Question***Date of the interview: month***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	3.00
maximum	5.00
mean	3.71
standard deviation	0.64

[A4_2] Date of the interview: day**Question***Date of the interview: day***Descriptive statistics**

2. Variables

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	1.00
maximum	31.00
mean	17.20
standard deviation	9.55

[K1] How interested are you in politics?

Question

How interested are you in politics?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very interested	1	238	16.7	16.7
Somewhat interested	2	636	44.7	44.7
Not very interested	3	445	31.3	31.3
Not at all interested	4	103	7.2	7.2
Can't say (spontaneous)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_1] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	195	13.7	13.7
A fair amount of attention	2	416	29.3	29.3
Only a little	3	573	40.3	40.3
Paid no attention at all	4	237	16.7	16.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_2] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	301	21.2	21.2
A fair amount of attention	2	630	44.3	44.3
Only a little	3	404	28.4	28.4
Paid no attention at all	4	86	6.0	6.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_3] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	83	5.8	5.8
A fair amount of attention	2	260	18.3	18.3
Only a little	3	686	48.2	48.2
Paid no attention at all	4	392	27.6	27.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_4] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	66	4.6	4.6
A fair amount of attention	2	173	12.2	12.2
Only a little	3	494	34.7	34.7
Paid no attention at all	4	689	48.5	48.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_5] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles**Question**

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	165	11.6	11.6
A fair amount of attention	2	529	37.2	37.2
Only a little	3	545	38.3	38.3
Paid no attention at all	4	182	12.8	12.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_6] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections**Question**

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	43	3.0	3.0
A fair amount of attention	2	132	9.3	9.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only a little	3	312	21.9	21.9
Paid no attention at all	4	919	64.6	64.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	16	1.1	1.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_7] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web sites of the candidates and political parties

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web sites of the candidates and political parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	24	1.7	1.7
A fair amount of attention	2	72	5.1	5.1
Only a little	3	235	16.5	16.5
Paid no attention at all	4	1073	75.5	75.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	18	1.3	1.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_8] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	9	0.6	0.6
A fair amount of attention	2	29	2.0	2.0
Only a little	3	138	9.7	9.7
Paid no attention at all	4	1231	86.6	86.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	15	1.1	1.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_9] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	50	3.5	3.5
A fair amount of attention	2	137	9.6	9.6
Only a little	3	229	16.1	16.1
Paid no attention at all	4	994	69.9	69.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	12	0.8	0.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_10] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	73	5.1	5.1
A fair amount of attention	2	407	28.6	28.6
Only a little	3	707	49.7	49.7
Paid no attention at all	4	233	16.4	16.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K2_11] How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements

Question

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal of attention	1	96	6.8	6.8
A fair amount of attention	2	468	32.9	32.9
Only a little	3	635	44.7	44.7
Paid no attention at all	4	223	15.7	15.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K3] How stable is your political party identification?

Question

How stable is your political party identification?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Completely stable	1	475	33.4	33.4
Fairly stable	2	472	33.2	33.2
Not very stable	3	297	20.9	20.9
Not at all stable	4	176	12.4	12.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K4] Which of the following groups would you say you belong to?

Question

Which of the following groups would you say you belong to?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finns in general	1	862	60.6	60.6
Finnish-speaking Finns	2	299	21.0	21.0
Swedish-speaking Finns	3	250	17.6	17.6
Other ethnic or linguistic group	4	9	0.6	0.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K5] Which social class would you say you belong to?

Question

Which social class would you say you belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Working class	1	410	28.8	28.8
Lower middle class	2	171	12.0	12.0
Middle class	3	599	42.1	42.1
Upper middle class	4	151	10.6	10.6
Upper class	5	14	1.0	1.0
No class	6	70	4.9	4.9
Can't say	7	7	0.5	0.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K6] How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far?

Question

How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have always voted for candidates of one party	1	509	35.8	35.8
Have voted for candidates of two or three different parties	2	716	50.4	50.4
Have voted for candidates of four or more parties	3	81	5.7	5.7
Have never voted	4	95	6.7	6.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	21	1.5	1.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K7_1] Did you vote in the previous elections: Parliamentary elections in 2003

Question

Did you vote in the previous elections: Parliamentary elections in 2003

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Did not vote	1	166	11.7	11.7
Voted	2	1161	81.6	81.6
No right to vote (no franchise)	3	95	6.7	6.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K7_2] Did you vote in the previous elections: European parliament elections in 2004

Question

Did you vote in the previous elections: European parliament elections in 2004

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Did not vote	1	371	26.1	26.1
Voted	2	962	67.7	67.7
No right to vote (no franchise)	3	89	6.3	6.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K7_3] Did you vote in the previous elections: Municipal elections (local elections) in 2004

Question

Did you vote in the previous elections: Municipal elections (local elections) in 2004

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Did not vote	1	258	18.1	18.1
Voted	2	1092	76.8	76.8
No right to vote (no franchise)	3	72	5.1	5.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K7_4] Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, first round**Question**

Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, first round

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Did not vote	1	150	10.5	10.5
Voted	2	1235	86.8	86.8
No right to vote (no franchise)	3	37	2.6	2.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K7_5] Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, second round**Question**

Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, second round

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Did not vote	1	175	12.3	12.3
Voted	2	1208	85.0	85.0
No right to vote (no franchise)	3	39	2.7	2.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_1] I think voting is a civic duty**Question***I think voting is a civic duty***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	958	67.4	67.4
Agree	2	328	23.1	23.1
Disagree	3	80	5.6	5.6
Strongly disagree	4	52	3.7	3.7
Can't say	5	4	0.3	0.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_2] It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not**Question***It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	690	48.5	48.5
Agree	2	396	27.8	27.8
Disagree	3	220	15.5	15.5
Strongly disagree	4	114	8.0	8.0
Can't say	5	2	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_3] Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government**Question***Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	852	59.9	59.9
Agree	2	443	31.2	31.2
Disagree	3	66	4.6	4.6
Strongly disagree	4	9	0.6	0.6
Can't say	5	52	3.7	3.7
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_4] Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum

Question

Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	504	35.4	35.4
Agree	2	470	33.1	33.1
Disagree	3	331	23.3	23.3
Strongly disagree	4	103	7.2	7.2
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_5] To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people

Question

To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	373	26.2	26.2
Agree	2	722	50.8	50.8
Disagree	3	240	16.9	16.9
Strongly disagree	4	56	3.9	3.9
Can't say	5	31	2.2	2.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_6] I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people

Question

I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	204	14.3	14.3
Agree	2	426	30.0	30.0
Disagree	3	383	26.9	26.9
Strongly disagree	4	401	28.2	28.2
Can't say	5	8	0.6	0.6
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_7] It is important to me that my party has a real chance of getting a candidate elected to Parliament in my constituency

Question

It is important to me that my party has a real chance of getting a candidate elected to Parliament in my constituency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	776	54.6	54.6
Agree	2	403	28.3	28.3
Disagree	3	144	10.1	10.1
Strongly disagree	4	72	5.1	5.1
Can't say	5	27	1.9	1.9
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_8] It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to Parliament

Question

It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to Parliament

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	674	47.4	47.4
Agree	2	487	34.2	34.2
Disagree	3	172	12.1	12.1
Strongly disagree	4	67	4.7	4.7
Can't say	5	22	1.5	1.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_9] The MPs of my constituency do not have enough say in what the Government and Parliament decide

Question

The MPs of my constituency do not have enough say in what the Government and Parliament decide

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	255	17.9	17.9
Agree	2	572	40.2	40.2
Disagree	3	391	27.5	27.5
Strongly disagree	4	108	7.6	7.6
Can't say	5	96	6.8	6.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K8_10] Electoral alliances should not be allowed in parliamentary elections

Question

Electoral alliances should not be allowed in parliamentary elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	230	16.2	16.2
Agree	2	320	22.5	22.5
Disagree	3	439	30.9	30.9
Strongly disagree	4	241	16.9	16.9
Can't say	5	192	13.5	13.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_1] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	168	11.8	11.8
Have not done but might do	2	822	57.8	57.8
Would not do under any circumstances	3	429	30.2	30.2
Can't say	4	3	0.2	0.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_2] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	260	18.3	18.3
Have not done but might do	2	813	57.2	57.2
Would not do under any circumstances	3	343	24.1	24.1
Can't say	4	6	0.4	0.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_3] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	646	45.4	45.4
Have not done but might do	2	561	39.5	39.5
Would not do under any circumstances	3	206	14.5	14.5
Can't say	4	9	0.6	0.6
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_4] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	145	10.2	10.2
Have not done but might do	2	543	38.2	38.2
Would not do under any circumstances	3	722	50.8	50.8
Can't say	4	12	0.8	0.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_5] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	518	36.4	36.4
Have not done but might do	2	580	40.8	40.8
Would not do under any circumstances	3	313	22.0	22.0
Can't say	4	11	0.8	0.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_6] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	876	61.6	61.6
Have not done but might do	2	463	32.6	32.6
Would not do under any circumstances	3	74	5.2	5.2
Can't say	4	9	0.6	0.6
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_7] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	530	37.3	37.3
Have not done but might do	2	681	47.9	47.9
Would not do under any circumstances	3	173	12.2	12.2
Can't say	4	38	2.7	2.7
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_8] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	222	15.6	15.6
Have not done but might do	2	701	49.3	49.3
Would not do under any circumstances	3	474	33.3	33.3
Can't say	4	25	1.8	1.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_9] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	95	6.7	6.7
Have not done but might do	2	597	42.0	42.0
Would not do under any circumstances	3	717	50.4	50.4
Can't say	4	13	0.9	0.9
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_10] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	13	0.9	0.9
Have not done but might do	2	219	15.4	15.4
Would not do under any circumstances	3	1179	82.9	82.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	4	11	0.8	0.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_11] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	5	0.4	0.4
Have not done but might do	2	74	5.2	5.2
Would not do under any circumstances	3	1338	94.1	94.1
Can't say	4	5	0.4	0.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K9_12] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	5	0.4	0.4
Have not done but might do	2	27	1.9	1.9
Would not do under any circumstances	3	1387	97.5	97.5
Can't say	4	3	0.2	0.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K10_1] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	399	28.1	28.1
Have not done but might do	2	418	29.4	29.4
Would not do under any circumstances	3	462	32.5	32.5
Can't say	4	143	10.1	10.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K10_2] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	162	11.4	11.4
Have not done but might do	2	657	46.2	46.2
Would not do under any circumstances	3	459	32.3	32.3
Can't say	4	144	10.1	10.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K10_3] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss politics

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	200	14.1	14.1
Have not done but might do	2	523	36.8	36.8
Would not do under any circumstances	3	547	38.5	38.5
Can't say	4	152	10.7	10.7
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K10_4] Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss other issues

Question

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss other issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Have done over the past four years	1	413	29.0	29.0
Have not done but might do	2	481	33.8	33.8
Would not do under any circumstances	3	378	26.6	26.6
Can't say	4	150	10.5	10.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K11] How often do you use the Internet?

Question

How often do you use the Internet?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily	1	714	50.2	50.2
A few times a week	2	161	11.3	11.3
About once a week	3	52	3.7	3.7
Occasionally	4	107	7.5	7.5
Not at all	5	388	27.3	27.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K12] Are you at present or have you ever been an elected official in a municipal body?

Question

Are you at present or have you ever been an elected official in a municipal body?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, at present	1	69	4.9	4.9
Not at present but have been previously	2	167	11.7	11.7
No, have never been	3	1184	83.3	83.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	2	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K13] Are you a member of any political party?

Question

Are you a member of any political party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	165	11.6	11.6
No but have been previously	2	118	8.3	8.3
Have never been a member of any party	3	1139	80.1	80.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K14] Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections?

Question

Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, in these elections	1	80	5.6	5.6
Not in these elections but have previously	2	93	6.5	6.5
No, never	3	1249	87.8	87.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K16_1] What kind of goals should members of parliament primarily promote?

Question

What kind of goals should members of parliament primarily promote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Goals set by their own party	1	250	17.6	17.6
Goals they themselves see as most important	2	165	11.6	11.6
Goals important to their constituency	3	671	47.2	47.2
Goals of their voters	4	321	22.6	22.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	15	1.1	1.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K16_2] What kind of goals should members of parliament secondarily promote?

Question

What kind of goals should members of parliament secondarily promote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Goals set by their own party	1	336	23.6	23.6
Goals they themselves see as most important	2	207	14.6	14.6
Goals important to their constituency	3	388	27.3	27.3
Goals of their voters	4	471	33.1	33.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	20	1.4	1.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_1] Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run

Question

Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	515	36.2	36.2
Agree	2	551	38.7	38.7
Disagree	3	276	19.4	19.4
Strongly disagree	4	73	5.1	5.1
Can't say	5	7	0.5	0.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_2] Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with problems of ordinary people

Question

Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with problems of ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	454	31.9	31.9
Agree	2	688	48.4	48.4
Disagree	3	239	16.8	16.8
Strongly disagree	4	24	1.7	1.7
Can't say	5	17	1.2	1.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_3] Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people

Question

Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	251	17.7	17.7
Agree	2	526	37.0	37.0
Disagree	3	529	37.2	37.2
Strongly disagree	4	106	7.5	7.5
Can't say	5	10	0.7	0.7
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_4] I have no say in what the government and parliament decide

Question

I have no say in what the government and parliament decide

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	487	34.2	34.2
Agree	2	510	35.9	35.9
Disagree	3	340	23.9	23.9
Strongly disagree	4	79	5.6	5.6
Can't say	5	6	0.4	0.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_5] Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making

Question

Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	174	12.2	12.2
Agree	2	776	54.6	54.6
Disagree	3	355	25.0	25.0
Strongly disagree	4	96	6.8	6.8
Can't say	5	21	1.5	1.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_6] Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Question

Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	317	22.3	22.3
Agree	2	516	36.3	36.3
Disagree	3	495	34.8	34.8
Strongly disagree	4	80	5.6	5.6
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_7] Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on

Question

Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	469	33.0	33.0
Agree	2	559	39.3	39.3
Disagree	3	272	19.1	19.1
Strongly disagree	4	116	8.2	8.2
Can't say	5	6	0.4	0.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_8] By voting people can have a say in how things are run

Question

By voting people can have a say in how things are run

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	680	47.8	47.8
Agree	2	586	41.2	41.2
Disagree	3	105	7.4	7.4
Strongly disagree	4	49	3.4	3.4
Can't say	5	2	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_9] It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same

Question

It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	171	12.0	12.0
Agree	2	386	27.1	27.1
Disagree	3	539	37.9	37.9
Strongly disagree	4	312	21.9	21.9
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_10] Electoral alliances between parties are good because they make it easier for small parties to reach parliament

Question

Electoral alliances between parties are good because they make it easier for small parties to reach parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	266	18.7	18.7
Agree	2	677	47.6	47.6
Disagree	3	281	19.8	19.8
Strongly disagree	4	101	7.1	7.1
Can't say	5	97	6.8	6.8
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_11] The coalition government should consist of either right-wing or left-wing parties

Question

The coalition government should consist of either right-wing or left-wing parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	53	3.7	3.7
Agree	2	117	8.2	8.2
Disagree	3	544	38.3	38.3
Strongly disagree	4	653	45.9	45.9
Can't say	5	55	3.9	3.9
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_12] Parties should have announced clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with

Question

Parties should have announced clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	258	18.1	18.1
Agree	2	544	38.3	38.3
Disagree	3	370	26.0	26.0
Strongly disagree	4	188	13.2	13.2
Can't say	5	62	4.4	4.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K17_13] Personalisation of politics is mainly a good thing

Question

Personalisation of politics is mainly a good thing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	127	8.9	8.9
Agree	2	536	37.7	37.7
Disagree	3	520	36.6	36.6
Strongly disagree	4	164	11.5	11.5
Can't say	5	75	5.3	5.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q1AL_1] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1421
minimum	1.00
maximum	998.00
mean	179.58
standard deviation	366.06

[Q1AL_2] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	180
minimum	1.00
maximum	996.00
mean	34.91
standard deviation	145.70

[Q1AL_3] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pensioner issues/pensions	1	3	0.2	9.7
Taxation of pensioners, subsistence	2	0	0.0	0.0
Health care resources/labour shortage/working conditions	3	0	0.0	0.0
Social and health care in general	4	3	0.2	9.7
Wage level in health care	5	4	0.3	12.9
Care of the elderly	6	6	0.4	19.4
Status of family caregivers, home care	7	1	0.1	3.2
Mental health care	8	0	0.0	0.0
Employment/unemployment	9	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of labour policy	10	3	0.2	9.7
Circumstances of and support for families with children	11	1	0.1	3.2
Education	12	1	0.1	3.2
Taxation/tax policy	13	0	0.0	0.0
Amending individual taxes, tax deductions	14	0	0.0	0.0
Maintaining welfare/the welfare state, social policy	15	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of welfare/social policy	16	0	0.0	0.0
Low income/poverty issues	17	1	0.1	3.2
Growth of income disparity	18	0	0.0	0.0
Social security	19	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of students	20	1	0.1	3.2
Raising student grants/subsistence of students	21	1	0.1	3.2
Energy policy	22	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	23	0	0.0	0.0
Climate/climate change, natural disasters	24	0	0.0	0.0
Nature conservation/environmental issues	25	1	0.1	3.2
Traffic policy	26	0	0.0	0.0
Housing policy, rents	27	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy	28	0	0.0	0.0
Municipal policy/issues	29	0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural issues	30	0	0.0	0.0
Entrepreneur issues, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	31	0	0.0	0.0
Fairer wages	32	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Worker issues, worker welfare	33	1	0.1	3.2
Equality	34	1	0.1	3.2
Circumstances/issues of minorities/special groups	35	0	0.0	0.0
Immigration policy	36	0	0.0	0.0
Finnishness, Finland for the Finns	37	0	0.0	0.0
Economy/economic policy	38	0	0.0	0.0
Development of Finland in general	39	0	0.0	0.0
NATO	40	2	0.1	6.5
Foreign and security policy	41	0	0.0	0.0
The EU	42	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	43	0	0.0	0.0
Swedish language	44	0	0.0	0.0
Government coalition and who will be prime minister	45	0	0.0	0.0
Other issues connected to elections and voting	46	0	0.0	0.0
Values, morals	47	0	0.0	0.0
Something else	996	1	0.1	3.2
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1391	97.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q1AL_4] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pensioner issues/pensions	1	1	0.1	25.0
Taxation of pensioners, subsistence	2	0	0.0	0.0
Health care resources/labour shortage/working conditions	3	1	0.1	25.0
Social and health care in general	4	0	0.0	0.0
Wage level in health care	5	0	0.0	0.0
Care of the elderly	6	0	0.0	0.0
Status of family caregivers, home care	7	0	0.0	0.0
Mental health care	8	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Employment/unemployment	9	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of labour policy	10	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of and support for families with children	11	0	0.0	0.0
Education	12	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation/tax policy	13	0	0.0	0.0
Amending individual taxes, tax deductions	14	0	0.0	0.0
Maintaining welfare/the welfare state, social policy	15	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of welfare/social policy	16	0	0.0	0.0
Low income/poverty issues	17	0	0.0	0.0
Growth of income disparity	18	0	0.0	0.0
Social security	19	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of students	20	0	0.0	0.0
Raising student grants/subsistence of students	21	1	0.1	25.0
Energy policy	22	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	23	0	0.0	0.0
Climate/climate change, natural disasters	24	0	0.0	0.0
Nature conservation/environmental issues	25	0	0.0	0.0
Traffic policy	26	0	0.0	0.0
Housing policy, rents	27	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy	28	0	0.0	0.0
Municipal policy/issues	29	0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural issues	30	0	0.0	0.0
Entrepreneur issues, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	31	0	0.0	0.0
Fairer wages	32	0	0.0	0.0
Worker issues, worker welfare	33	0	0.0	0.0
Equality	34	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances/issues of minorities/special groups	35	0	0.0	0.0
Immigration policy	36	0	0.0	0.0
Finnishness, Finland for the Finns	37	0	0.0	0.0
Economy/economic policy	38	0	0.0	0.0
Development of Finland in general	39	0	0.0	0.0
NATO	40	0	0.0	0.0
Foreign and security policy	41	0	0.0	0.0
The EU	42	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	43	0	0.0	0.0
Swedish language	44	1	0.1	25.0
Government coalition and who will be prime minister	45	0	0.0	0.0
Other issues connected to elections and voting	46	0	0.0	0.0
Values, morals	47	0	0.0	0.0
Something else	996	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1418	99.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q1AL_5] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -5 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -5 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pensioner issues/pensions	1	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation of pensioners, subsistence	2	0	0.0	0.0
Health care resources/labour shortage/working conditions	3	0	0.0	0.0
Social and health care in general	4	0	0.0	0.0
Wage level in health care	5	0	0.0	0.0
Care of the elderly	6	0	0.0	0.0
Status of family caregivers, home care	7	0	0.0	0.0
Mental health care	8	0	0.0	0.0
Employment/unemployment	9	1	0.1	100.0
Special issues of labour policy	10	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of and support for families with children	11	0	0.0	0.0
Education	12	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation/tax policy	13	0	0.0	0.0
Amending individual taxes, tax deductions	14	0	0.0	0.0
Maintaining welfare/the welfare state, social policy	15	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of welfare/social policy	16	0	0.0	0.0
Low income/poverty issues	17	0	0.0	0.0
Growth of income disparity	18	0	0.0	0.0
Social security	19	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of students	20	0	0.0	0.0
Raising student grants/subsistence of students	21	0	0.0	0.0
Energy policy	22	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	23	0	0.0	0.0
Climate/climate change, natural disasters	24	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Nature conservation/environmental issues	25	0	0.0	0.0
Traffic policy	26	0	0.0	0.0
Housing policy, rents	27	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy	28	0	0.0	0.0
Municipal policy/issues	29	0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural issues	30	0	0.0	0.0
Entrepreneur issues, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	31	0	0.0	0.0
Fairer wages	32	0	0.0	0.0
Worker issues, worker welfare	33	0	0.0	0.0
Equality	34	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances/issues of minorities/special groups	35	0	0.0	0.0
Immigration policy	36	0	0.0	0.0
Finnishness, Finland for the Finns	37	0	0.0	0.0
Economy/economic policy	38	0	0.0	0.0
Development of Finland in general	39	0	0.0	0.0
NATO	40	0	0.0	0.0
Foreign and security policy	41	0	0.0	0.0
The EU	42	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	43	0	0.0	0.0
Swedish language	44	0	0.0	0.0
Government coalition and who will be prime minister	45	0	0.0	0.0
Other issues connected to elections and voting	46	0	0.0	0.0
Values, morals	47	0	0.0	0.0
Something else	996	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1421	99.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q1AL_6] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -6 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -6 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pensioner issues/pensions	1	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation of pensioners, subsistence	2	1	0.1	100.0
Health care resources/labour shortage/working conditions	3	0	0.0	0.0
Social and health care in general	4	0	0.0	0.0
Wage level in health care	5	0	0.0	0.0
Care of the elderly	6	0	0.0	0.0
Status of family caregivers, home care	7	0	0.0	0.0
Mental health care	8	0	0.0	0.0
Employment/unemployment	9	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of labour policy	10	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of and support for families with children	11	0	0.0	0.0
Education	12	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation/tax policy	13	0	0.0	0.0
Amending individual taxes, tax deductions	14	0	0.0	0.0
Maintaining welfare/the welfare state, social policy	15	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of welfare/social policy	16	0	0.0	0.0
Low income/poverty issues	17	0	0.0	0.0
Growth of income disparity	18	0	0.0	0.0
Social security	19	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of students	20	0	0.0	0.0
Raising student grants/subsistence of students	21	0	0.0	0.0
Energy policy	22	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	23	0	0.0	0.0
Climate/climate change, natural disasters	24	0	0.0	0.0
Nature conservation/environmental issues	25	0	0.0	0.0
Traffic policy	26	0	0.0	0.0
Housing policy, rents	27	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy	28	0	0.0	0.0
Municipal policy/issues	29	0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural issues	30	0	0.0	0.0
Entrepreneur issues, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	31	0	0.0	0.0
Fairer wages	32	0	0.0	0.0
Worker issues, worker welfare	33	0	0.0	0.0
Equality	34	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances/issues of minorities/special groups	35	0	0.0	0.0
Immigration policy	36	0	0.0	0.0
Finnishness, Finland for the Finns	37	0	0.0	0.0
Economy/economic policy	38	0	0.0	0.0
Development of Finland in general	39	0	0.0	0.0
NATO	40	0	0.0	0.0
Foreign and security policy	41	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The EU	42	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	43	0	0.0	0.0
Swedish language	44	0	0.0	0.0
Government coalition and who will be prime minister	45	0	0.0	0.0
Other issues connected to elections and voting	46	0	0.0	0.0
Values, morals	47	0	0.0	0.0
Something else	996	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1421	99.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q1AL_7] What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -7 (Classified)

Question

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -7 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	14.00
maximum	14.00
mean	14.00
standard deviation	–

[Q1BL_1] What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified)

Question

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1419
minimum	1.00
maximum	999.00
mean	237.70
standard deviation	411.51

[Q1BL_2] What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified)

Question

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	143
minimum	1.00
maximum	996.00
mean	19.30
standard deviation	82.84

[Q1BL_3] What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified)

Question

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Pensioner issues/pensions	1	2	0.1	12.5
Taxation of pensioners, subsistence	2	0	0.0	0.0
Health care resources/labour shortage/working conditions	3	1	0.1	6.3
Social and health care in general	4	2	0.1	12.5
Wage level in health care	5	2	0.1	12.5
Care of the elderly	6	1	0.1	6.3
Status of family caregivers, home care	7	0	0.0	0.0
Mental health care	8	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Employment/unemployment	9	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of labour policy	10	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances of and support for families with children	11	3	0.2	18.8
Education	12	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation/tax policy	13	0	0.0	0.0
Amending individual taxes, tax deductions	14	0	0.0	0.0
Maintaining welfare/the welfare state, social policy	15	0	0.0	0.0
Special issues of welfare/social policy	16	0	0.0	0.0
Low income/poverty issues	17	0	0.0	0.0
Growth of income disparity	18	0	0.0	0.0
Social security	19	1	0.1	6.3
Circumstances of students	20	1	0.1	6.3
Raising student grants/subsistence of students	21	0	0.0	0.0
Energy policy	22	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	23	1	0.1	6.3
Climate/climate change, natural disasters	24	0	0.0	0.0
Nature conservation/environmental issues	25	0	0.0	0.0
Traffic policy	26	0	0.0	0.0
Housing policy, rents	27	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy	28	0	0.0	0.0
Municipal policy/issues	29	0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural issues	30	0	0.0	0.0
Entrepreneur issues, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	31	0	0.0	0.0
Fairer wages	32	0	0.0	0.0
Worker issues, worker welfare	33	0	0.0	0.0
Equality	34	0	0.0	0.0
Circumstances/issues of minorities/special groups	35	0	0.0	0.0
Immigration policy	36	0	0.0	0.0
Finnishness, Finland for the Finns	37	0	0.0	0.0
Economy/economic policy	38	0	0.0	0.0
Development of Finland in general	39	0	0.0	0.0
NATO	40	1	0.1	6.3
Foreign and security policy	41	0	0.0	0.0
The EU	42	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	43	0	0.0	0.0
Swedish language	44	0	0.0	0.0
Government coalition and who will be prime minister	45	0	0.0	0.0
Other issues connected to elections and voting	46	0	0.0	0.0
Values, morals	47	0	0.0	0.0
Something else	996	1	0.1	6.3
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1406	98.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q1BL_4] What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified)

Question

What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	4
minimum	12.00
maximum	46.00
mean	25.50
standard deviation	14.66

[Q2AL_1] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1391
minimum	1.00
maximum	998.00
mean	142.16
standard deviation	325.74

[Q2AL_2] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	183
minimum	1.00
maximum	49.00
mean	20.03
standard deviation	11.79

[Q2AL_3] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Foreign and security policy, international relations, development aid	1	0	0.0	0.0
Europe policy, the EU	2	0	0.0	0.0
NATO membership	3	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	4	1	0.1	4.2
Functioning of democracy, democracy	5	0	0.0	0.0
Politicians' actions/competence/impeccability	6	1	0.1	4.2
Economic development, economic globalization	7	0	0.0	0.0
Employment, unemployment	8	2	0.1	8.3
Status of entrepreneurs, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	9	0	0.0	0.0
Health care, general	10	2	0.1	8.3
Education and know-how	12	0	0.0	0.0
Poverty, low income issues, inequality, social exclusion	13	2	0.1	8.3
Housing situation, homelessness, housing prices	14	1	0.1	4.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Equality	15	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation and national economy	16	0	0.0	0.0
Status of municipalities, municipal economy	17	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy, regional development, regional inequality	18	1	0.1	4.2
Nature conservation, environmental issues, ecological lifestyle	19	1	0.1	4.2
Elderly issues, care of the elderly	20	4	0.3	16.7
Youth issues	21	0	0.0	0.0
Issues of families with children	22	2	0.1	8.3
Single parent issues	24	0	0.0	0.0
Employee issues, subsistence	25	0	0.0	0.0
Agriculture, agricultural issues	26	0	0.0	0.0
Criminality, insecurity, the preservation of law and order	27	1	0.1	4.2
Selfishness, values, the lack or preservation of morals	28	1	0.1	4.2
Immigrants, foreigner issues, multiculturalism: positive attitude	29	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	30	0	0.0	0.0
Alcohol, drugs, other intoxicants	32	1	0.1	4.2
Independence	34	0	0.0	0.0
Social security, the conservation of welfare, the support for special groups	35	0	0.0	0.0
Welfare services and securing of services in general	36	0	0.0	0.0
Shortage of nurses, nurse salaries, the shortage of doctors	37	1	0.1	4.2
Pensioner issues/pensions	38	0	0.0	0.0
Status of young people and students	39	1	0.1	4.2
Special issues of employment opportunities and workplaces (e.g. age racism, burn out, insecurity)	40	0	0.0	0.0
Retirement, baby boomers, aging	41	1	0.1	4.2
Energy policy, energy prices	42	0	0.0	0.0
Correct allocation of political decisions	43	1	0.1	4.2
Climate change, natural disasters	44	0	0.0	0.0
Immigrants/refugees: neutral attitude	45	0	0.0	0.0
Immigrants/refugees: negative attitude	46	0	0.0	0.0
Some other issue	49	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1398	98.3	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q2AL_4] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	4
minimum	20.00
maximum	37.00
mean	28.00
standard deviation	6.98

[Q2AL_5] What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -5 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -5 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	13.00
maximum	13.00
mean	13.00
standard deviation	–

[Q2BL_1] What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1363
minimum	1.00
maximum	999.00
mean	215.12
standard deviation	391.23

[Q2BL_2] What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	158
minimum	3.00
maximum	46.00
mean	21.04
standard deviation	11.81

[Q2BL_3] What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Foreign and security policy, international relations, development aid	1	0	0.0	0.0
Europe policy, the EU	2	0	0.0	0.0
NATO membership	3	0	0.0	0.0
Peace	4	0	0.0	0.0
Functioning of democracy, democracy	5	0	0.0	0.0
Politicians' actions/competence/impeccability	6	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Economic development, economic globalization	7	1	0.1	5.0
Employment, unemployment	8	0	0.0	0.0
Status of entrepreneurs, prerequisites for entrepreneurship	9	0	0.0	0.0
Health care, general	10	2	0.1	10.0
Education and know-how	12	0	0.0	0.0
Poverty, low income issues, inequality, social exclusion	13	1	0.1	5.0
Housing situation, homelessness, housing prices	14	1	0.1	5.0
Equality	15	0	0.0	0.0
Taxation and national economy	16	1	0.1	5.0
Status of municipalities, municipal economy	17	0	0.0	0.0
Regional policy, regional development, regional inequality	18	0	0.0	0.0
Nature conservation, environmental issues, ecological lifestyle	19	0	0.0	0.0
Elderly issues, care of the elderly	20	1	0.1	5.0
Youth issues	21	0	0.0	0.0
Issues of families with children	22	2	0.1	10.0
Single parent issues	24	0	0.0	0.0
Employee issues, subsistence	25	0	0.0	0.0
Agriculture, agricultural issues	26	0	0.0	0.0
Criminality, insecurity, the preservation of law and order	27	1	0.1	5.0
Selfishness, values, the lack or preservation of morals	28	0	0.0	0.0
Immigrants, foreigner issues, multiculturalism: positive attitude	29	0	0.0	0.0
Nuclear power	30	0	0.0	0.0
Alcohol, drugs, other intoxicants	32	2	0.1	10.0
Independence	34	0	0.0	0.0
Social security, the conservation of welfare, the support for special groups	35	1	0.1	5.0
Welfare services and securing of services in general	36	0	0.0	0.0
Shortage of nurses, nurse salaries, the shortage of doctors	37	1	0.1	5.0
Pensioner issues/pensions	38	1	0.1	5.0
Status of young people and students	39	2	0.1	10.0
Special issues of employment opportunities and workplaces (e.g. age racism, burn out, insecurity)	40	1	0.1	5.0
Retirement, baby boomers, aging	41	0	0.0	0.0
Energy policy, energy prices	42	1	0.1	5.0
Correct allocation of political decisions	43	0	0.0	0.0
Climate change, natural disasters	44	1	0.1	5.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Immigrants/refugees: neutral attitude	45	0	0.0	0.0
Immigrants/refugees: negative attitude	46	0	0.0	0.0
Some other issue	49	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say	997	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	998	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	999	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1402	98.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q2BL_4] What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified)

Question

What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified)

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	27.00
maximum	27.00
mean	27.00
standard deviation	–

[Q3A] Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Question

Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	217	15.3	15.3
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	211	14.8	14.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	183	12.9	12.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	45	3.2	3.2
VIHR (Green League)	5	85	6.0	6.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	56	3.9	3.9
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	45	3.2	3.2
PS (True Finns)	8	56	3.9	3.9
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	11	0.8	0.8
The Independence Party	10	1	0.1	0.1
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	1	0.1	0.1
For the Poor	13	8	0.6	0.6
Joint Responsibility Party	14	1	0.1	0.1
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	1	0.1	0.1
The Communist Party of Finland	17	2	0.1	0.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	2	0.1	0.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	2	0.1	0.1
None of the parties	96	138	9.7	9.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	10	0.7	0.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	163	11.5	11.5
Missing	99	184	12.9	12.9
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q3B] Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Question

Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	168	11.8	11.8
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	179	12.6	12.6
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	187	13.2	13.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	48	3.4	3.4
VIHR (Green League)	5	90	6.3	6.3
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	42	3.0	3.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	43	3.0	3.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
PS (True Finns)	8	47	3.3	3.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	7	0.5	0.5
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	1	0.1	0.1
For the Poor	13	7	0.5	0.5
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	1	0.1	0.1
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	1	0.1	0.1
The Communist Party of Finland	17	2	0.1	0.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	3	0.2	0.2
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	137	9.6	9.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	7	0.5	0.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	151	10.6	10.6
Missing	99	301	21.2	21.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q4] Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes?

Question

Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
It doesn't make any difference who is in power	1	72	5.1	5.1
2	2	70	4.9	4.9
3	3	241	16.9	16.9
4	4	439	30.9	30.9
It makes a big difference who is in power	5	591	41.6	41.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	9	0.6	0.6
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q5] Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say?

Question

Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Who people vote for won't make any difference	1	58	4.1	4.1
2	2	85	6.0	6.0
3	3	280	19.7	19.7
4	4	435	30.6	30.6
Who people vote for can make a big difference	5	559	39.3	39.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS))	8	5	0.4	0.4
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q6] Thinking about the general performance of the government under Matti Vanhanen over the past four years, how good or bad a job has it done?

Question

Thinking about the general performance of the government under Matti Vanhanen over the past four years, how good or bad a job has it done?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very good job	1	50	3.5	3.5
Good job	2	987	69.4	69.4
Bad job	3	301	21.2	21.2
Very bad job	4	37	2.6	2.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	46	3.2	3.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q7] Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well?

Question

Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	1033	72.6	72.6
No	2	303	21.3	21.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	83	5.8	5.8
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q7A] Which party represents your views best?

Question

Which party represents your views best?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	195	13.7	13.7
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	180	12.7	12.7
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	202	14.2	14.2
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	53	3.7	3.7
VIHR (Green League)	5	97	6.8	6.8
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	158	11.1	11.1
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	45	3.2	3.2
PS (True Finns)	8	55	3.9	3.9
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	3	0.2	0.2
The Independence Party	10	2	0.1	0.1
Liberals	11	1	0.1	0.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	1	0.1	0.1
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	3	0.2	0.2
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
Cast an invalid ballot	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	27	1.9	1.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	11	0.8	0.8
Missing	99	389	27.4	27.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q8] Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well?

Question

Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	789	55.5	55.5
No	2	484	34.0	34.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	8	0.6	0.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	141	9.9	9.9
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q8A] Which party leader represents your views best?

Question

Which party leader represents your views best?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Centre Party of Finland (Matti Vanhanen)	1	235	16.5	16.5
Social Democratic Party of Finland (Eero Heinäloma)	2	76	5.3	5.3
National Coalition Party (Jyrki Katainen)	3	114	8.0	8.0
Left Alliance (Martti Korhonen)	4	21	1.5	1.5
Green League (Tarja Cronberg)	5	62	4.4	4.4
Swedish People's Party in Finland (Stefan Wallin)	6	120	8.4	8.4
Christian Democrats (Päivi Räsänen)	7	40	2.8	2.8
True Finns (Timo Soini)	8	75	5.3	5.3
The Finnish Workers' Party (Juhani Tanski)	9	1	0.1	0.1
The Independence Party (Antti Pesonen)	10	1	0.1	0.1
Liberals (Ilkka Innamaa)	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change In Finland (Reijo Katajaranta)	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor (Terttu Savola)	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party (Taisto Vaetoja)	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement (Matti Järviharju)	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites (Olavi Mäenpää)	16	1	0.1	0.1
The Communist Party of Finland (Yrjö Hakanen)	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party (Esko A. Repo)	18	1	0.1	0.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party (Hannu Harju)	19	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	16	1.1	1.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	26	1.8	1.8
Missing	99	633	44.5	44.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9A] Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or you feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or you feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	56	3.9	3.9
1	1	29	2.0	2.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
2	2	60	4.2	4.2
3	3	101	7.1	7.1
4	4	116	8.2	8.2
5	5	245	17.2	17.2
6	6	152	10.7	10.7
7	7	246	17.3	17.3
8	8	198	13.9	13.9
9	9	78	5.5	5.5
Strongly like	10	74	5.2	5.2
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	15	1.1	1.1
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	52	3.7	3.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9B] Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	70	4.9	4.9
1	1	48	3.4	3.4
2	2	76	5.3	5.3
3	3	114	8.0	8.0
4	4	147	10.3	10.3
5	5	231	16.2	16.2
6	6	191	13.4	13.4
7	7	198	13.9	13.9
8	8	173	12.2	12.2
9	9	61	4.3	4.3
Strongly like	10	49	3.4	3.4
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	18	1.3	1.3
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	46	3.2	3.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9C] Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK)**Question***Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	89	6.3	6.3
1	1	64	4.5	4.5
2	2	82	5.8	5.8
3	3	111	7.8	7.8
4	4	133	9.4	9.4
5	5	181	12.7	12.7
6	6	167	11.7	11.7
7	7	208	14.6	14.6
8	8	159	11.2	11.2
9	9	104	7.3	7.3
Strongly like	10	56	3.9	3.9
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	17	1.2	1.2
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	51	3.6	3.6
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9D] Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS)**Question***Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	180	12.7	12.7
1	1	134	9.4	9.4
2	2	153	10.8	10.8
3	3	165	11.6	11.6
4	4	175	12.3	12.3
5	5	198	13.9	13.9
6	6	149	10.5	10.5
7	7	95	6.7	6.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
8	8	51	3.6	3.6
9	9	27	1.9	1.9
Strongly like	10	17	1.2	1.2
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	17	1.2	1.2
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	61	4.3	4.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9E] Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	77	5.4	5.4
1	1	70	4.9	4.9
2	2	98	6.9	6.9
3	3	117	8.2	8.2
4	4	150	10.5	10.5
5	5	223	15.7	15.7
6	6	178	12.5	12.5
7	7	182	12.8	12.8
8	8	158	11.1	11.1
9	9	80	5.6	5.6
Strongly like	10	31	2.2	2.2
Haven't heard of the party	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	15	1.1	1.1
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	42	3.0	3.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9F] Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	122	8.6	8.6
1	1	86	6.0	6.0
2	2	119	8.4	8.4
3	3	142	10.0	10.0
4	4	118	8.3	8.3
5	5	196	13.8	13.8
6	6	140	9.8	9.8
7	7	133	9.4	9.4
8	8	133	9.4	9.4
9	9	67	4.7	4.7
Strongly like	10	65	4.6	4.6
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	15	1.1	1.1
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	86	6.0	6.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9G] Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	100	7.0	7.0
1	1	76	5.3	5.3
2	2	125	8.8	8.8
3	3	150	10.5	10.5
4	4	155	10.9	10.9
5	5	261	18.4	18.4
6	6	193	13.6	13.6
7	7	145	10.2	10.2
8	8	89	6.3	6.3
9	9	30	2.1	2.1
Strongly like	10	23	1.6	1.6
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	15	1.1	1.1
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	60	4.2	4.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q9H] Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS)**Question**

Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	143	10.1	10.1
1	1	116	8.2	8.2
2	2	141	9.9	9.9
3	3	121	8.5	8.5
4	4	134	9.4	9.4
5	5	217	15.3	15.3
6	6	150	10.5	10.5
7	7	136	9.6	9.6
8	8	99	7.0	7.0
9	9	37	2.6	2.6
Strongly like	10	22	1.5	1.5
Haven't heard of the party	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	16	1.1	1.1
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	90	6.3	6.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10A] Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or you feel you do not know enough about: Matti Vanhanen (KESK)

Question

Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or you feel you do not know enough about: Matti Vanhanen (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	50	3.5	3.5
1	1	30	2.1	2.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
2	2	42	3.0	3.0
3	3	57	4.0	4.0
4	4	78	5.5	5.5
5	5	165	11.6	11.6
6	6	152	10.7	10.7
7	7	259	18.2	18.2
8	8	320	22.5	22.5
9	9	140	9.8	9.8
Strongly like	10	93	6.5	6.5
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	11	0.8	0.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	25	1.8	1.8
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10B] Opinion on party leaders: Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	88	6.2	6.2
1	1	59	4.1	4.1
2	2	95	6.7	6.7
3	3	134	9.4	9.4
4	4	160	11.3	11.3
5	5	227	16.0	16.0
6	6	211	14.8	14.8
7	7	203	14.3	14.3
8	8	94	6.6	6.6
9	9	45	3.2	3.2
Strongly like	10	18	1.3	1.3
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	5	0.4	0.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	11	0.8	0.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	72	5.1	5.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10C] Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	77	5.4	5.4
1	1	63	4.4	4.4
2	2	77	5.4	5.4
3	3	88	6.2	6.2
4	4	124	8.7	8.7
5	5	174	12.2	12.2
6	6	195	13.7	13.7
7	7	212	14.9	14.9
8	8	192	13.5	13.5
9	9	94	6.6	6.6
Strongly like	10	34	2.4	2.4
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	3	0.2	0.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	11	0.8	0.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	78	5.5	5.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10D] Opinion on party leaders: Martti Korhonen (VAS)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Martti Korhonen (VAS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	97	6.8	6.8
1	1	84	5.9	5.9
2	2	121	8.5	8.5
3	3	144	10.1	10.1
4	4	167	11.7	11.7
5	5	218	15.3	15.3
6	6	139	9.8	9.8
7	7	102	7.2	7.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
8	8	54	3.8	3.8
9	9	13	0.9	0.9
Strongly like	10	8	0.6	0.6
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	32	2.3	2.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	12	0.8	0.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	231	16.2	16.2
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10E] Opinion on party leaders: Tarja Cronberg (VIHR)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Tarja Cronberg (VIHR)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	66	4.6	4.6
1	1	57	4.0	4.0
2	2	93	6.5	6.5
3	3	125	8.8	8.8
4	4	151	10.6	10.6
5	5	247	17.4	17.4
6	6	181	12.7	12.7
7	7	162	11.4	11.4
8	8	127	8.9	8.9
9	9	40	2.8	2.8
Strongly like	10	21	1.5	1.5
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	12	0.8	0.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	12	0.8	0.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	128	9.0	9.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10F] Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP)***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	80	5.6	5.6
1	1	61	4.3	4.3
2	2	89	6.3	6.3
3	3	104	7.3	7.3
4	4	122	8.6	8.6
5	5	181	12.7	12.7
6	6	160	11.3	11.3
7	7	156	11.0	11.0
8	8	136	9.6	9.6
9	9	59	4.1	4.1
Strongly like	10	46	3.2	3.2
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	19	1.3	1.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	16	1.1	1.1
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	193	13.6	13.6
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10G] Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD)

Question

Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	93	6.5	6.5
1	1	71	5.0	5.0
2	2	114	8.0	8.0
3	3	103	7.2	7.2
4	4	150	10.5	10.5
5	5	222	15.6	15.6
6	6	217	15.3	15.3
7	7	176	12.4	12.4
8	8	104	7.3	7.3
9	9	38	2.7	2.7
Strongly like	10	15	1.1	1.1
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	12	0.8	0.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	11	0.8	0.8
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	96	6.8	6.8
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q10H] Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS)**Question***Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly dislike	0	96	6.8	6.8
1	1	81	5.7	5.7
2	2	97	6.8	6.8
3	3	116	8.2	8.2
4	4	121	8.5	8.5
5	5	184	12.9	12.9
6	6	163	11.5	11.5
7	7	191	13.4	13.4
8	8	174	12.2	12.2
9	9	72	5.1	5.1
Strongly like	10	30	2.1	2.1
Haven't heard of the party leader	96	2	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	14	1.0	1.0
Don't know enough about/don't know where to rate	98	81	5.7	5.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11A] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?**Question***In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	1	0.1	0.1
1	1	1	0.1	0.1
2	2	6	0.4	0.4
3	3	19	1.3	1.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
4	4	59	4.1	4.1
5	5	308	21.7	21.7
6	6	254	17.9	17.9
7	7	368	25.9	25.9
8	8	224	15.8	15.8
9	9	58	4.1	4.1
Right	10	55	3.9	3.9
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	5	0.4	0.4
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	6	0.4	0.4
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	58	4.1	4.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11B] Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	32	2.3	2.3
1	1	64	4.5	4.5
2	2	148	10.4	10.4
3	3	257	18.1	18.1
4	4	302	21.2	21.2
5	5	255	17.9	17.9
6	6	138	9.7	9.7
7	7	81	5.7	5.7
8	8	36	2.5	2.5
9	9	15	1.1	1.1
Right	10	10	0.7	0.7
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	8	0.6	0.6
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	5	0.4	0.4
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	71	5.0	5.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11C] Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	5	0.4	0.4
1	1	7	0.5	0.5
2	2	11	0.8	0.8
3	3	15	1.1	1.1
4	4	45	3.2	3.2
5	5	90	6.3	6.3
6	6	88	6.2	6.2
7	7	165	11.6	11.6
8	8	281	19.8	19.8
9	9	321	22.6	22.6
Right	10	307	21.6	21.6
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	7	0.5	0.5
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	5	0.4	0.4
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	75	5.3	5.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11D] Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	336	23.6	23.6
1	1	341	24.0	24.0
2	2	262	18.4	18.4
3	3	183	12.9	12.9
4	4	117	8.2	8.2
5	5	62	4.4	4.4
6	6	23	1.6	1.6
7	7	14	1.0	1.0
8	8	5	0.4	0.4
9	9	0	0.0	0.0
Right	10	3	0.2	0.2
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	7	0.5	0.5
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	6	0.4	0.4
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	63	4.4	4.4
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11E] Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	16	1.1	1.1
1	1	34	2.4	2.4
2	2	59	4.1	4.1
3	3	166	11.7	11.7
4	4	243	17.1	17.1
5	5	415	29.2	29.2
6	6	214	15.0	15.0
7	7	115	8.1	8.1
8	8	42	3.0	3.0
9	9	9	0.6	0.6
Right	10	4	0.3	0.3
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	9	0.6	0.6
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	5	0.4	0.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	91	6.4	6.4
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11F] Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	8	0.6	0.6
1	1	16	1.1	1.1
2	2	27	1.9	1.9
3	3	52	3.7	3.7
4	4	41	2.9	2.9
5	5	191	13.4	13.4
6	6	193	13.6	13.6
7	7	291	20.5	20.5
8	8	270	19.0	19.0
9	9	150	10.5	10.5
Right	10	63	4.4	4.4
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	7	0.5	0.5
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	2	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	4	0.3	0.3
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	107	7.5	7.5
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11G] Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	7	0.5	0.5
1	1	19	1.3	1.3
2	2	21	1.5	1.5
3	3	53	3.7	3.7
4	4	114	8.0	8.0
5	5	297	20.9	20.9
6	6	265	18.6	18.6
7	7	278	19.5	19.5
8	8	155	10.9	10.9
9	9	63	4.4	4.4
Right	10	27	1.9	1.9
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	8	0.6	0.6
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	6	0.4	0.4
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	109	7.7	7.7
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q11H] Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS)

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	19	1.3	1.3
1	1	24	1.7	1.7
2	2	60	4.2	4.2
3	3	97	6.8	6.8
4	4	135	9.5	9.5
5	5	268	18.8	18.8
6	6	185	13.0	13.0
7	7	157	11.0	11.0
8	8	147	10.3	10.3
9	9	95	6.7	6.7
Right	10	73	5.1	5.1
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	10	0.7	0.7
Haven't heard of the party (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	6	0.4	0.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	146	10.3	10.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q12A] In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Matti Vanhanen (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

Question

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Matti Vanhanen (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	4	0.3	0.3
1	1	8	0.6	0.6
2	2	8	0.6	0.6
3	3	24	1.7	1.7
4	4	41	2.9	2.9
5	5	252	17.7	17.7
6	6	223	15.7	15.7
7	7	329	23.1	23.1
8	8	298	21.0	21.0
9	9	86	6.0	6.0
Right	10	61	4.3	4.3
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	4	0.3	0.3
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	8	0.6	0.6
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	76	5.3	5.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q12B] Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)?

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	21	1.5	1.5
1	1	66	4.6	4.6
2	2	150	10.5	10.5
3	3	247	17.4	17.4
4	4	276	19.4	19.4
5	5	248	17.4	17.4
6	6	157	11.0	11.0
7	7	87	6.1	6.1
8	8	44	3.1	3.1
9	9	8	0.6	0.6
Right	10	11	0.8	0.8
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	9	0.6	0.6
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	7	0.5	0.5
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	90	6.3	6.3
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q12C] Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)?

Question

Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	7	0.5	0.5
1	1	5	0.4	0.4
2	2	8	0.6	0.6
3	3	22	1.5	1.5
4	4	30	2.1	2.1
5	5	80	5.6	5.6
6	6	96	6.8	6.8
7	7	154	10.8	10.8
8	8	300	21.1	21.1
9	9	327	23.0	23.0
Right	10	285	20.0	20.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Haven't heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	9	0.6	0.6
Haven't heard of the party leader (SPONTANEOUS)	96	1	0.1	0.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	7	0.5	0.5
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	91	6.4	6.4
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q13] Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale?**Question***Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	0	33	2.3	2.3
1	1	30	2.1	2.1
2	2	54	3.8	3.8
3	3	89	6.3	6.3
4	4	117	8.2	8.2
5	5	316	22.2	22.2
6	6	167	11.7	11.7
7	7	218	15.3	15.3
8	8	186	13.1	13.1
9	9	74	5.2	5.2
Right	10	41	2.9	2.9
Have never heard of the left-right scale (SPONTANEOUS)	95	4	0.3	0.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	20	1.4	1.4
Don't know where to place (SPONTANEOUS)	98	73	5.1	5.1
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q17] During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties?**Question***During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties?*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
There were major differences	1	225	15.8	15.8
There were minor differences	2	1021	71.8	71.8
There were no differences at all	3	118	8.3	8.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	4	0.3	0.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	54	3.8	3.8
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q18] How closely did you follow the election campaigning?**Question**

How closely did you follow the election campaigning?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very closely	1	140	9.8	9.8
Fairly closely	2	567	39.9	39.9
Not very closely	3	580	40.8	40.8
Not closely at all	4	131	9.2	9.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	2	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	2	0.1	0.1
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q19] How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland?**Question**

How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very satisfied	1	100	7.0	7.0
Fairly satisfied	2	997	70.1	70.1
Not very satisfied	3	261	18.4	18.4
Not at all satisfied	4	45	3.2	3.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	2	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	17	1.2	1.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q20] Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party?**Question***Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	767	53.9	53.9
No	5	610	42.9	42.9
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	4	0.3	0.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	41	2.9	2.9
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q20A] Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?**Question***Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	344	24.2	52.5
No	5	273	19.2	41.7
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	5	0.4	0.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	33	2.3	5.0
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	767	53.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q20B] Which party do you feel closest to?**Question***Which party do you feel closest to?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	201	14.1	14.1
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	212	14.9	14.9
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	195	13.7	13.7
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	55	3.9	3.9
VIHR (Green League)	5	120	8.4	8.4
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	197	13.9	13.9
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	44	3.1	3.1
PS (True Finns)	8	42	3.0	3.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	2	0.1	0.1
The Independence Party	10	1	0.1	0.1
Liberals	11	2	0.1	0.1
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	4	0.3	0.3
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	1	0.1	0.1
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	23	1.6	1.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	12	0.8	0.8
Missing	99	311	21.9	21.9
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q20C] Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?**Question***Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very close	1	151	10.6	10.6
Somewhat close	2	719	50.6	50.6
Not very close	3	206	14.5	14.5
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	9	346	24.3	24.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21] Nowadays a lot of people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day?

Question

Nowadays a lot of people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Didn't vote this time	1	232	16.3	16.3
Voted in advance (early voting before election day)	2	572	40.2	40.2
Voted on the election day	3	616	43.3	43.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	1	0.1	0.1
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_1] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	39	2.7	100.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1383	97.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_2] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	40	2.8	100.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1382	97.2	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_3] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	26	1.8	100.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1396	98.2	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_4] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Left Alliance (VAS)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Left Alliance (VAS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	3	0.2	100.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1419	99.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_5] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Green League (VIHR)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	30	2.1	100.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1392	97.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_6] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	21	1.5	100.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1401	98.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_7] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	9	0.6	100.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1413	99.4	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_8] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: True Finns (PS)

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	23	1.6	100.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1399	98.4	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_9] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Workers' Party

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Workers' Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	3	0.2	100.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1419	99.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q21A_13] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: For the Poor

Question

*(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for:
For the Poor*

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	4
minimum	13.00
maximum	13.00

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
mean	13.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q21A_14] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Joint Responsibility Party

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Joint Responsibility Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	14.00
maximum	14.00
mean	14.00
standard deviation	–

[Q21A_15] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Patriotic Movement

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Patriotic Movement

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	15.00
maximum	15.00
mean	15.00
standard deviation	–

[Q21A_16] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish People's Blue-Whites

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish People's Blue-Whites

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	16.00
maximum	16.00
mean	16.00
standard deviation	–

[Q21A_18] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Senior Citizens' Party

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Senior Citizens' Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	18.00
maximum	18.00
mean	18.00
standard deviation	–

[Q21A_19] (IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: None of these parties, can't say, missing

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: None of these parties, can't say, missing

Descriptive statistics

2. Variables

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1245
minimum	96.00
maximum	99.00
mean	98.92
standard deviation	0.44

[Q21C] (IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections?

Question

(IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	210	14.8	14.8
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	218	15.3	15.3
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	186	13.1	13.1
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	80	5.6	5.6
VIHR (Green League)	5	102	7.2	7.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	196	13.8	13.8
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	61	4.3	4.3
PS (True Finns)	8	32	2.3	2.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	1	0.1	0.1
The Independence Party	10	3	0.2	0.2
Liberals	11	1	0.1	0.1
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	4	0.3	0.3
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	4	0.3	0.3
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	1	0.1	0.1
Cast an invalid ballot	96	3	0.2	0.2
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	72	5.1	5.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	14	1.0	1.0
Missing	99	234	16.5	16.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22A] Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group?

Question

Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	522	36.7	36.7
No	5	661	46.5	46.5
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	2	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	3	0.2	0.2
Missing	9	234	16.5	16.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_1] Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	114	8.0	100.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1308	92.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_2] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	101	7.1	100.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1321	92.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_3] Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	110	7.7	100.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1312	92.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_4] Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS)**Question***Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	48	3.4	100.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1374	96.6	-
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_5] Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR)**Question***Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	107	7.5	100.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1315	92.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_6] Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	44	3.1	100.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1378	96.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_7] Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	43	3.0	100.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1379	97.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_8] Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS)**Question***Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	57	4.0	100.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1365	96.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_9] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	2	0.1	100.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1420	99.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22B_10] Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	10.00
maximum	10.00
mean	10.00
standard deviation	–

[Q22B_11] Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	11.00
maximum	11.00
mean	11.00
standard deviation	–

[Q22B_12] Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	12.00

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
maximum	12.00
mean	12.00
standard deviation	–

[Q22B_13] Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2
minimum	13.00
maximum	13.00
mean	13.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22B_14] Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	14.00
maximum	14.00
mean	14.00
standard deviation	–

[Q22B_15] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1
minimum	15.00
maximum	15.00
mean	15.00
standard deviation	–

[Q22B_16] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	2
minimum	16.00
maximum	16.00
mean	16.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22B_17] Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	3
minimum	17.00
maximum	17.00
mean	17.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22B_18] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	3
minimum	18.00
maximum	18.00
mean	18.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22B_19] Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	4
minimum	19.00
maximum	19.00
mean	19.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22B_20] Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	947
minimum	97.00
maximum	99.00
mean	98.97
standard deviation	0.24

[Q22C] And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for?

Question

And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	983	69.1	69.1
No	5	160	11.3	11.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	9	0.6	0.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	36	2.5	2.5
Missing	9	234	16.5	16.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_1] Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	100	7.0	100.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1322	93.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_2] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	156	11.0	100.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1266	89.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_3] Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	205	14.4	100.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1217	85.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_4] Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS)**Question***Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	348	24.5	100.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1074	75.5	-
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_5] Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR)**Question***Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	129	9.1	100.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1293	90.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_6] Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	164	11.5	100.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1258	88.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_7] Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	202	14.2	100.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1220	85.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_8] Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS)

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	325	22.9	100.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1097	77.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_9] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	0	0.0	0.0
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	0	0.0	0.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	0	0.0	0.0
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	0	0.0	0.0
VIHR (Green League)	5	0	0.0	0.0
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	0	0.0	0.0
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	0	0.0	0.0
PS (True Finns)	8	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	188	13.2	100.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	0	0.0	0.0
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0
The Communist Party of Finland	17	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	0	0.0	0.0
None of the parties	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	0	0.0	0.0
Missing	99	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1234	86.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q22D_10] Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	189
minimum	10.00
maximum	10.00
mean	10.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_11] Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	150
minimum	11.00
maximum	11.00
mean	11.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_12] Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	184
minimum	12.00

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
maximum	12.00
mean	12.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_13] Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	175
minimum	13.00
maximum	13.00
mean	13.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_14] Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	171
minimum	14.00
maximum	14.00
mean	14.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_15] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	226
minimum	15.00
maximum	15.00
mean	15.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_16] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	207
minimum	16.00
maximum	16.00
mean	16.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_17] Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	338
minimum	17.00
maximum	17.00
mean	17.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_18] Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party**Question**

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	180
minimum	18.00
maximum	18.00
mean	18.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_19] Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	282
minimum	19.00
maximum	19.00
mean	19.00
standard deviation	0.00

[Q22D_20] Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing

Question

Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	480
minimum	97.00
maximum	99.00
mean	98.86
standard deviation	0.48

[Q23] Did you vote four years ago, in the 2003 parliamentary elections?**Question**

Did you vote four years ago, in the 2003 parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	1160	81.6	81.6
No	5	168	11.8	11.8
No right to vote (no franchise)	6	80	5.6	5.6
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	11	0.8	0.8
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q23B] (IF YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for?**Question**

(IF YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
KESK (Centre Party of Finland)	1	206	14.5	14.5
SDP (Social Democratic Party of Finland)	2	242	17.0	17.0
KOK (National Coalition Party)	3	155	10.9	10.9
VAS (Left Alliance)	4	69	4.9	4.9
VIHR (Green League)	5	88	6.2	6.2
RKP (Swedish People's Party in Finland)	6	188	13.2	13.2
KD (Christian Democrats in Finland)	7	49	3.4	3.4
PS (True Finns)	8	18	1.3	1.3
The Finnish Workers' Party	9	0	0.0	0.0
The Independence Party	10	0	0.0	0.0
Liberals	11	1	0.1	0.1
Forces for Change in Finland	12	0	0.0	0.0
For the Poor	13	0	0.0	0.0
Joint Responsibility Party	14	0	0.0	0.0
The Finnish Patriotic Movement	15	0	0.0	0.0
Finnish People's Blue-Whites	16	0	0.0	0.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Communist Party of Finland	17	2	0.1	0.1
Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	18	0	0.0	0.0
For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	19	2	0.1	0.1
Cast an invalid ballot	96	0	0.0	0.0
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	97	75	5.3	5.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	98	65	4.6	4.6
Missing	99	262	18.4	18.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q24A] Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2006?

Question

Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2006?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Correct answer provided by respondent	1	1180	83.0	83.0
Incorrect answer provided by respondent	5	120	8.4	8.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	3	0.2	0.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	119	8.4	8.4
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q24B] Which of the following parties has the second largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament?

Question

Which of the following parties has the second largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Correct answer provided by respondent	1	1096	77.1	77.1
Incorrect answer provided by respondent	5	233	16.4	16.4
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	4	0.3	0.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	89	6.3	6.3
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q24C] Which of the following countries is a permanent member in the United Nations (UN) Security Council?

Question

Which of the following countries is a permanent member in the United Nations (UN) Security Council?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Correct answer provided by respondent	1	542	38.1	38.2
Incorrect answer provided by respondent	5	571	40.2	40.3
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	4	0.3	0.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	301	21.2	21.2
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	4	0.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q24D] Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections?

Question

Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Correct answer provided by respondent	1	1268	89.2	89.2
Incorrect answer provided by respondent	5	143	10.1	10.1
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	2	0.1	0.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	9	0.6	0.6
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[Q24E] What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government?

Question

What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Correct answer provided by respondent	1	629	44.2	44.2
Incorrect answer provided by respondent	5	367	25.8	25.8
Refused to say (SPONTANEOUS)	7	18	1.3	1.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	8	408	28.7	28.7
Missing	9	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_1] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	45	3.2	19.4
Had some importance	2	37	2.6	15.9
Had no importance	3	142	10.0	61.2
Can't say	4	8	0.6	3.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_2] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	68	4.8	29.3
Had some importance	2	41	2.9	17.7
Had no importance	3	119	8.4	51.3
Can't say	4	4	0.3	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_3] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job prevented me from voting

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job prevented me from voting

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	13	0.9	5.6
Had some importance	2	7	0.5	3.0
Had no importance	3	207	14.6	89.2
Can't say	4	5	0.4	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_4] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of travel

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of travel

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	14	1.0	6.0
Had some importance	2	5	0.4	2.2
Had no importance	3	209	14.7	90.1
Can't say	4	4	0.3	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

**[K18_5] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Could not vote because of illness or advanced age**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	17	1.2	7.3
Had some importance	2	2	0.1	0.9
Had no importance	3	207	14.6	89.2
Can't say	4	6	0.4	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

**[K18_6] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Didn't remember to vote**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Didn't remember to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	7	0.5	3.0
Had some importance	2	11	0.8	4.7
Had no importance	3	210	14.8	90.5
Can't say	4	4	0.3	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

**[K18_7] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
Simply couldn't be bothered to vote**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Simply couldn't be bothered to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	64	4.5	27.6
Had some importance	2	49	3.4	21.1
Had no importance	3	113	7.9	48.7
Can't say	4	6	0.4	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_8] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from voting**Question**

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from voting

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	63	4.4	27.2
Had some importance	2	51	3.6	22.0
Had no importance	3	113	7.9	48.7
Can't say	4	5	0.4	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_9] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election**Question**

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	55	3.9	23.7
Had some importance	2	50	3.5	21.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Had no importance	3	123	8.6	53.0
Can't say	4	4	0.3	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_10] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	73	5.1	31.5
Had some importance	2	54	3.8	23.3
Had no importance	3	100	7.0	43.1
Can't say	4	5	0.4	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K18_11] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	30	2.1	12.9
Had some importance	2	29	2.0	12.5
Had no importance	3	169	11.9	72.8
Can't say	4	4	0.3	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

**[K18_12] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	57	4.0	24.6
Had some importance	2	59	4.1	25.4
Had no importance	3	113	7.9	48.7
Can't say	4	3	0.2	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

**[K18_13] (IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections:
The parties or candidates I would have preferred had no chance of winning
a seat to Parliament in my constituency**

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: The parties or candidates I would have preferred had no chance of winning a seat to Parliament in my constituency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Was an important reason	1	17	1.2	7.3
Had some importance	2	22	1.5	9.5
Had no importance	3	176	12.4	75.9
Can't say	4	17	1.2	7.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K19] (IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote?

Question

(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
It was self-evident that I would not vote	1	74	5.2	31.9
Considered voting but not seriously	2	69	4.9	29.7
Considered voting seriously	3	83	5.8	35.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	6	0.4	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1190	83.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K20] (IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate?

Question

(IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Party more important	1	601	42.3	50.6
Candidate more important	2	571	40.2	48.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	3	16	1.1	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_1] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	64	4.5	5.4
Rather a lot	2	232	16.3	19.5
Somewhat	3	358	25.2	30.1
Not at all	4	530	37.3	44.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_2] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	177	12.4	14.9
Rather a lot	2	348	24.5	29.3
Somewhat	3	359	25.2	30.2
Not at all	4	291	20.5	24.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	13	0.9	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_3] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	227	16.0	19.1
Rather a lot	2	395	27.8	33.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	3	314	22.1	26.4
Not at all	4	242	17.0	20.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	10	0.7	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_4] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: I'm a stable supporter of this party

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: I'm a stable supporter of this party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	404	28.4	34.0
Rather a lot	2	236	16.6	19.9
Somewhat	3	233	16.4	19.6
Not at all	4	311	21.9	26.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_5] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	326	22.9	27.4
Rather a lot	2	559	39.3	47.1
Somewhat	3	248	17.4	20.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	4	43	3.0	3.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	12	0.8	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_6] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to form policies

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to form policies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	338	23.8	28.5
Rather a lot	2	587	41.3	49.4
Somewhat	3	214	15.0	18.0
Not at all	4	37	2.6	3.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	12	0.8	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_7] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	177	12.4	14.9
Rather a lot	2	507	35.7	42.7
Somewhat	3	338	23.8	28.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	4	146	10.3	12.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	20	1.4	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_8] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Successful election campaign of the party

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Successful election campaign of the party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	125	8.8	10.5
Rather a lot	2	295	20.7	24.8
Somewhat	3	390	27.4	32.8
Not at all	4	368	25.9	31.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	10	0.7	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_9] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party had good chance of winning one or more seats to Parliament from my constituency

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party had good chance of winning one or more seats to Parliament from my constituency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	250	17.6	21.0
Rather a lot	2	426	30.0	35.9
Somewhat	3	306	21.5	25.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all	4	201	14.1	16.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_10] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	34	2.4	2.9
Rather a lot	2	99	7.0	8.3
Somewhat	3	275	19.3	23.1
Not at all	4	758	53.3	63.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	22	1.5	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_11] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	158	11.1	13.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rather a lot	2	230	16.2	19.4
Somewhat	3	276	19.4	23.2
Not at all	4	498	35.0	41.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	26	1.8	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K21_12] To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	238	16.7	20.0
Rather a lot	2	372	26.2	31.3
Somewhat	3	340	23.9	28.6
Not at all	4	221	15.5	18.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	17	1.2	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_1] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	105	7.4	8.8
Rather a lot	2	279	19.6	23.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat	3	329	23.1	27.7
Not at all	4	474	33.3	39.9
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	1	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_2] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	138	9.7	11.6
Rather a lot	2	180	12.7	15.2
Somewhat	3	194	13.6	16.3
Not at all	4	673	47.3	56.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_3] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	121	8.5	10.2
Rather a lot	2	322	22.6	27.1
Somewhat	3	402	28.3	33.8
Not at all	4	336	23.6	28.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	7	0.5	0.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_4] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	186	13.1	15.7
Rather a lot	2	280	19.7	23.6
Somewhat	3	261	18.4	22.0
Not at all	4	457	32.1	38.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_5] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	290	20.4	24.4
Rather a lot	2	427	30.0	35.9
Somewhat	3	306	21.5	25.8
Not at all	4	160	11.3	13.5
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_6] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	469	33.0	39.5
Rather a lot	2	546	38.4	46.0
Somewhat	3	129	9.1	10.9
Not at all	4	40	2.8	3.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_7] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	450	31.6	37.9
Rather a lot	2	550	38.7	46.3
Somewhat	3	146	10.3	12.3
Not at all	4	28	2.0	2.4
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	14	1.0	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_8] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	123	8.6	10.4
Rather a lot	2	258	18.1	21.7
Somewhat	3	437	30.7	36.8
Not at all	4	366	25.7	30.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_9] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	166	11.7	14.0
Rather a lot	2	329	23.1	27.7
Somewhat	3	367	25.8	30.9
Not at all	4	323	22.7	27.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_10] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	436	30.7	36.7
Rather a lot	2	536	37.7	45.1
Somewhat	3	171	12.0	14.4
Not at all	4	39	2.7	3.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	6	0.4	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_11] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	549	38.6	46.2
Rather a lot	2	356	25.0	30.0
Somewhat	3	204	14.3	17.2
Not at all	4	74	5.2	6.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_12] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	77	5.4	6.5
Rather a lot	2	200	14.1	16.8
Somewhat	3	499	35.1	42.0
Not at all	4	406	28.6	34.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	6	0.4	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_13] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	212	14.9	17.8
Rather a lot	2	415	29.2	34.9
Somewhat	3	366	25.7	30.8
Not at all	4	192	13.5	16.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3	0.2	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_14] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from friends, acquaintances or relatives

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from friends, acquaintances or relatives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	38	2.7	3.2
Rather a lot	2	66	4.6	5.6
Somewhat	3	168	11.8	14.1
Not at all	4	911	64.1	76.7
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_15] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	21	1.5	1.8
Rather a lot	2	42	3.0	3.5
Somewhat	3	151	10.6	12.7
Not at all	4	965	67.9	81.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	9	0.6	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K22_16] To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors

Question

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decisive factor in my choice	1	90	6.3	7.6
Rather a lot	2	151	10.6	12.7
Somewhat	3	158	11.1	13.3
Not at all	4	726	51.1	61.1
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	63	4.4	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K23] Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you?

Question

Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	733	51.5	61.7
No	2	443	31.2	37.3
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	3	12	0.8	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K24] What was the age of the candidate you voted for?

Question

What was the age of the candidate you voted for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Voted for a candidate that is roughly of the same age as me	1	381	26.8	32.1
Voted for a candidate that is much younger than me	2	531	37.3	44.7
Voted for a candidate that is much older than me	3	269	18.9	22.6
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	4	7	0.5	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K25] Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2003?

Question

Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2003?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	285	20.0	24.0
No	2	867	61.0	73.0
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	3	36	2.5	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K26] In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you?

Question

In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I knew the candidate personally	1	256	18.0	21.5
Friend, acquaintance or relative knew candidate personally	2	87	6.1	7.3
I knew of candidate's activities from prior media coverage	3	574	40.4	48.3
Voted for candidate on basis of his/her election campaign	4	127	8.9	10.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Chose the candidate without knowing anything more of him/her	5	140	9.8	11.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	6	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K27] How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party the candidate of which you voted for?

Question

How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party the candidate of which you voted for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very easy	1	621	43.7	52.3
Fairly easy	2	410	28.8	34.5
Fairly difficult	3	125	8.8	10.5
Very difficult	4	21	1.5	1.8
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	11	0.8	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[K28] How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate?

Question

How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very easy	1	448	31.5	37.7
Fairly easy	2	521	36.6	43.9
Fairly difficult	3	191	13.4	16.1
Very difficult	4	26	1.8	2.2
Can't say (SPONTANEOUS)	5	2	0.1	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	234	16.5	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D1] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	1913.00
maximum	1989.00
mean	1956.57
standard deviation	18.30

[D2] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	1	702	49.4	49.4
Female	2	720	50.6	50.6
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D3_1] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education	1	271	19.1	19.1
Lower secondary education	2	615	43.2	43.2
Still at school (primary or secondary)	3	21	1.5	1.5
Upper secondary (general)	4	511	35.9	35.9
No formal education	5	4	0.3	0.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D3_2] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Still at school (vocational school or course)	1	49	3.4	3.4
Short vocational training (vocational school or course)	2	430	30.2	30.2
College level vocational education	3	307	21.6	21.6
Some university level studies	4	93	6.5	6.5
University of applied sciences (polytechnic) degree	5	96	6.8	6.8
University degree	6	202	14.2	14.2
No formal vocational education	7	245	17.2	17.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D4] Respondent's marital status

Question

Respondent's marital status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Single, never married	1	279	19.6	19.6
Married or in registered partnership	2	633	44.5	44.5
Cohabiting	3	207	14.6	14.6
Divorced or separated	4	171	12.0	12.0
Widowed	5	131	9.2	9.2
Something else	6	1	0.1	0.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D5_1] Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities?

Question

Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Doesn't belong to any	1	734	51.6	51.6
Belongs but participates very little	2	515	36.2	36.2
Belongs and participates to some extent	3	132	9.3	9.3
Belongs and participates actively	4	37	2.6	2.6
Can't say	5	4	0.3	0.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D5_2] Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to?

Question

Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK)	1	317	22.3	46.1
Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees (STTK)	2	149	10.5	21.7
Confederation of Unions for Professional and Managerial Staff	3	152	10.7	22.1
Central Union of Agricultural Producers and Forest Owners	4	13	0.9	1.9
Something else	5	17	1.2	2.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	6	40	2.8	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	734	51.6	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D10] Respondent's employment status

Question

Respondent's employment status

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time job (at least 32 hours a week)	1	549	38.6	38.6
Half-time job (15-31 hours a week)	2	67	4.7	4.7
Part-time job (less than 15 hours a week)	3	23	1.6	1.6
Contributing family member in family business	4	11	0.8	0.8
Unemployed	5	95	6.7	6.7
Student	6	116	8.2	8.2
Retired on account of age or working years	7	379	26.7	26.7
Retired for some other reason	8	124	8.7	8.7
Homemaker	9	21	1.5	1.5
Conscripted for military service or in civilian service	10	1	0.1	0.1
On parental leave	11	36	2.5	2.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D11] Respondent's profession

Question

Respondent's profession

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1415
minimum	1.00
maximum	105.00
mean	51.50

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
standard deviation	28.73

[D12] Respondent's economic activity and occupational status**Question***Respondent's economic activity and occupational status***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Farmer	1	35	2.5	2.5
Other employer, self-employed, own account worker	2	92	6.5	6.5
Higher managerial occupation (employee)	3	45	3.2	3.2
Lower managerial/ professional employee	4	154	10.8	10.8
Intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	5	188	13.2	13.2
Worker	6	388	27.3	27.3
Pensioner/retired	7	347	24.4	24.4
Student	8	116	8.2	8.2
Housewife, house husband, homemaker	9	28	2.0	2.0
Unemployed	10	22	1.5	1.5
Something else	11	7	0.5	0.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D13] Type of employer**Question***Type of employer***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
State, government agency, municipality, church, parish	1	214	15.0	32.9
Private company	2	403	28.3	62.0
State-owned or municipality-owned enterprise	3	17	1.2	2.6
So called third sector (e.g. non-profit organisation)	4	10	0.7	1.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	6	0.4	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	772	54.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D20] Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits)

Question

Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
10,000 euros/year or less	1	129	9.1	9.1
10,001 - 15,000 euros/year	2	131	9.2	9.2
15,001 - 20,000 euros/year	3	118	8.3	8.3
20,001 - 25,000 euros/year	4	102	7.2	7.2
25,001 - 30,000 euros/year	5	124	8.7	8.7
30,001 - 35,000 euros/year	6	98	6.9	6.9
35,001 - 40,000 euros/year	7	90	6.3	6.3
40,001 - 45,000 euros/year	8	69	4.9	4.9
45,001 - 50,000 euros/year	9	71	5.0	5.0
50,001 - 55,000 euros/year	10	54	3.8	3.8
55,001 - 60,000 euros/year	11	69	4.9	4.9
60,001 - 70,000 euros/year	12	53	3.7	3.7
70,001 - 80,000 euros/year	13	34	2.4	2.4
80,001 - 90,000 euros/year	14	32	2.3	2.3
Over 90,000 euros/year	15	45	3.2	3.2
Can't say	16	142	10.0	10.0
No response	104	61	4.3	4.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D21_1] Total number of persons in the household

Question

Total number of persons in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	1	461	32.4	32.4
	2	564	39.7	39.7
	3	177	12.4	12.4
	4	143	10.1	10.1
	5	55	3.9	3.9
	6	17	1.2	1.2
	7	4	0.3	0.3
	8	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say	98	0	0.0	0.0
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D21_2] Number of people aged over 18 in the household

Question

Number of people aged over 18 in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	235	16.5	16.5
	1	390	27.4	27.4
	2	701	49.3	49.3
	3	81	5.7	5.7
	4	13	0.9	0.9
	5	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say	98	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D22_1] Number of children aged 7-17 in the household

Question

Number of children aged 7-17 in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	1193	83.9	84.0
	1	123	8.6	8.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
	2	75	5.3	5.3
	3	23	1.6	1.6
	4	4	0.3	0.3
	5	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say	98	2	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D22_2] Number of children aged six or under in the household

Question

Number of children aged six or under in the household

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	1235	86.8	86.9
	1	110	7.7	7.7
	2	59	4.1	4.2
	3	13	0.9	0.9
	4	1	0.1	0.1
	5	1	0.1	0.1
Can't say	98	2	0.1	0.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D24] Would you describe yourself as ...

Question

Would you describe yourself as ...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not at all religious	1	246	17.3	17.3
Not very religious	2	389	27.4	27.4
Somewhat religious	3	612	43.0	43.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very religious	4	175	12.3	12.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D25] Do you belong to any church or religious community?

Question

Do you belong to any church or religious community?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Evangelical Lutheran Church	1	1118	78.6	78.6
Orthodox church	2	14	1.0	1.0
Catholic church	3	1	0.1	0.1
Other church or religious community	4	51	3.6	3.6
None	5	235	16.5	16.5
Can't say	98	3	0.2	0.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D26_1] Respondent's mother tongue

Question

Respondent's mother tongue

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1101	77.4	77.4
Swedish	2	313	22.0	22.0
Some other language	3	8	0.6	0.6
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D26_2] What language(s) do you use at home?

Question

What language(s) do you use at home?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only Finnish	1	1050	73.8	73.8
Only Swedish	2	201	14.1	14.1
Both Finnish and Swedish	3	120	8.4	8.4
Finnish and some other language	4	42	3.0	3.0
Swedish and some other language	5	8	0.6	0.6
Only languages that are neither Finnish nor Swedish	6	1	0.1	0.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D27] Respondent's place of residence**Question**

Respondent's place of residence

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	40.00
maximum	992.00
mean	426.10
standard deviation	297.84

[D30] Type of neighbourhood where R lives**Question**

Type of neighbourhood where R lives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
City/town center	1	345	24.3	24.3
City/town suburb	2	625	44.0	44.0
Population centre in a rural area	3	289	20.3	20.3
Sparsely populated rural area	4	163	11.5	11.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D30B] Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2003 parliamentary elections?

Question

Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2003 parliamentary elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	1178	82.8	82.8
No	2	244	17.2	17.2
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D31] Respondent's constituency

Question

Respondent's constituency

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	194	13.6	13.6
Uusimaa	2	322	22.6	22.6
Varsinais-Suomi	3	154	10.8	10.8
Satakunta	4	72	5.1	5.1
Häme	6	80	5.6	5.6
Pirkanmaa	7	45	3.2	3.2
Kymi	8	28	2.0	2.0
Etelä-Savo	9	23	1.6	1.6
Pohjois-Savo	10	59	4.1	4.1
Pohjois-Karjala	11	36	2.5	2.5
Vaasa	12	182	12.8	12.8
Keski-Suomi	13	85	6.0	6.0
Oulu	14	112	7.9	7.9
Lappi	15	30	2.1	2.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D32_1] Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Question

Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No	1	1253	88.1	88.1
Yes	2	169	11.9	11.9
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D32_2] For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Question

For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
	0	2	0.1	1.2
	1	12	0.8	7.1
	2	22	1.5	13.0
	3	15	1.1	8.9
	4	16	1.1	9.5
	5	8	0.6	4.7
	6	13	0.9	7.7
	7	3	0.2	1.8
	8	4	0.3	2.4
	9	5	0.4	3.0
	10	7	0.5	4.1
	11	8	0.6	4.7
	12	49	3.4	29.0
	14	1	0.1	0.6
	24	3	0.2	1.8
Can't say	98	1	0.1	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1253	88.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[D33A] Housing tenure

Question

Housing tenure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Rented housing	1	433	30.5	30.5
Owner-occupied housing	2	964	67.8	67.8
Right-of-occupancy or part-ownership housing	3	20	1.4	1.4
Something else	4	5	0.4	0.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[MAAKUNTA] Region of residence (NUTS3)

Question

Region of residence (NUTS3)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	326	22.9	29.2
Finland proper (Varsinais-Suomi)	2	110	7.7	9.8
Itä-Uusimaa	3	16	1.1	1.4
Satakunta	4	72	5.1	6.4
Häme	5	61	4.3	5.5
Pirkanmaa	6	45	3.2	4.0
Päijänne Tavastia (Päijät-Häme)	7	16	1.1	1.4
Kymenlaakso	8	7	0.5	0.6
South Karelia (Etelä-Karjala)	9	21	1.5	1.9
Southern Savonia (Etelä-Savo)	10	23	1.6	2.1
Northern Savonia (Pohjois-Savo)	11	59	4.1	5.3
North Karelia (Pohjois-Karjala)	12	36	2.5	3.2
Central Finland (Keski-Suomi)	13	85	6.0	7.6
Southern Ostrobothnia (Etelä-Pohjanmaa)	14	40	2.8	3.6
Ostrobothnia (Vaasan rannikkoseutu)	15	31	2.2	2.8
Central Ostrobothnia (Keski-Pohjanmaa)	16	27	1.9	2.4
Northern Ostrobothnia (Pohjois-Pohjanmaa)	17	92	6.5	8.2
Kainuu	18	20	1.4	1.8
Lapland	19	30	2.1	2.7
Åland	21	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	305	21.4	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[LAANI] Province of residence

Question

Province of residence

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Southern Finland	1	624	43.9	43.9
Western Finland	2	538	37.8	37.8
Eastern Finland	3	118	8.3	8.3
Oulu	4	112	7.9	7.9
Lapland	5	30	2.1	2.1
		1422	100.0	100.0

[KK_KIELI] Language used in the face-to-face interview

Question

Language used in the face-to-face interview

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	0	1117	78.6	78.6
Swedish	1	305	21.4	21.4
		1422	100.0	100.0

[REKRY] Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire

Question

Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Willing	1	1316	92.5	92.5
Not willing	2	106	7.5	7.5
		1422	100.0	100.0

[RSP] Self-administered questionnaire id

Question

Self-administered questionnaire id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1316
minimum	1.00
maximum	8888.00
mean	1617.87
standard deviation	1897.95

[P1_1] How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the poor**Question**

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the poor

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	569	40.0	55.9
Fairly important	2	380	26.7	37.4
Not very important	3	53	3.7	5.2
Not at all important	4	7	0.5	0.7
Can't say	5	8	0.6	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	405	28.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_2] How important are the following issues to you: Fighting crime**Question**

How important are the following issues to you: Fighting crime

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	516	36.3	50.8
Fairly important	2	396	27.8	39.0
Not very important	3	83	5.8	8.2
Not at all important	4	8	0.6	0.8
Can't say	5	12	0.8	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	407	28.6	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_3] How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding good public services

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding good public services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	548	38.5	54.0
Fairly important	2	391	27.5	38.6
Not very important	3	67	4.7	6.6
Not at all important	4	3	0.2	0.3
Can't say	5	5	0.4	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	408	28.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_4] How important are the following issues to you: Increasing health care resources

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Increasing health care resources

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	706	49.6	69.1
Fairly important	2	279	19.6	27.3
Not very important	3	27	1.9	2.6
Not at all important	4	4	0.3	0.4
Can't say	5	5	0.4	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	401	28.2	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_5] How important are the following issues to you: Lowering taxes

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Lowering taxes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	312	21.9	30.8
Fairly important	2	352	24.8	34.8
Not very important	3	241	16.9	23.8
Not at all important	4	96	6.8	9.5
Can't say	5	11	0.8	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	410	28.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_6] How important are the following issues to you: Reducing regional disparity

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Reducing regional disparity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	193	13.6	19.1
Fairly important	2	501	35.2	49.6
Not very important	3	246	17.3	24.3
Not at all important	4	39	2.7	3.9
Can't say	5	32	2.3	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_7] How important are the following issues to you: Promoting entrepreneurship

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Promoting entrepreneurship

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	259	18.2	25.5
Fairly important	2	491	34.5	48.4
Not very important	3	211	14.8	20.8
Not at all important	4	31	2.2	3.1
Can't say	5	23	1.6	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	407	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_8] How important are the following issues to you: Strengthening traditional values and morals

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Strengthening traditional values and morals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	245	17.2	24.1
Fairly important	2	416	29.3	40.9
Not very important	3	248	17.4	24.4
Not at all important	4	84	5.9	8.3
Can't say	5	23	1.6	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	406	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_9] How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding the independence of municipalities

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding the independence of municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	178	12.5	17.5
Fairly important	2	388	27.3	38.2
Not very important	3	302	21.2	29.8
Not at all important	4	114	8.0	11.2
Can't say	5	33	2.3	3.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	407	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_10] How important are the following issues to you: Opposing municipal mergers

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Opposing municipal mergers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	90	6.3	8.9
Fairly important	2	173	12.2	17.1
Not very important	3	373	26.2	37.0
Not at all important	4	304	21.4	30.1
Can't say	5	69	4.9	6.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	413	29.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_11] How important are the following issues to you: Promoting Finnish interests in the EU

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Promoting Finnish interests in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	433	30.5	42.8
Fairly important	2	423	29.7	41.8
Not very important	3	117	8.2	11.6
Not at all important	4	25	1.8	2.5
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	410	28.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_12] How important are the following issues to you: Promoting European Union integration

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Promoting European Union integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	122	8.6	12.1
Fairly important	2	369	25.9	36.7
Not very important	3	310	21.8	30.8
Not at all important	4	138	9.7	13.7
Can't say	5	67	4.7	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	416	29.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_13] How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in which parties will form the coalition government

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in which parties will form the coalition government

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	215	15.1	21.2
Fairly important	2	405	28.5	40.0
Not very important	3	285	20.0	28.1
Not at all important	4	67	4.7	6.6
Can't say	5	41	2.9	4.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	409	28.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_14] How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in who will be elected as prime minister

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in who will be elected as prime minister

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	198	13.9	19.5
Fairly important	2	375	26.4	36.9
Not very important	3	316	22.2	31.1
Not at all important	4	100	7.0	9.9
Can't say	5	26	1.8	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	407	28.6	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_15] How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of ethnic minorities**Question**

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of ethnic minorities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	89	6.3	8.8
Fairly important	2	387	27.2	38.3
Not very important	3	323	22.7	31.9
Not at all important	4	164	11.5	16.2
Can't say	5	48	3.4	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_16] How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of sexual minorities**Question**

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of sexual minorities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	58	4.1	5.8
Fairly important	2	210	14.8	20.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very important	3	362	25.5	36.0
Not at all important	4	321	22.6	31.9
Can't say	5	55	3.9	5.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	416	29.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_17] How important are the following issues to you: Fighting climate change

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Fighting climate change

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	480	33.8	47.2
Fairly important	2	406	28.6	39.9
Not very important	3	88	6.2	8.6
Not at all important	4	31	2.2	3.0
Can't say	5	13	0.9	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_18] How important are the following issues to you: Other environmental protection issues

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Other environmental protection issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	395	27.8	39.1
Fairly important	2	460	32.3	45.5
Not very important	3	110	7.7	10.9
Not at all important	4	27	1.9	2.7
Can't say	5	19	1.3	1.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_19] How important are the following issues to you: Diminishing unemployment

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Diminishing unemployment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	620	43.6	60.9
Fairly important	2	359	25.2	35.3
Not very important	3	29	2.0	2.8
Not at all important	4	8	0.6	0.8
Can't say	5	2	0.1	0.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_20] How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the elderly

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the elderly

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	696	48.9	68.2
Fairly important	2	278	19.5	27.2
Not very important	3	36	2.5	3.5
Not at all important	4	7	0.5	0.7
Can't say	5	4	0.3	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	401	28.2	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_21] How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of young people and families with children

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of young people and families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	601	42.3	59.2
Fairly important	2	345	24.3	34.0
Not very important	3	52	3.7	5.1
Not at all important	4	13	0.9	1.3
Can't say	5	5	0.4	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	406	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P1_22] How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of students

Question

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of students

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	420	29.5	41.4
Fairly important	2	437	30.7	43.1
Not very important	3	131	9.2	12.9
Not at all important	4	16	1.1	1.6
Can't say	5	11	0.8	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	407	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_1] I think Finland could make it easier for employers to dismiss employees provided unemployment benefits are improved at the same time

Question

I think Finland could make it easier for employers to dismiss employees provided unemployment benefits are improved at the same time

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	108	7.6	10.6
Agree	2	341	24.0	33.4
Disagree	3	279	19.6	27.4
Strongly disagree	4	224	15.8	22.0
Can't say	5	68	4.8	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	402	28.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_2] Finland should grant all citizens aged over 16 tax-free universal basic income which would substitute basic social security benefits**Question**

Finland should grant all citizens aged over 16 tax-free universal basic income which would substitute basic social security benefits

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	98	6.9	9.5
Agree	2	211	14.8	20.5
Disagree	3	252	17.7	24.5
Strongly disagree	4	347	24.4	33.8
Can't say	5	119	8.4	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	395	27.8	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_3] Something should be done about increasing income disparity as it leads to inequality between people**Question**

Something should be done about increasing income disparity as it leads to inequality between people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	436	30.7	42.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	393	27.6	38.4
Disagree	3	125	8.8	12.2
Strongly disagree	4	52	3.7	5.1
Can't say	5	18	1.3	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	398	28.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_4] If we have to choose between improving public services or lowering income taxes, we must choose lowering taxes

Question

If we have to choose between improving public services or lowering income taxes, we must choose lowering taxes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	137	9.6	13.4
Agree	2	267	18.8	26.1
Disagree	3	256	18.0	25.0
Strongly disagree	4	296	20.8	28.9
Can't say	5	67	4.7	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	399	28.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_5] To reduce integral migration to the capital area we must transfer more government jobs to other regions in Finland

Question

To reduce integral migration to the capital area we must transfer more government jobs to other regions in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	359	25.2	35.3
Agree	2	431	30.3	42.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	3	127	8.9	12.5
Strongly disagree	4	50	3.5	4.9
Can't say	5	51	3.6	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_6] Finland should construct a sixth nuclear power plant in addition to the fifth plant currently under construction

Question

Finland should construct a sixth nuclear power plant in addition to the fifth plant currently under construction

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	206	14.5	20.1
Agree	2	246	17.3	24.0
Disagree	3	179	12.6	17.5
Strongly disagree	4	252	17.7	24.6
Can't say	5	141	9.9	13.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	398	28.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P2_7] The state should have a much bigger role in directing the economy than it has at present

Question

The state should have a much bigger role in directing the economy than it has at present

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	139	9.8	13.6
Agree	2	394	27.7	38.5
Disagree	3	261	18.4	25.5
Strongly disagree	4	91	6.4	8.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	139	9.8	13.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	398	28.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_1] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members or relatives

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members or relatives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	50	3.5	5.0
Fair amount	2	125	8.8	12.5
Not much	3	270	19.0	26.9
Not at all	4	544	38.3	54.2
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	419	29.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_2] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	12	0.8	1.2
Fair amount	2	67	4.7	6.7
Not much	3	215	15.1	21.7
Not at all	4	684	48.1	68.9
Can't say	5	15	1.1	1.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	429	30.2	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_3] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	14	1.0	1.4
Fair amount	2	90	6.3	9.1
Not much	3	333	23.4	33.8
Not at all	4	535	37.6	54.3
Can't say	5	13	0.9	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	437	30.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_4] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs articles in newspapers

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs articles in newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	172	12.1	17.1
Fair amount	2	482	33.9	47.9
Not much	3	241	16.9	23.9
Not at all	4	106	7.5	10.5
Can't say	5	6	0.4	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	415	29.2	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_5] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising in newspapers

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising in newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	103	7.2	10.3
Fair amount	2	360	25.3	35.9
Not much	3	347	24.4	34.6
Not at all	4	184	12.9	18.3
Can't say	5	10	0.7	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	418	29.4	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_6] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on the radio

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on the radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	84	5.9	8.3
Fair amount	2	256	18.0	25.3
Not much	3	328	23.1	32.5
Not at all	4	330	23.2	32.7
Can't say	5	12	0.8	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	412	29.0	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_7] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on the radio

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on the radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	49	3.4	4.9
Fair amount	2	144	10.1	14.3
Not much	3	349	24.5	34.8
Not at all	4	443	31.2	44.1
Can't say	5	19	1.3	1.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	418	29.4	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_8] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on the radio

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on the radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	25	1.8	2.5
Fair amount	2	76	5.3	7.6
Not much	3	332	23.3	33.3
Not at all	4	543	38.2	54.5
Can't say	5	20	1.4	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	426	30.0	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_9] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on television

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	236	16.6	23.3
Fair amount	2	430	30.2	42.4
Not much	3	236	16.6	23.3
Not at all	4	103	7.2	10.2
Can't say	5	8	0.6	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	409	28.8	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_10] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on television

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	202	14.2	20.0
Fair amount	2	350	24.6	34.6
Not much	3	288	20.3	28.5
Not at all	4	162	11.4	16.0
Can't say	5	9	0.6	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_11] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on television

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on television

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	89	6.3	8.9
Fair amount	2	244	17.2	24.4
Not much	3	370	26.0	36.9
Not at all	4	285	20.0	28.4
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	420	29.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_12] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Candidate selectors on the Internet

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Candidate selectors on the Internet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	108	7.6	10.9
Fair amount	2	135	9.5	13.7
Not much	3	136	9.6	13.8
Not at all	4	568	39.9	57.5
Can't say	5	41	2.9	4.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	434	30.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_13] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Websites of parties or candidates

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Websites of parties or candidates

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	32	2.3	3.2
Fair amount	2	98	6.9	9.8
Not much	3	146	10.3	14.7
Not at all	4	672	47.3	67.5
Can't say	5	47	3.3	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	427	30.0	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_14] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Campaign events organised by parties or candidates

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Campaign events organised by parties or candidates

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	33	2.3	3.3
Fair amount	2	64	4.5	6.4
Not much	3	179	12.6	17.9
Not at all	4	690	48.5	68.9
Can't say	5	35	2.5	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	421	29.6	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_15] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Voting campaigns organised by voluntary/civic organisations or interest groups

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Voting campaigns organised by voluntary/civic organisations or interest groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	14	1.0	1.4
Fair amount	2	48	3.4	4.8
Not much	3	214	15.0	21.5
Not at all	4	680	47.8	68.3
Can't say	5	39	2.7	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	427	30.0	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_16] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Books, periodicals/magazines or other literary sources

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Books, periodicals/magazines or other literary sources

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	38	2.7	3.8
Fair amount	2	142	10.0	14.2
Not much	3	349	24.5	34.8
Not at all	4	447	31.4	44.6
Can't say	5	26	1.8	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	420	29.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P3_17] How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: SMS messages

Question

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: SMS messages

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great amount	1	6	0.4	0.6
Fair amount	2	13	0.9	1.3
Not much	3	50	3.5	5.0
Not at all	4	888	62.4	89.3
Can't say	5	37	2.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	428	30.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P4_1] It was important for me to vote for a candidate of the same gender as myself regardless of whether he/she had a chance of getting elected

Question

It was important for me to vote for a candidate of the same gender as myself regardless of whether he/she had a chance of getting elected

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	105	7.4	11.5
Agree	2	121	8.5	13.3
Neither agree nor disagree	3	108	7.6	11.8
Disagree	4	118	8.3	12.9
Strongly disagree	5	444	31.2	48.7
Can't say	6	16	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	510	35.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P4_2] It was important for me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers

Question

It was important for me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	148	10.4	16.3
Agree	2	143	10.1	15.7
Neither agree nor disagree	3	145	10.2	16.0
Disagree	4	128	9.0	14.1
Strongly disagree	5	326	22.9	35.9
Can't say	6	18	1.3	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	514	36.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P4_3] It was important for me that the candidate would promote interests important for my gender**Question**

It was important for me that the candidate would promote interests important for my gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	150	10.5	16.5
Agree	2	201	14.1	22.1
Neither agree nor disagree	3	165	11.6	18.1
Disagree	4	115	8.1	12.6
Strongly disagree	5	259	18.2	28.5
Can't say	6	20	1.4	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	36.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P4_4] It was important for me that the candidate would be active in gender equality issues**Question**

It was important for me that the candidate would be active in gender equality issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	257	18.1	28.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	308	21.7	33.8
Neither agree nor disagree	3	162	11.4	17.8
Disagree	4	71	5.0	7.8
Strongly disagree	5	96	6.8	10.5
Can't say	6	16	1.1	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	512	36.0	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P4_5] The candidate's gender was not important

Question

The candidate's gender was not important

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	483	34.0	52.7
Agree	2	136	9.6	14.8
Neither agree nor disagree	3	80	5.6	8.7
Disagree	4	105	7.4	11.5
Strongly disagree	5	102	7.2	11.1
Can't say	6	11	0.8	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	505	35.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P5_1] Finland's NATO membership should have been discussed during the election campaign so that voters would have known the policy of each party on this issue

Question

Finland's NATO membership should have been discussed during the election campaign so that voters would have known the policy of each party on this issue

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	383	26.9	37.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	386	27.1	37.8
Disagree	3	99	7.0	9.7
Strongly disagree	4	46	3.2	4.5
Can't say	5	108	7.6	10.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	400	28.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P5_2] The issue of Finland's NATO membership is so complicated that we can leave the decisions to political leaders

Question

The issue of Finland's NATO membership is so complicated that we can leave the decisions to political leaders

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	145	10.2	14.3
Agree	2	297	20.9	29.2
Disagree	3	214	15.0	21.0
Strongly disagree	4	274	19.3	26.9
Can't say	5	87	6.1	8.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	405	28.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P5_3] Finland should join NATO

Question

Finland should join NATO

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	99	7.0	9.7
Agree	2	177	12.4	17.4
Disagree	3	147	10.3	14.4
Strongly disagree	4	343	24.1	33.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Can't say	5	254	17.9	24.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	402	28.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P5_4] EU membership is a good thing for Finland

Question

EU membership is a good thing for Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	231	16.2	22.7
Agree	2	393	27.6	38.6
Disagree	3	184	12.9	18.1
Strongly disagree	4	118	8.3	11.6
Can't say	5	93	6.5	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	403	28.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P5_5] Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing

Question

Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	219	15.4	21.4
Agree	2	489	34.4	47.7
Disagree	3	152	10.7	14.8
Strongly disagree	4	82	5.8	8.0
Can't say	5	83	5.8	8.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	397	27.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_1] members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and started concentrating on solving real problems

Question

members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and started concentrating on solving real problems

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	426	30.0	42.0
Agree	2	414	29.1	40.8
Disagree	3	131	9.2	12.9
Strongly disagree	4	11	0.8	1.1
Can't say	5	32	2.3	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	408	28.7	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_2] What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles

Question

What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	119	8.4	11.8
Agree	2	364	25.6	36.0
Disagree	3	379	26.7	37.5
Strongly disagree	4	81	5.7	8.0
Can't say	5	68	4.8	6.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_3] Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions

Question

Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	53	3.7	5.2
Agree	2	151	10.6	14.9
Disagree	3	348	24.5	34.3
Strongly disagree	4	370	26.0	36.5
Can't say	5	92	6.5	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	408	28.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_4] Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens**Question**

Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	71	5.0	7.0
Agree	2	270	19.0	26.6
Disagree	3	285	20.0	28.1
Strongly disagree	4	284	20.0	28.0
Can't say	5	104	7.3	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	408	28.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_5] The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise**Question**

The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	82	5.8	8.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	2	222	15.6	21.9
Disagree	3	313	22.0	30.9
Strongly disagree	4	264	18.6	26.0
Can't say	5	133	9.4	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	408	28.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_6] Political parties ought to be banned in politics**Question***Political parties ought to be banned in politics***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	45	3.2	4.5
Agree	2	99	7.0	9.8
Disagree	3	237	16.7	23.4
Strongly disagree	4	528	37.1	52.2
Can't say	5	102	7.2	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_7] There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure**Question***There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	481	33.8	47.4
Agree	2	343	24.1	33.8
Disagree	3	95	6.7	9.4
Strongly disagree	4	50	3.5	4.9
Can't say	5	45	3.2	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	408	28.7	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_8] Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned

Question

Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	144	10.1	14.2
Agree	2	219	15.4	21.6
Disagree	3	300	21.1	29.6
Strongly disagree	4	178	12.5	17.6
Can't say	5	171	12.0	16.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	410	28.8	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_9] What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order

Question

What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	293	20.6	28.8
Agree	2	377	26.5	37.1
Disagree	3	200	14.1	19.7
Strongly disagree	4	103	7.2	10.1
Can't say	5	43	3.0	4.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	406	28.6	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_10] Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship

Question

Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	86	6.0	8.5
Agree	2	280	19.7	27.6
Disagree	3	306	21.5	30.1
Strongly disagree	4	280	19.7	27.6
Can't say	5	64	4.5	6.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	406	28.6	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_11] Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture

Question

Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	94	6.6	9.2
Agree	2	210	14.8	20.6
Disagree	3	381	26.8	37.4
Strongly disagree	4	273	19.2	26.8
Can't say	5	60	4.2	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_12] Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of gender

Question

Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	106	7.5	10.5
Agree	2	359	25.2	35.6
Disagree	3	271	19.1	26.9
Strongly disagree	4	155	10.9	15.4
Can't say	5	117	8.2	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	414	29.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_13] Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of age**Question**

Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	78	5.5	7.7
Agree	2	361	25.4	35.7
Disagree	3	314	22.1	31.1
Strongly disagree	4	153	10.8	15.1
Can't say	5	105	7.4	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	411	28.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_14] Parliament ought to be representative of public opinion in key issues**Question**

Parliament ought to be representative of public opinion in key issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	282	19.8	27.9
Agree	2	507	35.7	50.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Disagree	3	115	8.1	11.4
Strongly disagree	4	23	1.6	2.3
Can't say	5	83	5.8	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	412	29.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_15] Members of parliament ought to have higher education than the population in general

Question

Members of parliament ought to have higher education than the population in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	108	7.6	10.7
Agree	2	293	20.6	29.0
Disagree	3	365	25.7	36.1
Strongly disagree	4	199	14.0	19.7
Can't say	5	45	3.2	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	412	29.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_16] Members of parliament should first and foremost be experts in their own field

Question

Members of parliament should first and foremost be experts in their own field

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	315	22.2	30.9
Agree	2	442	31.1	43.4
Disagree	3	180	12.7	17.7
Strongly disagree	4	42	3.0	4.1
Can't say	5	39	2.7	3.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_17] Members of parliament ought to follow closely the views of their voters and promote corresponding policies

Question

Members of parliament ought to follow closely the views of their voters and promote corresponding policies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	444	31.2	43.7
Agree	2	468	32.9	46.1
Disagree	3	63	4.4	6.2
Strongly disagree	4	13	0.9	1.3
Can't say	5	28	2.0	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	406	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_18] Members of parliament ought to vote in Parliament according to their own views and not according to party line

Question

Members of parliament ought to vote in Parliament according to their own views and not according to party line

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	345	24.3	33.9
Agree	2	400	28.1	39.3
Disagree	3	188	13.2	18.5
Strongly disagree	4	41	2.9	4.0
Can't say	5	44	3.1	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P6_19] Members of parliament ought to act in best interest of the people, using their own judgement

Question

Members of parliament ought to act in best interest of the people, using their own judgement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	544	38.3	53.5
Agree	2	407	28.6	40.0
Disagree	3	41	2.9	4.0
Strongly disagree	4	7	0.5	0.7
Can't say	5	18	1.3	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	405	28.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_1] Membership and participation in the activities of: Sports clubs, including hunting and fishing clubs/associations

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Sports clubs, including hunting and fishing clubs/associations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	135	9.5	13.6
Member but not participate actively	2	140	9.8	14.1
Used to be a member but not any more	3	300	21.1	30.3
Have never been a member	4	402	28.3	40.6
Can't say	5	14	1.0	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	431	30.3	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_2] Membership and participation in the activities of: Cultural or hobby organisation

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Cultural or hobby organisation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	133	9.4	13.4
Member but not participate actively	2	123	8.6	12.3
Used to be a member but not any more	3	221	15.5	22.2
Have never been a member	4	492	34.6	49.4
Can't say	5	27	1.9	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	426	30.0	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_3] Membership and participation in the activities of: Village or neighbourhood association

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Village or neighbourhood association

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	31	2.2	3.2
Member but not participate actively	2	75	5.3	7.6
Used to be a member but not any more	3	112	7.9	11.4
Have never been a member	4	748	52.6	76.1
Can't say	5	17	1.2	1.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	439	30.9	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_4] Membership and participation in the activities of: Trade union

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Trade union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	83	5.8	8.3
Member but not participate actively	2	415	29.2	41.4
Used to be a member but not any more	3	272	19.1	27.1
Have never been a member	4	224	15.8	22.3
Can't say	5	9	0.6	0.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	419	29.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_5] Membership and participation in the activities of: Employer or other business organisation/association

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Employer or other business organisation/association

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	14	1.0	1.4
Member but not participate actively	2	54	3.8	5.5
Used to be a member but not any more	3	89	6.3	9.0
Have never been a member	4	803	56.5	81.3
Can't say	5	28	2.0	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	434	30.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_6] Membership and participation in the activities of: Agricultural as- sociation

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Agricultural association

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	4	0.3	0.4
Member but not participate actively	2	28	2.0	2.8
Used to be a member but not any more	3	37	2.6	3.8
Have never been a member	4	896	63.0	90.9
Can't say	5	21	1.5	2.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	436	30.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_7] Membership and participation in the activities of: Other professional association

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Other professional association

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	50	3.5	5.0
Member but not participate actively	2	137	9.6	13.8
Used to be a member but not any more	3	178	12.5	18.0
Have never been a member	4	587	41.3	59.2
Can't say	5	39	2.7	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	431	30.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_8] Membership and participation in the activities of: Humanitarian, human rights, minority or immigrant organisations

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Humanitarian, human rights, minority or immigrant organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	42	3.0	4.3
Member but not participate actively	2	89	6.3	9.0
Used to be a member but not any more	3	64	4.5	6.5
Have never been a member	4	756	53.2	76.5
Can't say	5	37	2.6	3.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	434	30.5	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_9] Membership and participation in the activities of: Environmental, peace or animal rights organisations

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Environmental, peace or animal rights organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	10	0.7	1.0
Member but not participate actively	2	54	3.8	5.5
Used to be a member but not any more	3	55	3.9	5.6
Have never been a member	4	840	59.1	85.0
Can't say	5	29	2.0	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	434	30.5	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_10] Membership and participation in the activities of: Religious organisations

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Religious organisations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	83	5.8	8.4
Member but not participate actively	2	159	11.2	16.0
Used to be a member but not any more	3	43	3.0	4.3
Have never been a member	4	677	47.6	68.1
Can't say	5	32	2.3	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	428	30.1	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_11] Membership and participation in the activities of: Political party

Question

Membership and participation in the activities of: Political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	55	3.9	5.5
Member but not participate actively	2	85	6.0	8.6
Used to be a member but not any more	3	89	6.3	9.0
Have never been a member	4	744	52.3	74.9
Can't say	5	20	1.4	2.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	429	30.2	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P7_12] Membership and participation in the activities of: Some other voluntary/civic organisation**Question**

Membership and participation in the activities of: Some other voluntary/civic organisation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Member and participate actively	1	86	6.0	8.8
Member but not participate actively	2	91	6.4	9.3
Used to be a member but not any more	3	97	6.8	9.9
Have never been a member	4	654	46.0	66.7
Can't say	5	52	3.7	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	442	31.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P8] How often do you discuss politics with other people?**Question**

How often do you discuss politics with other people?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily or almost daily	1	56	3.9	5.5
Often	2	226	15.9	22.2
Sometimes	3	392	27.6	38.6
Seldom	4	293	20.6	28.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Never	5	46	3.2	4.5
Can't say	6	3	0.2	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	406	28.6	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P9] If it were possible to have a say through the Internet in issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say?

Question

If it were possible to have a say through the Internet in issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Every week or nearly every week	1	142	10.0	14.2
Only when the issue would interest me	2	321	22.6	32.2
I could occasionally but this is not important to me	3	209	14.7	21.0
No, it is for MPs to make the decisions	4	162	11.4	16.2
Can't say	5	163	11.5	16.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	425	29.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_1] What would you say is the importance of party leader Matti Vanhanen for the support of the Centre Party of Finland?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Matti Vanhanen for the support of the Centre Party of Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	426	30.0	42.0
Increases party support to a small extent	2	387	27.2	38.1
Has no importance	3	173	12.2	17.0
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	24	1.7	2.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Decreases party support a lot	5	5	0.4	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	407	28.6	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_2] What would you say is the importance of party leader Eero Heinäluoma for the support of the Finnish Social Democratic Party?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Eero Heinäluoma for the support of the Finnish Social Democratic Party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	56	3.9	5.5
Increases party support to a small extent	2	187	13.2	18.4
Has no importance	3	387	27.2	38.0
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	304	21.4	29.8
Decreases party support a lot	5	85	6.0	8.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	403	28.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_3] What would you say is the importance of party leader Jyrki Katainen for the support of the National Coalition Party?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Jyrki Katainen for the support of the National Coalition Party?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	215	15.1	21.1
Increases party support to a small extent	2	386	27.1	37.9
Has no importance	3	333	23.4	32.7
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	69	4.9	6.8
Decreases party support a lot	5	16	1.1	1.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	403	28.3	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_4] What would you say is the importance of party leader Martti Korhonen for the support of the Left Alliance?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Martti Korhonen for the support of the Left Alliance?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	43	3.0	4.2
Increases party support to a small extent	2	203	14.3	19.9
Has no importance	3	626	44.0	61.5
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	119	8.4	11.7
Decreases party support a lot	5	27	1.9	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	404	28.4	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_5] What would you say is the importance of party leader Tarja Cronberg for the support of the Green League?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Tarja Cronberg for the support of the Green League?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	123	8.6	12.1
Increases party support to a small extent	2	350	24.6	34.4
Has no importance	3	442	31.1	43.5
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	80	5.6	7.9
Decreases party support a lot	5	22	1.5	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	405	28.5	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_6] What would you say is the importance of party leader Stefan Wallin for the support of the Swedish People's Party in Finland?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Stefan Wallin for the support of the Swedish People's Party in Finland?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	114	8.0	11.2
Increases party support to a small extent	2	331	23.3	32.5
Has no importance	3	521	36.6	51.1
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	32	2.3	3.1
Decreases party support a lot	5	21	1.5	2.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	403	28.3	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_7] What would you say is the importance of party leader Päivi Räsänen for the support of the Christian Democrats?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Päivi Räsänen for the support of the Christian Democrats?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	116	8.2	11.4
Increases party support to a small extent	2	332	23.3	32.6
Has no importance	3	460	32.3	45.1
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	88	6.2	8.6
Decreases party support a lot	5	23	1.6	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	403	28.3	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P10_8] What would you say is the importance of party leader Timo Soini for the support of True Finns (PS)?

Question

What would you say is the importance of party leader Timo Soini for the support of True Finns (PS)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Increases party support a lot	1	489	34.4	48.0
Increases party support to a small extent	2	297	20.9	29.1
Has no importance	3	187	13.2	18.4
Decreases party support to a small extent	4	23	1.6	2.3
Decreases party support a lot	5	23	1.6	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	403	28.3	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P11] A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B?

Question

A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I agree more with A	1	589	41.4	58.5
I agree more with B	2	417	29.3	41.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	416	29.3	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P12] A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at present level. Do you agree more with A or B?

Question

A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at present level. Do you agree more with A or B?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I agree more with A	1	165	11.6	16.1
I agree more with B	2	857	60.3	83.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	400	28.1	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P13_1] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy

Question

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	483	34.0	52.0
Worse	2	107	7.5	11.5
The same	3	271	19.1	29.2
Can't say	4	67	4.7	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	494	34.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P13_2] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation

Question

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	281	19.8	30.3
Worse	2	196	13.8	21.1
The same	3	440	30.9	47.4
Can't say	4	11	0.8	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	494	34.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P13_3] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland**Question**

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	423	29.7	45.5
Worse	2	165	11.6	17.8
The same	3	277	19.5	29.8
Can't say	4	64	4.5	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	493	34.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P13_4] How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation**Question**

How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	190	13.4	20.7
Worse	2	110	7.7	12.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The same	3	574	40.4	62.5
Can't say	4	44	3.1	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	504	35.4	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P14_1] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	250	17.6	27.0
Worse	2	218	15.3	23.5
The same	3	353	24.8	38.1
Can't say	4	106	7.5	11.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	495	34.8	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P14_2] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	253	17.8	27.3
Worse	2	127	8.9	13.7
The same	3	483	34.0	52.0
Can't say	4	65	4.6	7.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	494	34.7	—

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P14_3] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	290	20.4	31.3
Worse	2	186	13.1	20.1
The same	3	339	23.8	36.6
Can't say	4	111	7.8	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	496	34.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P14_4] How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation

Question

How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Better	1	168	11.8	18.2
Worse	2	64	4.5	6.9
The same	3	579	40.7	62.9
Can't say	4	110	7.7	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	35.2	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P15] On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation?

Question

On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very satisfied	1	55	3.9	5.9
Fairly satisfied	2	585	41.1	63.1
Fairly unsatisfied	3	202	14.2	21.8
Very unsatisfied	4	74	5.2	8.0
Can't say	5	11	0.8	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	495	34.8	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[P16] On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life?

Question

On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very satisfied	1	239	16.8	25.8
Fairly satisfied	2	599	42.1	64.7
Fairly unsatisfied	3	64	4.5	6.9
Very unsatisfied	4	12	0.8	1.3
Can't say	5	12	0.8	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	496	34.9	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[PV_KIELI] Language of the self-administered questionnaire

Question

Language of the self-administered questionnaire

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	0	817	57.5	79.5
Swedish	1	211	14.8	20.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	394	27.7	—
		1422	100.0	100.0

[KK_PV] Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire

Question

Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Only the face-to-face interview	0	394	27.7	27.7
Face-to-face interview and self-administered questionnaire	1	1028	72.3	72.3
		1422	100.0	100.0

[PAINOKI1] Weight variable for the whole data, weighting to population distribution by language

Question

Weight variable for the whole data, weighting to population distribution by language

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	0.26
maximum	1.20
mean	1.00
standard deviation	0.39

[PAINOKI2] Weight variable for the self-administered questionnaire data, weighting to population distribution by language

Question

Weight variable for the self-administered questionnaire data, weighting to population distribution by language

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1422
minimum	0.27
maximum	1.19
mean	1.00
standard deviation	0.32

[KIELI] Language of the combined data

Question

Language of the combined data

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	817	57.5	79.5
Swedish	2	211	14.8	20.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	394	27.7	–
		1422	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	11
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	11
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	12
FSD processing level [FSD_PRO]	12
Interviewer id [A2]	12
Interviewer gender [A3]	13
Date of the interview: month [A4_1]	13
Date of the interview: day [A4_2]	13
How interested are you in politics? [K1]	14
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews [K2_1]	14
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes [K2_2]	15
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians [K2_3]	15
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes [K2_4]	15
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles [K2_5]	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections [K2_6]	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web sites of the candidates and political parties [K2_7]	17
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs [K2_8]	17

3. Indexes

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet [K2_9]	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements [K2_10]	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements [K2_11]	19
How stable is your political party identification? [K3]	19
Which of the following groups would you say you belong to? [K4]	19
Which social class would you say you belong to? [K5]	20
How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far? [K6]	20
Did you vote in the previous elections: Parliamentary elections in 2003 [K7_1]	21
Did you vote in the previous elections: European parliament elections in 2004 [K7_2]	21
Did you vote in the previous elections: Municipal elections (local elections) in 2004 [K7_3]	21
Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, first round [K7_4] ...	22
Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, second round [K7_5]	22
I think voting is a civic duty [K8_1]	23
It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not [K8_2]	23
Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government [K8_3]	23
Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum [K8_4]	24
To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people [K8_5]	24
I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people [K8_6]	25
It is important to me that my party has a real chance of getting a candidate elected to Parliament in my constituency [K8_7]	25
It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to Parliament [K8_8]	25
The MPs of my constituency do not have enough say in what the Government and Parliament decide [K8_9]	26
Electoral alliances should not be allowed in parliamentary elections [K8_10]	26
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor [K9_1]	27
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue [K9_2]	27
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition [K9_3]	27
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party [K9_4]	28

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation [K9_5]	28
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices [K9_6]	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices [K9_7]	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott [K9_8]	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations [K9_9]	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities [K9_10]	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence [K9_11]	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals [K9_12]	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition [K10_1]	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers [K10_2]	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss politics [K10_3]	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss other issues [K10_4]	33
How often do you use the Internet? [K11]	33
Are you at present or have you ever been an elected official in a municipal body? [K12] ...	34
Are you a member of any political party? [K13]	34
Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections? [K14]	34
What kind of goals should members of parliament primarily promote? [K16_1]	35
What kind of goals should members of parliament secondarily promote? [K16_2]	35
Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run [K17_1]	36
Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with problems of ordinary people [K17_2]	36
Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people [K17_3]	36
I have no say in what the government and parliament decide [K17_4]	37
Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making [K17_5]	37
Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions [K17_6]	38

3. Indexes

Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on [K17_7]	38
By voting people can have a say in how things are run [K17_8]	38
It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same [K17_9]	39
Electoral alliances between parties are good because they make it easier for small parties to reach parliament [K17_10]	39
The coalition government should consist of either right-wing or left-wing parties [K17_11]	40
Parties should have announced clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with [K17_12]	40
Personalisation of politics is mainly a good thing [K17_13]	40
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified) [Q1AL_1]	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified) [Q1AL_2]	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified) [Q1AL_3]	42
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified) [Q1AL_4]	43
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -5 (Classified) [Q1AL_5]	45
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -6 (Classified) [Q1AL_6]	46
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -7 (Classified) [Q1AL_7]	48
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified) [Q1BL_1]	48
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified) [Q1BL_2]	49
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified) [Q1BL_3]	49
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified) [Q1BL_4]	51
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified) [Q2AL_1]	51
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified) [Q2AL_2]	52
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified) [Q2AL_3]	52

What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified) [Q2AL_4]	54
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -5 (Classified) [Q2AL_5]	54
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified) [Q2BL_1]	54
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified) [Q2BL_2]	55
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified) [Q2BL_3]	55
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified) [Q2BL_4]	57
Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it? [Q3A]	57
Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it? [Q3B]	58
Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes? [Q4]	59
Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say? [Q5]	60
Thinking about the general performance of the government under Matti Vanhanen over the past four years, how good or bad a job has it done? [Q6]	60
Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well? [Q7]	61
Which party represents your views best? [Q7A]	61
Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well? [Q8]	62
Which party leader represents your views best? [Q8A]	62
Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or you feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q9A]	63
Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q9B]	64
Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q9C]	65
Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS) [Q9D]	65
Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR) [Q9E]	66
Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q9F]	66
Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD) [Q9G]	67
Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS) [Q9H]	68
Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10	

3. Indexes

(strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or you feel you do not know enough about: Matti Vanhanen (KESK) [Q10A]	68
Opinion on party leaders: Eero Heinäluoma (SDP) [Q10B]	69
Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK) [Q10C]	70
Opinion on party leaders: Martti Korhonen (VAS) [Q10D]	70
Opinion on party leaders: Tarja Cronberg (VIHR) [Q10E]	71
Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP) [Q10F]	71
Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD) [Q10G]	72
Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS) [Q10H]	73
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? [Q11A]	73
Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q11B]	74
Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q11C]	75
Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS) [Q11D]	75
Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR) [Q11E]	76
Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q11F]	77
Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD) [Q11G]	77
Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS) [Q11H]	78
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Matti Vanhanen (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? [Q12A]	79
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)? [Q12B]	79
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)? [Q12C] .	80
Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale? [Q13]	81
During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties? [Q17]	81
How closely did you follow the election campaigning? [Q18]	82
How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland? [Q19]	82
Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party? [Q20]	83
Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others? [Q20A] ...	83
Which party do you feel closest to? [Q20B]	84
Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close? [Q20C]	84
Nowadays a lot of people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election	

day? [Q21]	85
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q21A_1]	85
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q21A_2]	86
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q21A_3]	87
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Left Alliance (VAS) [Q21A_4]	88
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Green League (VIHR) [Q21A_5]	89
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q21A_6]	90
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Christian Democrats (KD) [Q21A_7]	91
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: True Finns (PS) [Q21A_8]	92
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Workers' Party [Q21A_9]	92
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: For the Poor [Q21A_13]	93
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Joint Responsibility Party [Q21A_14]	94
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Patriotic Movement [Q21A_15]	94
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish People's Blue-Whites [Q21A_16]	95
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Senior Citizens' Party [Q21A_18]	95
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: None of these parties, can't say, missing [Q21A_19]	95
(IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parli- amentary elections? [Q21C]	96
Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group? [Q22A]	97
Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q22B_1]	97
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q22B_2]	98
Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q22B_3]	99
Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS) [Q22B_4]	100
Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR) [Q22B_5]	100

3. Indexes

Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q22B_6]	101
Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD) [Q22B_7]	102
Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS) [Q22B_8]	103
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party [Q22B_9]	104
Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party [Q22B_10]	105
Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals [Q22B_11]	105
Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland [Q22B_12]	105
Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor [Q22B_13]	106
Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party [Q22B_14]	106
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement [Q22B_15]	106
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites [Q22B_16]	107
Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland [Q22B_17]	107
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party [Q22B_18]	107
Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party [Q22B_19]	108
Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing [Q22B_20]	108
And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for? [Q22C]	109
Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q22D_1]	109
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q22D_2]	110
Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q22D_3]	111
Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS) [Q22D_4]	112
Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR) [Q22D_5]	112
Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP) [Q22D_6]	113
Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD) [Q22D_7]	114
Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS) [Q22D_8]	115
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party [Q22D_9]	116
Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party [Q22D_10]	117
Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals [Q22D_11]	117
Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland [Q22D_12]	117
Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor [Q22D_13]	118
Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party [Q22D_14]	118
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement [Q22D_15]	118
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites [Q22D_16]	119
Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland [Q22D_17]	119

Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party [Q22D_18]	119
Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party [Q22D_19]	120
Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing [Q22D_20]	120
Did you vote four years ago, in the 2003 parliamentary elections? [Q23]	121
(IF YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for? [Q23B]	121
Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2006? [Q24A]	122
Which of the following parties has the second largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament? [Q24B]	122
Which of the following countries is a permanent member in the United Nations (UN) Security Council? [Q24C]	123
Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections? [Q24D]	123
What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government? [Q24E]	124
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party [K18_1]	124
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate [K18_2]	124
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job prevented me from voting [K18_3]	125
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of travel [K18_4]	125
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age [K18_5]	126
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Didn't remember to vote [K18_6]	126
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Simply couldn't be bothered to vote [K18_7]	126
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from voting [K18_8]	127
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election [K18_9]	127
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general [K18_10]	128
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians [K18_11]	128
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not [K18_12]	129
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: The parties or candidates I would have preferred had no chance of winning a seat to Parliament in my constituency	

3. Indexes

[K18_13] 129

(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote? [K19] 130

(IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate? [K20] 130

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party’s representatives in election programmes on television [K21_1] 130

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to [K21_2] 131

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader [K21_3] 131

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: I’m a stable supporter of this party [K21_4] 132

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good policy on many current issues [K21_5] 132

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to form policies [K21_6] 133

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term [K21_7] 133

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Successful election campaign of the party [K21_8] 134

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party had good chance of winning one or more seats to Parliament from my constituency [K21_9] .. 134

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups [K21_10] 135

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister [K21_11] 135

To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government [K21_12] 136

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate’s age [K22_1] 136

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate’s gender [K22_2] 137

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate’s education [K22_3] 137

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate’s place of residence [K22_4] 138

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate’s prior experience in politics [K22_5] 138

To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate’s reliability

[K22_6]	139
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things [K22_7]	139
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style [K22_8]	140
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure [K22_9]	140
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues [K22_10]	141
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation [K22_11]	141
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising [K22_12]	142
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament [K22_13]	142
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from friends, acquaintances or relatives [K22_14]	143
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups [K22_15]	143
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors [K22_16]	144
Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you? [K23]	144
What was the age of the candidate you voted for? [K24]	144
Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2003? [K25]	145
In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you? [K26]	145
How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party the candidate of which you voted for? [K27]	146
How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate? [K28]	146
Respondent's year of birth [D1]	147
Respondent's gender [D2]	147
Respondent's basic education [D3_1]	147
Respondent's vocational education [D3_2]	148
Respondent's marital status [D4]	148
Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities? [D5_1]	149
Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional association belong to? [D5_2]	149
Respondent's employment status [D10]	150

3. Indexes

Respondent's profession [D11]	150
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status [D12]	151
Type of employer [D13]	151
Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits) [D20]	152
Total number of persons in the household [D21_1]	152
Number of people aged over 18 in the household [D21_2]	153
Number of children aged 7-17 in the household [D22_1]	153
Number of children aged six or under in the household [D22_2]	154
Would you describe yourself as ... [D24]	154
Do you belong to any church or religious community? [D25]	155
Respondent's mother tongue [D26_1]	155
What language(s) do you use at home? [D26_2]	155
Respondent's place of residence [D27]	156
Type of neighbourhood where R lives [D30]	156
Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2003 parliamentary elections? [D30B]	157
Respondent's constituency [D31]	157
Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months? [D32_1]	157
For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months? [D32_2]	158
Housing tenure [D33A]	158
Region of residence (NUTS3) [MAAKUNTA]	159
Province of residence [LAANI]	159
Language used in the face-to-face interview [KK_KIELI]	160
Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire [REKRY]	160
Self-administered questionnaire id [RSP]	160
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the poor [P1_1]	161
How important are the following issues to you: Fighting crime [P1_2]	161
How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding good public services [P1_3]	162
How important are the following issues to you: Increasing health care resources [P1_4] ...	162
How important are the following issues to you: Lowering taxes [P1_5]	163
How important are the following issues to you: Reducing regional disparity [P1_6]	163
How important are the following issues to you: Promoting entrepreneurship [P1_7]	163
How important are the following issues to you: Strengthening traditional values and morals	

[P1_8]	164
How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding the independence of municipalities [P1_9]	164
How important are the following issues to you: Opposing municipal mergers [P1_10]	165
How important are the following issues to you: Promoting Finnish interests in the EU [P1_11]	165
How important are the following issues to you: Promoting European Union integration [P1_12]	166
How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in which parties will form the coalition government [P1_13]	166
How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in who will be elected as prime minister [P1_14]	166
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of ethnic minorities [P1_15]	167
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of sexual minorities [P1_16]	167
How important are the following issues to you: Fighting climate change [P1_17]	168
How important are the following issues to you: Other environmental protection issues [P1_18]	168
How important are the following issues to you: Diminishing unemployment [P1_19]	169
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the elderly [P1_20]	169
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of young people and families with children [P1_21]	170
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of students [P1_22]	170
I think Finland could make it easier for employers to dismiss employees provided unemployment benefits are improved at the same time [P2_1]	170
Finland should grant all citizens aged over 16 tax-free universal basic income which would substitute basic social security benefits [P2_2]	171
Something should be done about increasing income disparity as it leads to inequality between people [P2_3]	171
If we have to choose between improving public services or lowering income taxes, we must choose lowering taxes [P2_4]	172
To reduce integral migration to the capital area we must transfer more government jobs to other regions in Finland [P2_5]	172
Finland should construct a sixth nuclear power plant in addition to the fifth plant currently under construction [P2_6]	173
The state should have a much bigger role in directing the economy than it has at present [P2_7]	

173

How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members or relatives [P3_1]	174
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students [P3_2]	174
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances [P3_3]	175
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs articles in newspapers [P3_4]	175
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising in newspapers [P3_5]	176
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on the radio [P3_6]	176
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on the radio [P3_7]	177
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on the radio [P3_8]	177
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on television [P3_9]	178
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on television [P3_10]	178
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on television [P3_11]	179
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Candidate selectors on the Internet [P3_12]	179
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Websites of parties or candidates [P3_13]	180
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Campaign events organised by parties or candidates [P3_14]	180
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Voting campaigns organised by voluntary/civic organisations or interest groups [P3_15]	181
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Books, periodicals/magazines or other literary sources [P3_16]	181
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: SMS messages [P3_17]	182
It was important for me to vote for a candidate of the same gender as myself regardless of whether he/she had a chance of getting elected [P4_1]	182
It was important for me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers [P4_2]	182
It was important for me that the candidate would promote interests important for my gender	

[P4_3]	183
It was important for me that the candidate would be active in gender equality issues [P4_4]	183
The candidate's gender was not important [P4_5]	184
Finland's NATO membership should have been discussed during the election campaign so that voters would have known the policy of each party on this issue [P5_1]	184
The issue of Finland's NATO membership is so complicated that we can leave the decisions to political leaders [P5_2]	185
Finland should join NATO [P5_3]	185
EU membership is a good thing for Finland [P5_4]	186
Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing [P5_5]	186
members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and started concentrating on solving real problems [P6_1]	187
What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles [P6_2]	187
Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions [P6_3]	187
Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens [P6_4]	188
The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise [P6_5]	188
Political parties ought to be banned in politics [P6_6]	189
There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure [P6_7]	189
Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned [P6_8]	190
What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order [P6_9]	190
Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship [P6_10]	191
Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture [P6_11]	191
Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of gender [P6_12]	191
Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of age [P6_13]	192
Parliament ought to be representative of public opinion in key issues [P6_14]	192
Members of parliament ought to have higher education than the population in general [P6_15]	193
Members of parliament should first and foremost be experts in their own field [P6_16] ...	193
Members of parliament ought to follow closely the views of their voters and promote corresponding policies [P6_17]	194
Members of parliament ought to vote in Parliament according to their own views and not according to party line [P6_18]	194
Members of parliament ought to act in best interest of the people, using their own judgement	

3. Indexes

[P6_19]	195
Membership and participation in the activities of: Sports clubs, including hunting and fishing clubs/associations [P7_1]	195
Membership and participation in the activities of: Cultural or hobby organisation [P7_2] ..	196
Membership and participation in the activities of: Village or neighbourhood association [P7_3]	196
Membership and participation in the activities of: Trade union [P7_4]	196
Membership and participation in the activities of: Employer or other business organisation/association [P7_5]	197
Membership and participation in the activities of: Agricultural association [P7_6]	197
Membership and participation in the activities of: Other professional association [P7_7] ..	198
Membership and participation in the activities of: Humanitarian, human rights, minority or immigrant organisations [P7_8]	198
Membership and participation in the activities of: Environmental, peace or animal rights organisations [P7_9]	199
Membership and participation in the activities of: Religious organisations [P7_10]	199
Membership and participation in the activities of: Political party [P7_11]	199
Membership and participation in the activities of: Some other voluntary/civic organisation [P7_12]	200
How often do you discuss politics with other people? [P8]	200
If it were possible to have a say through the Internet in issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say? [P9]	201
What would you say is the importance of party leader Matti Vanhanen for the support of the Centre Party of Finland? [P10_1]	201
What would you say is the importance of party leader Eero Heinäluoma for the support of the Finnish Social Democratic Party? [P10_2]	202
What would you say is the importance of party leader Jyrki Katainen for the support of the National Coalition Party? [P10_3]	202
What would you say is the importance of party leader Martti Korhonen for the support of the Left Alliance? [P10_4]	203
What would you say is the importance of party leader Tarja Cronberg for the support of the Green League? [P10_5]	203
What would you say is the importance of party leader Stefan Wallin for the support of the Swedish People's Party in Finland? [P10_6]	204
What would you say is the importance of party leader Päivi Räsänen for the support of the Christian Democrats? [P10_7]	204
What would you say is the importance of party leader Timo Soini for the support of True Finns (PS)? [P10_8]	205
A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided	

services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B? [P11]	205
A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at present level. Do you agree more with A or B? [P12]	206
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy [P13_1]	206
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation [P13_2]	206
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland [P13_3]	207
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation [P13_4]	207
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy [P14_1]	208
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation [P14_2]	208
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland [P14_3]	209
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation [P14_4]	209
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation? [P15]	210
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life? [P16]	210
Language of the self-administered questionnaire [PV_KIELI]	210
Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire [KK_PV]	211
Weight variable for the whole data, weighting to population distribution by language [PAINOKI1]	211
Weight variable for the self-administered questionnaire data, weighting to population distribution by language [PAINOKI2]	212
Language of the combined data [KIELI]	212

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

A thinks Finnish social reforms have gone too far, and people should be able to manage more often without social security benefits. B thinks that social reforms should be kept at present level. Do you agree more with A or B?	206
A thinks people should have more freedom of choice between privately and publicly provided services, or between different public service providers. B thinks there is already enough choice. Do you agree more with A or B?	205
And were there any parties (or groups) that you would never vote for?	109
Are you a member of any political party?	34
Are you at present or have you ever been an elected official in a municipal body?	34
By voting people can have a say in how things are run	38
Date of the interview: day	13
Date of the interview: month	13
Democracy may have its problems but it is better than any other form of government	23
Did you consider voting for a candidate of any other party or group?	97
Did you live in your present constituency at the time of the 2003 parliamentary elections?	157
Did you vote for a candidate who is of the same gender as you?	144
Did you vote for the same candidate in the previous parliamentary elections in 2003?	145
Did you vote four years ago, in the 2003 parliamentary elections?	121
Did you vote in the previous elections: European parliament elections in 2004	21
Did you vote in the previous elections: Municipal elections (local elections) in 2004	21
Did you vote in the previous elections: Parliamentary elections in 2003	21
Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, first round	22
Did you vote in the previous elections: Presidential elections in 2006, second round	22
Direct contacts between interest groups and members of parliament ought to be banned ..	190
Do you belong to any church or religious community?	155
Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	84
Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	83
Do you think of yourself as close to any particular party?	83
Does the respondent belong to any trade union or professional association and if yes, how actively does R participate in its activities?	149
During the election campaign, would you say that there were differences between parties? ..	81
Electoral alliances between parties are good because they make it easier for small parties to reach parliament	39
Electoral alliances should not be allowed in parliamentary elections	26

EU membership is a good thing for Finland	186
Finland should construct a sixth nuclear power plant in addition to the fifth plant currently under construction	173
Finland should grant all citizens aged over 16 tax-free universal basic income which would substitute basic social security benefits	171
Finland should join NATO	185
Finland's NATO membership should have been discussed during the election campaign so that voters would have known the policy of each party on this issue	184
Finland's participation in international crisis management operations is a good thing	186
For how many months altogether have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?	158
FSD case id	12
FSD edition number	11
FSD processing level	12
FSD study number	11
Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?	157
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Contact political decision-makers on an issue	27
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Demonstrate civil disobedience by participating in illegal, non-violent activities	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of a political party	28
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Involve myself in the activities of some other voluntary/civic organisation	28
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Join a consumer boycott	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Contact political decision-makers	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss other issues	33
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Discuss politics	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following on the Internet: Sign a petition	32
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in peaceful demonstrations	30
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Participate in the kind of demonstrations that have previously involved violence	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Sign a petition	27

3. Indexes

Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to enhance environmental protection through my consumer choices	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Try to influence political or social issues through my consumer choices	29
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Use violence to reach political goals	31
Have you done during the past four years or feel you might do any of the following: Write a letter to the editor	27
Have you ever participated in the work of the support group of a candidate in parliamentary elections?	34
Housing tenure	158
How closely did you follow the election campaigning?	82
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Employment situation in Finland	209
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Finnish economy	208
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's employment situation	209
How do you expect the following to be two years from now, compared to the present situation: Your personal or family's financial situation	208
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Employment situation in Finland	207
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Finnish economy	206
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's employment situation	207
How do you think the following are now, compared to the past two years: Your personal or family's financial situation	206
How easy or difficult was it for you to choose the party the candidate of which you voted for?	146
How easy or difficult was it for you to find a suitable candidate?	146
How important are the following issues to you: Diminishing unemployment	169
How important are the following issues to you: Fighting climate change	168
How important are the following issues to you: Fighting crime	161
How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in which parties will form the coalition government	166
How important are the following issues to you: Having a say in who will be elected as prime minister	166
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of ethnic minorities	167

How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of sexual minorities	167
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of students ..	170
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the elderly	169
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of the poor ..	161
How important are the following issues to you: Improving the circumstances of young people and families with children	170
How important are the following issues to you: Increasing health care resources	162
How important are the following issues to you: Lowering taxes	163
How important are the following issues to you: Opposing municipal mergers	165
How important are the following issues to you: Other environmental protection issues	168
How important are the following issues to you: Promoting entrepreneurship	163
How important are the following issues to you: Promoting European Union integration ...	166
How important are the following issues to you: Promoting Finnish interests in the EU	165
How important are the following issues to you: Reducing regional disparity	163
How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding good public services	162
How important are the following issues to you: Safeguarding the independence of municipalities	164
How important are the following issues to you: Strengthening traditional values and morals	164
How interested are you in politics?	14
How many different political parties have you voted for in parliamentary elections so far? ..	20
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Candidate selectors on the Internet	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper advertisements	19
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Newspaper articles	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Online diaries and blogs	17
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Radio programmes	15
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television advertisements	18
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television debates and party leader interviews	14
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television entertainment programmes featuring politicians	15

3. Indexes

How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Television news and current affairs programmes	15
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web news covering elections	16
How much attention did you pay to media coverage of the parliamentary elections: Web sites of the candidates and political parties	17
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Books, periodicals/magazines or other literary sources	181
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Campaign events organised by parties or candidates	180
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Candidate selectors on the Internet	179
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising in newspapers	176
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on television	179
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election advertising on the radio	177
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on television	178
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Election programmes on the radio	177
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Family members or relatives	174
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs articles in newspapers	175
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on television	178
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: News and current affairs programmes on the radio	176
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Other friends and acquaintances	175
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: SMS messages	182
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Voting campaigns organised by voluntary/civic organisations or interest groups	181
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Websites of parties or candidates	180
How much important information did you get for your voting choice from: Work colleagues or fellow students	174
How often do you discuss politics with other people?	200

How often do you use the Internet?	33
How satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Finland?	82
How stable is your political party identification?	19
I have no say in what the government and parliament decide	37
I myself would like to attend public debates organised for ordinary people	25
I think Finland could make it easier for employers to dismiss employees provided unemployment benefits are improved at the same time	170
I think voting is a civic duty	23
(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it to you that you were not going to vote?	130
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	85
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Christian Democrats (KD)	91
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Patriotic Movement	94
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish People's Blue-Whites	95
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	95
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	86
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Finnish Workers' Party	92
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: For the Poor	93
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Green League (VIHR)	89
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Joint Responsibility Party	94
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Left Alliance (VAS)	88
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: National Coalition Party (KOK)	87
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: None of these parties, can't say, missing	95
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	90
(IF DID NOT VOTE) If you had voted, the candidate of which party would you have voted for: True Finns (PS)	92

3. Indexes

(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: As a protest against politics and politicians	128
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of illness or advanced age	126
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Could not vote because of travel	125
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Didn't remember to vote .	126
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable candidate	124
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Difficult to find a suitable party	124
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I distrust politicians and politics in general	128
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I do not profit in any way from voting	127
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I think one vote makes no difference to the outcome of an election	127
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: I'm not interested in politics and don't care whether I vote or not	129
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Job prevented me from voting	125
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: Simply couldn't be bothered to vote	126
(IF DID NOT VOTE) Reasons for not voting in these elections: The parties or candidates I would have preferred had no chance of winning a seat to Parliament in my constituency ..	129
If it were possible to have a say through the Internet in issues that Parliament is handling that week, would you have a say?	201
(IF VOTED) For your voting choice, which was the more important, the party or the candidate?	130
(IF VOTED) The candidate of which party (or political group) did you vote for in these parliamentary elections?	96
If we have to choose between improving public services or lowering income taxes, we must choose lowering taxes	172
(IF YES) The candidate of which political party or group did you vote for?	121
Immigration poses a serious threat to Finland's unique national culture	191
Important national issues should more often be decided in a referendum	24
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place party leader Matti Vanhanen (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? .	79
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Centre Party of	

Finland (KESK) on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? ...	73
In what way was the candidate you voted for known to you?	145
Interviewer gender	13
Interviewer id	12
It doesn't really matter which parties form the government, policy decisions will be the same	39
It is important to me that my candidate has a real chance of getting elected to Parliament ..	25
It is important to me that my party has a real chance of getting a candidate elected to Parliament in my constituency	25
It is people's own business whether they want to use their right to vote or not	23
It was important for me that my own gender would be well represented among decision-makers	182
It was important for me that the candidate would be active in gender equality issues	183
It was important for me that the candidate would promote interests important for my gender	183
It was important for me to vote for a candidate of the same gender as myself regardless of whether he/she had a chance of getting elected	182
Language of the combined data	212
Language of the self-administered questionnaire	210
Language used in the face-to-face interview	160
Members of parliament ought to act in best interest of the people, using their own judgement	195
Members of parliament ought to follow closely the views of their voters and promote corresponding policies	194
Members of parliament ought to have higher education than the population in general	193
Members of parliament ought to vote in Parliament according to their own views and not according to party line	194
Members of parliament should first and foremost be experts in their own field	193
members of parliament would help our country more if they stopped talking and started concentrating on solving real problems	187
Membership and participation in the activities of: Agricultural association	197
Membership and participation in the activities of: Cultural or hobby organisation	196
Membership and participation in the activities of: Employer or other business organisation/association	197
Membership and participation in the activities of: Environmental, peace or animal rights organisations	199
Membership and participation in the activities of: Humanitarian, human rights, minority or immigrant organisations	198

3. Indexes

Membership and participation in the activities of: Other professional association	198
Membership and participation in the activities of: Political party	199
Membership and participation in the activities of: Religious organisations	199
Membership and participation in the activities of: Some other voluntary/civic organisation	200
Membership and participation in the activities of: Sports clubs, including hunting and fishing clubs/associations	195
Membership and participation in the activities of: Trade union	196
Membership and participation in the activities of: Village or neighbourhood association ..	196
Nowadays a lot of people do not vote in elections for some reason or other. Did you vote or not in these parliamentary elections? If you did vote, did you vote in advance or on the election day?	85
Number of children aged 7-17 in the household	153
Number of children aged six or under in the household	154
Number of people aged over 18 in the household	153
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your life?	210
On the whole, how satisfied or unsatisfied are you with your personal financial situation? ..	210
Opinion on party leaders: Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)	69
Opinion on party leaders: Jyrki Katainen (KOK)	70
Opinion on party leaders: Martti Korhonen (VAS)	70
Opinion on party leaders: Päivi Räsänen (KD)	72
Opinion on party leaders. Rate the following leaders on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the leader or you feel you do not know enough about: Matti Vanhanen (KESK)	68
Opinion on party leaders: Stefan Wallin (RKP)	71
Opinion on party leaders: Tarja Cronberg (VIHR)	71
Opinion on party leaders: Timo Soini (PS)	73
Opinion on political parties: Christian Democrats (KD)	67
Opinion on political parties: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	64
Opinion on political parties: Green League (VIHR)	66
Opinion on political parties: Left Alliance (VAS)	65
Opinion on political parties: National Coalition Party (KOK)	65
Opinion on political parties. Rate the following parties on a scale from 0 (strongly dislike) to 10 (strongly like). Say if you haven't heard of the party or you feel you do not know enough about it: Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	63
Opinion on political parties: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	66
Opinion on political parties: True Finns (PS)	68

Parliament ought to be representative of public opinion in key issues	192
Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of age	192
Parliament ought to be representative of the population in terms of gender	191
Parties should have announced clearly during the election campaign which parties they are willing to form a coalition government with	40
Personalisation of politics is mainly a good thing	40
Political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in their opinions	38
Political parties ought to be banned in politics	189
Politicians are not interested in the opinions of ordinary people	36
Province of residence	159
Refugees and immigrants should be entitled to the same social security benefits as the Finns even when they do not have Finnish citizenship	191
Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say any of the individual party leaders represent your views reasonably well?	62
Region of residence (NUTS3)	159
Respondent's basic education	147
Respondent's constituency	157
Respondent's economic activity and occupational status	151
Respondent's employment status	150
Respondent's gender	147
Respondent's marital status	148
Respondent's mother tongue	155
Respondent's place of residence	156
Respondent's profession	150
Respondent's vocational education	148
Respondents who participated both in the face-to-face interview and in completing the self-administered questionnaire	211
Respondent's willingness to complete the self-administered questionnaire	160
Respondent's year of birth	147
Self-administered questionnaire id	160
Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. How much difference would you say it makes?	59
Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. What would you say?	60
Something should be done about increasing income disparity as it leads to inequality between people	171

3. Indexes

Sometimes politics seems so complicated that I can't really understand what is going on ...	38
The candidate's gender was not important	184
The coalition government should consist of either right-wing or left-wing parties	40
The Finnish government would function better if it were managed like an enterprise	188
The issue of Finland's NATO membership is so complicated that we can leave the decisions to political leaders	185
The MPs of my constituency do not have enough say in what the Government and Parliament decide	26
The state should have a much bigger role in directing the economy than it has at present ..	173
There ought to be an upper limit to political campaign expenditure	189
Things would be better in Finland if independent experts made the decisions instead of politicians and citizens	188
Things would be better in Finland if successful corporate managers made the decisions ...	187
Thinking about the general performance of the government under Matti Vanhanen over the past four years, how good or bad a job has it done?	60
Thinking of the most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?	57
Thinking of the second most important political problem facing Finland, which political party do you think is best in dealing with it?	58
Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with problems of ordinary people	36
Through political parties, citizens' opinions will be taken into consideration in decision-making	37
To reduce integral migration to the capital area we must transfer more government jobs to other regions in Finland	172
To support representative democracy, public debates on policy issues should be organised for ordinary people	24
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Desire to influence which parties will be in the coalition government	136
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Good party leader	131
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: I'm a stable supporter of this party	132
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: In my opinion, the leader of this party is the best candidate to become prime minister	135
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party had good chance of winning one or more seats to Parliament from my constituency	134
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has competent people to form policies	133
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party has good	

policy on many current issues	132
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Party looks after the interests of the occupational group I belong to	131
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party during the past parliamentary term	133
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Performance of the party's representatives in election programmes on television	130
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups	135
To what extent did the following influence your choice of party in these elections: Successful election campaign of the party	134
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had good chance of winning a seat to Parliament	142
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a well-known public figure	140
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's ability to manage things	139
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age	136
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's answers in candidate selectors	144
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's appearance and style	140
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's education	137
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's election campaign and election advertising	142
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender ..	137
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's party affiliation	141
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's place of residence	138
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's prior experience in politics	138
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's reliability	139
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on different issues	141
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from friends, acquaintances or relatives	143
To what extent did the following influence your choice of candidate: Recommendations from voluntary/civic organisations and interest groups	143

3. Indexes

Total annual gross income of the respondent's household (including social benefits)	152
Total number of persons in the household	152
Type of employer	151
Type of neighbourhood where R lives	156
Using the same scale, where would you place: Christian Democrats (KD)	77
Using the same scale, where would you place: Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	74
Using the same scale, where would you place: Green League (VIHR)	76
Using the same scale, where would you place: Left Alliance (VAS)	75
Using the same scale, where would you place: National Coalition Party (KOK)	75
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Eero Heinäluoma (SDP)?	79
Using the same scale, where would you place party leader Jyrki Katainen (KOK)?	80
Using the same scale, where would you place: Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	77
Using the same scale, where would you place: True Finns (PS)	78
Voting is the only way in which ordinary people can have a say about how things are run	36
Weight variable for the self-administered questionnaire data, weighting to population distribution by language	212
Weight variable for the whole data, weighting to population distribution by language	211
What do you think is meant by a parliamentary system of government?	124
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified)	51
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified)	52
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified)	52
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified)	54
What do you think is the most important political problem facing Finland today? -5 (Classified)	54
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -1 (Classified)	54
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -2 (Classified)	55
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -3 (Classified)	55
What do you think is the second most important political problem facing Finland today? -4 (Classified)	57
What Finland needs are strong leaders who can restore law and order	190

What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified) ..	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified) ..	41
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified) ..	42
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified) ..	43
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -5 (Classified) ..	45
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -6 (Classified) ..	46
What has been the most important issue to you personally in this election? -7 (Classified) ..	48
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -1 (Classified)	48
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -2 (Classified)	49
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -3 (Classified)	49
What has been the second most important issue to you personally in this election? -4 (Classified)	51
What kind of goals should members of parliament primarily promote?	35
What kind of goals should members of parliament secondarily promote?	35
What language(s) do you use at home?	155
What making compromises in politics really means is selling one's principles	187
What was the age of the candidate you voted for?	144
What would you say is the importance of party leader Eero Heinäluoma for the support of the Finnish Social Democratic Party?	202
What would you say is the importance of party leader Jyrki Katainen for the support of the National Coalition Party?	202
What would you say is the importance of party leader Martti Korhonen for the support of the Left Alliance?	203
What would you say is the importance of party leader Matti Vanhanen for the support of the Centre Party of Finland?	201
What would you say is the importance of party leader Päivi Räsänen for the support of the Christian Democrats?	204
What would you say is the importance of party leader Stefan Wallin for the support of the Swedish People's Party in Finland?	204
What would you say is the importance of party leader Tarja Cronberg for the support of the Green League?	203
What would you say is the importance of party leader Timo Soini for the support of True Finns (PS)?	205
Where would you place yourself on this left-right scale?	81
Which of the following central organisations does the respondent's trade union or professional	

3. Indexes

association belong to?	149
Which of the following countries is a permanent member in the United Nations (UN) Security Council?	123
Which of the following groups would you say you belong to?	19
Which of the following parties has the second largest number of seats in the newly elected Parliament?	122
Which party do you feel closest to?	84
Which party leader represents your views best?	62
Which party represents your views best?	61
Which party/parties or group(s): Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	97, 109
Which party/parties or group(s): Christian Democrats (KD)	102, 114
Which party/parties or group(s): Communist Party of Finland	107, 119
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Patriotic Movement	106, 118
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish People's Blue-Whites	107, 119
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Senior Citizens' Party	107, 119
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	98, 110
Which party/parties or group(s): Finnish Workers' Party	104, 116
Which party/parties or group(s): For Peace and Socialism-Communist Workers' Party	108, 120
Which party/parties or group(s): For the Poor	106, 118
Which party/parties or group(s): Forces for Change in Finland	105, 117
Which party/parties or group(s): Green League (VIHR)	100, 112
Which party/parties or group(s): Independence Party	105, 117
Which party/parties or group(s): Joint Responsibility Party	106, 118
Which party/parties or group(s): Left Alliance (VAS)	100, 112
Which party/parties or group(s): Liberals	105, 117
Which party/parties or group(s): National Coalition Party (KOK)	99, 111
Which party/parties or group(s): None of these parties, can't say, missing	108, 120
Which party/parties or group(s): Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	101, 113
Which party/parties or group(s): True Finns (PS)	103, 115
Which social class would you say you belong to?	20
Who is eligible to vote in Finnish parliamentary elections?	123
Who of the following was the Finnish Foreign Minister in 2006?	122
Would you describe yourself as	154
Would you say that any of the parties in Finland represent your views reasonably well?	61

Appendix A

Questionnaires in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2269 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2007

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2269 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2007

FRÅGEFORMULÄR: FSD2269 RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2007

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2007
Käyntihaastattelu

HALLINNOLLISET CSES-MUUTTUJAT (A-ALKUISET)

- A1. Lomakenumero (tai muu yksittäiset haastateltavat identifioiva tunnusnumero)
- A2. Haastattelija (yksittäiset haastattelijat identifioiva tunnusnumero)
- A3. Haastattelijan sukupuoli
 - 1. Mies
 - 2. Nainen
- A4_1. Haastattelukuukausi (1-12)
- A4_2. Haastattelupäivä (1-31)
- A5. Alkuperäiset painomuuttujat

**

SISÄLTÖMUUTTUJAT

K1. Kuinka kiinnostunut olette politiikasta?

- 1 Hyvin kiinnostunut
- 2 Jonkin verran kiinnostunut
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut
- 4 Ei lainkaan kiinnostunut

- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K2. Kuinka paljon seuraisitte eduskuntavaaleja eri tiedotusvälineistä?

- 1 HYVIN PALJON
- 2 MELKO PALJON
- 3 VAIN VÄHÄN
- 4 EN LAINKAAN

- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

TV:n vaalikeskustelut ja puoluejohtajien haastattelut
TV-uutiset ja ajankohtaisohjelmat
TV:n viihdeohjelmat, joissa poliitikkoja oli mukana
Radio-ohjelmat
Sanomalehtikirjoitukset
Vaaleja koskeva uutisointi Internetissä (esim. sanomalehtien Internetsivut)
Ehdokkaiden ja puolueiden kotisivut Internetissä
Nettipäiväkirjat ja blogit
Ns. vaalikoneet Internetissä
TV-mainokset
Lehtimainokset

K3. Kuinka vakiintunut oma puoluekantanne on?

- 1 Täysin vakiintunut
- 2 Melko vakiintunut
- 3 Ei kovinkaan vakiintunut
- 4 Ei lainkaan vakiintunut

- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K4. Mihin seuraavista ryhmistä samastutte ensisijaisesti? (vain yksi vaihtoehto)

- 1 Suomalaiset yleensä
- 2 Suomenkieliset suomalaiset
- 3 Ruotsinkieliset suomalaiset
- 4 Muu kansallinen tai kielellinen ryhmä

5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K5. Mihin yhteiskuntaluokkaan katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne?

- 1 Työväenluokka
- 2 Alempi keskiluokka
- 3 Keskiluokka
- 4 Ylempi keskiluokka
- 5 Yläluokka
- 6 Ei mikään luokka

7 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K6. Osaatteko sanoa, kuinka monen eri puolueen ehdokkaita olette elämäne aikana äänestänyt eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1 Olen aina äänestänyt saman puolueen ehdokkaita
- 2 Kahden tai kolmen eri puolueen ehdokkaita
- 3 Neljän tai useamman puolueen ehdokkaita
- 4 Ei ole äänestänyt koskaan

5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K7. Ennen juuri käytyjä eduskuntavaaleja maassamme on vuoden 2000 jälkeen järjestetty neljät yleiset vaalit. Missä niistä olette käynyt äänestämässä tai jättäneet äänestämättä?

- 1 Jätin äänestämättä
- 2 Kävin äänestämässä
- 3 Ei äänioikeutta

Eduskuntavaalit vuonna 2003

Europarlamenttivaalit vuonna 2004

Kunnallisvaalit vuonna 2004

Presidentinvaalien ensimmäinen kierros vuonna 2006

Presidentinvaalien toinen kierros vuonna 2006

Asenneväittämiä

K8. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä? Oletteko täysin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä väittämien kanssa?

LUETTELE

- 1 Täysin samaa mieltä
- 2 Jokseenkin samaa mieltä
- 3 Jokseenkin eri mieltä
- 4 Täysin eri mieltä

5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

Normatiiviset näkemykset demokratiasta ja vaalipiirin koko

Pidän äänestämässä käyntiä kansalaisvelvollisuutena

On jokaisen oma asia, käyttääkö hän vaaleissa äänioikeuttaan vai ei

Demokratialla voi olla ongelmansa, mutta se on parempi kuin muut hallitusmuodot

Tärkeät yhteiskunnalliset kysymykset pitäisi entistä useammin päättää kansanäänestyksellä

Edustuksellisen demokratian tukena tulisi järjestää tavallisille kansalaisille tarkoitettuja poliittisia keskustelutilaisuuksia

Haluaisin itsekkin osallistua kansalaisille tarkoitettuihin poliittisiin keskustelutilaisuuksiin

Eduskuntavaaleissa minulle on tärkeää, että puolueellani on todella mahdollisuus saada ehdokas läpi vaalipiirissäni

Eduskuntavaaleissa minulle on tärkeää, että ehdokkaallani on todella mahdollisuus tulla valituksi eduskuntaan

Vaalipiirini kansanedustajilla ei ole riittävästi sananvaltaa hallituksen ja eduskunnan tekemiin päätöksiin

Vaaliliitot pitäisi kieltää eduskuntavaaleissa

Poliittinen osallistuminen

K9. Seuraavassa on joukko erilaisia yhteiskunnallisen osallistumisen muotoja. Jos tuntisitte asian edistämisen todella tärkeäksi, niin mitä näistä olette tehnyt viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana tai saattaisitte tehdä?

1. Olen tehnyt viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana
2. En ole tehnyt, mutta saattaisin tehdä
3. En tekisi missään tapauksessa
4. En osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

Kirjoittaa yleisönosastoon

Otaa yhteyttä poliittisiin päätöksentekijöihin jossakin tärkeässä asiassa

Kirjoittaa nimenne vetoamuksiin, nimenkeräyksiin tai toimintalistoihin

Osallistua poliittisen puolueen toimintaan

Osallistua muun järjestön toimintaan

Tehdä omia ostopäätöksiäni siten, että voisin kulutustavoillani edistää luonnon suojelua

Tehdä omia ostopäätöksiäni siten, että voisin kuluttajana vaikuttaa yhteiskunnallisiin tai poliittisiin asioihin

Osallistua boikottiin, maksu- tai ostolakoon

Osallistua rauhanomaisiin mielenosoituksiin

Osoittaa kansalaistottelemattomuutta osallistumalla väkivallattomaan laittomaan toimintaan

Osallistua sellaisiin mielenosoituksiin, joissa aiemmin on ilmennyt väkivaltaa

Käyttää väkivaltaa poliittisten päämäärien saavuttamiseksi

Internet

K10. Internet ja sähköposti ovat avanneet uusia mahdollisuuksia poliittiseen toimintaan ja tiedon hankintaan. Oletteko viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana tehnyt, tai saattaisitteko tehdä jotain seuraavista asioista Internetissä?

1. Olen tehnyt viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana
2. En ole tehnyt, mutta saattaisin tehdä
3. En tekisi missään tapauksessa
4. En osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

Kirjoittaa nimenne vetoamuksiin, nimenkeräyksiin tai toimintalistoihin
Otaa yhteyttä poliittisiin päätöksentekijöihin
Keskustella politiikasta
Keskustella muista asioista

K11. Kuinka usein käytätte Internetiä?

- 1 Päivittäin
- 2 Muutamia kertoja viikossa
- 3 Noin kerran viikossa
- 4 Satunnaisesti
- 5 En lainkaan

K12. Oletteko nyt tai oletteko koskaan ollut kunnallisissa luottamustehtävissä (esimerkiksi valtuustossa tai lautakunnassa)?

- 1 Olen tällä hetkellä
- 2 En ole tällä hetkellä, mutta olen ollut aikaisemmin
- 3 En ole ollut koskaan
- 4 Ei osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

K13. Oletteko jonkin poliittisen puolueen jäsen?

- 1 Olen
- 2 En ole, mutta olen ollut aikaisemmin
- 3 En ole koskaan ollut minkään poliittisen puolueen jäsen

K14. Oletteko toiminut jonkun ehdokkaan tukiryhmässä eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1 Kyllä, toimin nyt käydyissä vaaleissa
- 2 En nyt käydyissä vaaleissa, mutta olen toiminut aikaisemmin
- 3 En ole toiminut koskaan

Edustusperiaatteet

Ajatelkaa omasta vaalipiiristäne eduskuntaan valittuja kansanedustajia. Mitä seuraavista tavoitteista heidän pitäisi ensisijassa ajaa eduskunnassa?

K16_1. Tulisiko kansanedustajien ensisijaisesti ajaa (vain yksi vaihtoehto)?

- 1 Oman puolueensa asettamia keskeisiä tavoitteita
- 2 Heidän itsensä asettamia keskeisiä tavoitteita
- 3 Oman alueensa ja vaalipiirinsä kannalta keskeisiä tavoitteita
- 4 Omien äänestäjensä tavoitteita

5 En osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

K16_2. Entä mitä tavoitteita kansanedustajien tulisi toissijaisesti ajaa (jokin kolmesta muusta vaihtoehdosta)?

- 1 Oman puolueensa asettamia keskeisiä tavoitteita
- 2 Heidän itsensä asettamia keskeisiä tavoitteita
- 3 Oman alueensa ja vaalipiirinsä kannalta keskeisiä tavoitteita
- 4 Omien äänestäjensä tavoitteita

5 En osaa sanoa (SPONTAANI)

Asenneväittämiä

K17. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä? Oletteko täysin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin samaa mieltä, jokseenkin eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä väittämien kanssa?

LUETTELE

- 1 Täysin samaa mieltä
- 2 Jokseenkin samaa mieltä
- 3 Jokseenkin eri mieltä
- 4 Täysin eri mieltä

5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

Sisäinen ja ulkoinen kansalaispätevyys

Äänestäminen on ainoa tapa, jolla tavalliset ihmiset voivat vaikuttaa politiikkaan

Kansanedustajamme etäännyvät nopeasti tavallisten ihmisten ongelmista

Poliitikot eivät välitä tavallisten ihmisten mielipiteistä

Minulla ei ole mitään sananvaltaa siihen, mitä maan hallitus ja eduskunta päättävät

Puolueiden kautta kansalaisten mielipiteet tulevat päätöksenteossa huomioon otetuiksi

Puolueet ovat kiinnostuneet pelkästään ihmisten äänistä, eivät heidän mielipiteistään

Joskus politiikka vaikuttaa niin monimutkaiselta, että en oikein ymmärrä, mitä on tekeillä

Äänestämällä voi vaikuttaa asioihin

On yhdentekevää, mitkä puolueet ovat hallituksessa, harjoitettava politiikka ei siitä muutu

Instituutiot / representaatio

Puolueiden väliset vaaliliitot ovat myönteinen asia, koska ne helpottavat pienpuolueiden eduskuntaan pääsyä

Hallituksen tulisi koostua vain porvarillisista puolueista tai vain vasemmistopuolueista

Puolueiden olisi pitänyt vaalikampanjansa yhteydessä selvästi ilmoittaa, minkä muiden puolueiden kanssa ne halusivat muodostaa hallituksen

Politiikan ns. henkilöityminen on etupäässä hyvä asia

CSES MODULE 3 (2006-2011):

Q1a. Mikä oli teille henkilökohtaisesti näiden eduskuntavaalien tärkein asiakysymys? (avokysymys)
[Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

Q1b. Mikä oli teille henkilökohtaisesti näiden eduskuntavaalien toiseksi tärkein asiakysymys?
(avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

001-996. [VASTAUSVAIHTOEHTOKOODIT]

997. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

998. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

999. MISSING

Q2a. Mikä mielestänne on Suomen vakavin yhteiskunnallinen ongelma tällä hetkellä?
(avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

Q2b. Mikä mielestänne on Suomen toiseksi vakavin yhteiskunnallinen ongelma tällä hetkellä?
(avokysymys) [Avovastaukset koodattava yksityiskohtaisesti vähintään 20 luokkaan]

001-996. [VASTAUSVAIHTOEHTOKOODIT]

997. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

998. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

999. MISSING

Q3a. Mikä puolue kykenee mielestänne parhaiten hoitamaan mainitsemaanne Suomen vakavinta yhteiskunnallista ongelmaa?

Q3b. Mikä puolue kykenee mielestänne parhaiten hoitamaan mainitsemaanne Suomen toiseksi vakavinta yhteiskunnallista ongelmaa?

01-95. [PUOLUEKOODIT]

96. EI MIKÄÄN NÄISTÄ PUOLUEISTA

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

99. MISSING

Q4 Joidenkin mielestä sillä on paljon merkitystä kenen hallussa on poliittinen päätösvalta. Toisten mielestä ei ole mitään merkitystä kenen hallussa valta on. Käyttämällä oheista asteikkoa, mitä mieltä itse olette?

1. EI OLE **MITÄÄN** MERKITYSTÄ KENELLÄ ON VALTA
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. ON **PALJON** MERKITYSTÄ KENELLÄ ON VALTA
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q5. Joidenkin mielestä sillä, ketä äänestää, ei ole mitään vaikutusta asioiden kulkuun. Toisten mielestä sillä, ketä äänestää, on paljon merkitystä. Käyttämällä oheista asteikkoa, mitä mieltä itse olette?

1. IHMISTEN ÄÄNESTYSPÄÄTÖKSILLÄ EI OLE **MITÄÄN** VAIKUTUSTA ASIOIDEN KULKUUN
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. IHMISTEN ÄÄNESTYSPÄÄTÖKSILLÄ VOI OLLA **PALJON** VAIKUTUSTA ASIOIDEN KULKUUN
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q6. Entä mitä mieltä olette viime vaalikaudella toimineen Matti Vanhasen hallituksen toiminnasta kokonaisuudessaan? Kuinka hyvin tai huonosti se mielestänne suoriutui tehtävistään viimeksi kuluneiden neljän vuoden aikana??

1. ERITTÄIN HYVIN
2. HYVIN
3. HUONOSTI
4. ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q7. Oletteko sitä mieltä, että jokin Suomen puolueista edustaa näkemyksiänne suhteellisen hyvin?

1. KYLLÄ
5. EI -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q8
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

JOS KYLLÄ.

Q7a Mikä puolue edustaa näkemyksiänne parhaiten?

01-95. [PUOLUEKOODIT]

- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q8. Riippumatta siitä mitä mieltä olette puolueista, edustaako mielestänne joku puoluejohtajista näkemyksiänne suhteellisen hyvin?

- 1. KYLLÄ
- 2. EI -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q9a

- 7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 9. MISSING

JOS KYLLÄ

Q8a. Kuka puoluejohtajista edustaa näkemyksiänne parhaiten?
01-95. [PUOLUEJOHTAJAKOODIT]

- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q9a-i. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista puolueista? Kun olen maininnut puolueen nimen, asettakaa tämä puolue asteikolle nollasta kymmeneen. Nolla tarkoittaa, ettette pidä puolueesta lainkaan ja kymmenen, että pidätte puolueesta erittäin paljon. Kertokaa myös mikäli ette ole kuullut puolueesta tai ette tiedä siitä tarpeeksi voidaksenne tehdä arvion.

Ensimmäinen puolue on Suomen Keskusta
 Toinen puolue on Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue
 Kolmas puolue on Kansallinen Kokoomus
 Neljäs puolue on Vasemmistoliitto
 Viides puolue on Vihreä liitto
 Kuudes puolue on Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue
 Seitsemäs puolue on Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit
 Kahdeksas puolue on Perussuomalaiset

- 00. EN PIDÄ LAINKAAN
- 01.
- 02.
- 03.
- 04.
- 05.
- 06.
- 07.
- 08.
- 09.
- 10. PIDÄN ERITTÄIN PALJON

- 96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEESTA
- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI TIEDÄ PUOLUEESTA TARPEEKSI / EI OSAA ARVIOIDA PUOLUETTA
- 99. MISSING

Q10a-i. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista puoluejohtajista? Kun olen maininnut puoluejohtajan nimen, asettakaa puoluejohtajat asteikolle nolasta kymmeneen. Nolla tarkoittaa, ettette pidä hänestä lainkaan ja kymmenen, että pidätte hänestä erittäin paljon. Kertokaa myös mikäli ette ole kuullut jostain puoluejohtajasta tai ette tiedä hänestä tarpeeksi voidaksenne tehdä arvion.

Ensimmäinen puoluejohtaja on Matti Vanhanen

Toinen puoluejohtaja on Eero Heinäluoma

Kolmas puoluejohtaja on Jyrki Katainen

Neljäs puoluejohtaja on Martti Korhonen

Viides puoluejohtaja on Tarja Cronberg

Kuudes puoluejohtaja on Stefan Wallin

Seitsemäs puoluejohtaja on Päivi Räsänen

Kahdeksas puoluejohtaja on Timo Soini

00. EN PIDÄ LAINKAAN

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. PIDÄN ERITTÄIN PALJON

96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEJOHTAJASTA

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI TIEDÄ PUOLUEJOHTAJASTA TARPEEKSI / EI OSAA ARVIOIDA PUOLUEJOHTAJAA

99. MISSING

Q11a-i. Poliitikassa puhutaan joskus vasemmistosta ja oikeistosta. Käytämme asteikkoa, jossa nolla tarkoittaa eniten vasemmalla ja kymmenen eniten oikealla. Mihin kohtaan sijoittaisitte Suomen Keskustan tällä asteikolla?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Suomen Sosialidemokraattisen Puolueen?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Kansallisen Kokoomuksen?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Vasemmistoliiton?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Vihreän liiton?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Ruotsalaisen kansanpuolueen?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Suomen Kristillisdemokraatit?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Perussuomalaiset?

00. VASEMMISTO

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. OIKEISTO

95. EI OLE KUULLUT VASEMMISTO-OIKEISTO -ASETTELUSTA (SPONTAANI)

96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEESTA (SPONTAANI)

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI OSAA SANOA MIHIN SIJOITTAISI (SPONTAANI)

99. MISSING

Q12a-i. Entä mihin sijoittaisitte Matti Vanhasen käyttäen samaa asteikkoa?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Eero Heinäluoman?

Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte Jyrki Kataisen?

00. VASEMMISTO

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. OIKEISTO

95. EI OLE KUULLUT VASEMMISTO-OIKEISTO -ASETTELUSTA (SPONTAANI)

96. EI OLE KUULLUT PUOLUEJOHTAJASTA (SPONTAANI)

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI OSAA SANOA MIHIN SIJOITTAISI (SPONTAANI)

99. MISSING

Q13. Käyttäen samaa asteikkoa, mihin sijoittaisitte itsenne?

00. VASEMMISTO

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. OIKEISTO

95. EI OLE KUULLUT VASEMMISTO-OIKEISTO -ASETTELUSTA (SPONTAANI)

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

98. EI OSAA SANOA MIHIN SIJOITTAISI (SPONTAANI)

99. MISSING

Q17. Millä tavoin puolueet erottuivat toisistaan vaalikampanjan aikana? Olivatko erot mielestänne suuria, pieniä, vai eikö eroja ollut lainkaan?

1. EROT OLIVAT SUURIA

2. EROT OLIVAT PIENIÄ

3. EROJA EI OLLUT LAINKAAN

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

9. MISSING

Q18. Kuinka tarkkaan seurasitte vaalikampanjointia?

1. TARKKAAN
2. MELKO TARKKAAN
3. EN KOVINKAAN TARKKAAN
4. EN JUURI LAINKAAN

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q19. Oletteko yleensä ottaen erittäin tyytyväinen, melko tyytyväinen, ette kovinkaan tyytyväinen vai ette lainkaan tyytyväinen demokratian toimivuuteen Suomessa?

1. ERITTÄIN TYYTYVÄINEN
2. MELKO TYYTYVÄINEN
3. EN KOVINKAAN TYYTYVÄINEN
4. EN LAINKAAN TYYTYVÄINEN

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q20. Katsotteko yleensä olevanne lähellä jotakin poliittista puoluetta?

1. KYLLÄ -> Siirtykää kysymykseen Q20b
5. EN

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q20a. Koetteko olevanne hieman lähempänä jotakin puoluetta muihin puolueisiin verrattuna?

1. KYLLÄ
5. EN -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) ->SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.
9. MISSING

Q20b. JOS KYLLÄ KYSYMYKSESSÄ Q20 TAI Q20a. Mitä puoluetta?

01-95. [PUOLUEKODIT]

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q21.
99. MISSING

Q20c. JOS PUOLUE MAINITTU KYSYMYKSESSÄ Q20b. Koetteko tämän puolueen erittäin läheiseksi, melko läheiseksi, vai ette kovinkaan läheiseksi?

1. ERITTÄIN LÄHEISEKSI
2. MELKO LÄHEISEKSI
3. EN KOVINKAAN LÄHEISEKSI

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Q21. Nykyisin monet jättävät syystä tai toisesta äänestämättä vaaleissa. Jätittekö te näissä eduskuntavaaleissa äänestämättä? Jos äänestitte, äänestittekö ennakkoon vai varsinaisena vaalipäivänä?

- 1 Jätin tällä kertaa äänestämättä
- 2 Kävin äänestämässä ennakkoon
- 3 Kävin äänestämässä varsinaisena vaalipäivänä

- 4 EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 9 MISSING

Q21a. JOS EI ÄÄNESTÄNYT: Jos olisitte käynyt äänestämässä, minkä puolueen (tai poliittisen ryhmittymän) ehdokasta olisitte äänestänyt?
(Useamman vaihtoehdon valinta mahdollinen)

01-95. [PUOLUEKOODIT] -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.

96. EN MITÄÄN NIISTÄ (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
99. MISSING

Q21c. JOS ÄÄNESTI: Minkä puolueen (tai poliittisen ryhmittymän) ehdokasta äänestitte nyt käydyissä eduskuntavaaleissa?

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]
96. ÄÄNESTI TYHJÄÄ

97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
99. MISSING

Q22a. Harkitsitteko minkään muun puolueen tai poliittisen ryhmittymän ehdokkaan äänestämistä?

1. KYLLÄ
5. EN -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23c

7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q22c.
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q22c.
9. MISSING

Q22b. Minkä puolueen tai puolueiden?

(monivalinta mahd.)

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q22c. Oliko jokin näihin vaaleihin osallistunut puolue (tai poliittinen ryhmittymä), jonka edustajaa ette missään nimessä äänestäisi?

- 1. KYLLÄ
- 5. EI -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.

- 7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
- 8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q23.
- 9. MISSING

Q22d. Mikä puolue tai poliittinen ryhmittymä tai mitkä puolueet/ryhmittymät?
(monivalinta mahd.)

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q23. Äänestittekö neljä vuotta sitten, vuoden 2003 eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1. KYLLÄ
- 5. EN -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a.
- 6. EI ÄÄNIOIKEUTTA EDELLISSÄ VAALEISSA
-> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a

- 7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a.
- 8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI) -> SIIRTYKÄÄ KYSYMYKSEEN Q24a.
- 9. MISSING

Q23b. Minkä puolueen tai ryhmittymän ehdokasta silloin äänestitte?

01-95. [PUOLUELISTA]

- 96. ÄÄNESTI TYHJÄÄ
- 97. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 98. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
- 99. MISSING

Q24a-e. Tietotasokysymykset

Vastaukset koodataan CSES:n ohjeen mukaisesti seuraavasti:

1. VASTAAJA VASTASI OIKEIN
5. VASTAAJA VASTASI VÄÄRIN
7. EI HALUA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
8. EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)
9. MISSING

Kuka seuraavista oli vuonna 2006 Suomen hallituksen ulkoministeri?

Paula Lehtomäki
Eero Heinäluoma
Erkki Tuomioja
Kimmo Sasi

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Mikä seuraavista on paikkamäärältään juuri valitun eduskunnan toiseksi suurin puolue?

SDP
Kokoomus
Vasemmistoliitto
Keskusta

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Mikä seuraavista maista on Yhdistyneiden kansakuntien turvallisuusneuvoston pysyväisjäsen?

Japani
Saksa
Venäjä
Kanada

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Ketkä saavat äänestää Suomen eduskuntavaaleissa?

Kaikki Suomessa laillisesti asuvat täysi-ikäiset ihmiset
Kaikki täysi-ikäiset Suomen kansalaiset
Vain ne täysi-ikäiset Suomen kansalaiset, jotka asuvat Suomessa
Vain Suomessa asuvat täysi-ikäiset veronmaksajat

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Mitä mielestänne tarkoitetaan parlamentarisella hallitusmuodolla?

Kansanvaltaa
Sitä että eduskunta nauttii hallituksen luottamusta
Sitä että hallitus nauttii eduskunnan luottamusta
Sitä että hallitus nauttii presidentin luottamusta

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Sitten vielä joitakin kysymyksiä äänestämisestä.

Kysymyksiä niille, jotka eivät äänestäneet (K18–K19). Muut siirtyvät kysymykseen K20.

K18. Seuraavaksi luetellaan erilaisia äänestämättä jättämisen syitä. Missä määrin seuraavat syyt vaikuttivat siihen, että ette käynyt äänestämässä näissä vaaleissa?

	Oli tärkeä syy	Vaikutti jonkin verran	Ei vaikuttanut	EOS
1 Minun oli vaikea löytää itselleni sopivaa puoluetta	1	2	3	4
2 Minun oli vaikea löytää itselleni sopivaa ehdokasta	1	2	3	4
3 En voinut käydä äänestämässä työesteen vuoksi	1	2	3	4
4 En voinut käydä äänestämässä matkan vuoksi	1	2	3	4
5 En voinut käydä äänestämässä sairauden tai korkean iän vuoksi	1	2	3	4
6 En muistanut käydä äänestämässä	1	2	3	4
7 En vain viitsinyt käydä äänestämässä	1	2	3	4
8 En katsonut äänestämisestä olevan itselleni mitään hyötyä	1	2	3	4
9 Mielestäni yksi ääni ei olisi merkinnyt mitään vaalin lopputulokseen	1	2	3	4
10 Tunnen epäluottamusta poliitikkoja ja yleensä politiikkaa kohtaan	1	2	3	4
11 Halusin protestoida politiikkaa ja poliitikkoja vastaan	1	2	3	4
12 Poliittikka ei kiinnosta minua ja äänestäminen on minulle yhdentekevää	1	2	3	4
13 Parhaana pitämilläni puolueilla tai ehdokkailla ei ollut mahdollisuuksia saada vaalipiirissäni edustajanpaikkaa	1	2	3	4

K19. Kuinka itsestään selvää äänestämättä jättäminen oli Teille?

- 1 Itsestään selvää
- 2 Äänestämässä käynti kävi kyllä mielessä, mutta ette harkinnut sitä vakavasti
- 3 Harkitsitte vakavasti myös äänestämässä käyntiä

En osaa sanoa (spontaani)

Kysymyksiä niille, jotka äänestivät (K20–K28)

K20. Kumpi asia oli loppujen lopuksi teille tärkeämpi äänestäessänne, puolue vai ehdokas?

- 1 Puolue tärkeämpi
- 2 Ehdokas tärkeämpi

3 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K21. Kuinka paljon seuraavassa lueteltavat syyt vaikuttivat puoluevalintaanne näissä eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1 Ratkaisevasti
- 2 Melko paljon
- 3 Jonkin verran
- 4 Ei lainkaan

5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

Puolueen edustajien esiintyminen television vaaliohjelmassa

Puolue ajaa sen ammattiryhmän etuja, johon itse kuulun
 Puolueella on hyvä puheenjohtaja
 Olen puolueen vakituinen kannattaja
 Puolueella on hyvä linja monissa viime aikoina esillä olleissa kysymyksissä
 Puolueella on päteviä henkilöitä hoitamaan maassa harjoitettavaa politiikkaa
 Puolueen toiminta edellisen vaalikauden aikana
 Puolueen onnistunut vaalikampanja
 Puolueella oli hyvät mahdollisuudet saada vaalipiiristä kansanedustaja tai kansanedustajia
 Kansalaisjärjestöjen ja etujärjestöjen suositukset
 Puolueen puheenjohtaja oli mielestäni sopivin pääministeriksi
 Halu vaikuttaa maan tulevaan hallituskoostumukseen

Ehdokasvalinta

K22. Missä määrin seuraavat seikat vaikuttivat siihen, ketä ehdokasta äänestitte?

1. Ratkaisevasti
2. Melko paljon
3. Jonkin verran
4. Ei lainkaan
5. EOS (SPONTAANI)

Ehdokkaan ikä
 Ehdokkaan sukupuoli
 Ehdokkaan koulutus
 Ehdokkaan asuinpaikka
 Ehdokkaan aiempi kokemus politiikasta
 Ehdokkaan luotettavuus
 Ehdokkaan kyky hoitaa asioita
 Ehdokkaan ulkoinen olemus ja tyyli
 Ehdokas oli entuudestaan tuttu julkisuudesta
 Ehdokkaan näkemykset ja kannanotot
 Ehdokkaan puoluekanta
 Ehdokkaan vaalikampanja ja vaalimainokset
 Ehdokkaan mahdollisuudet tulla valituksi
 Ystävien, tuttavien tai sukulaisten suositukset
 Kansalaisjärjestöjen ja etujärjestöjen suositukset
 Ehdokkaan vastaukset vaalikoneissa

K23. Äänestittekö omaa sukupuoltanne olevaa ehdokasta?

- 1 Kyllä
- 2 Ei
- 3 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K24. Minkä ikäistä ehdokasta äänestitte?

- 1 Äänestin suurin piirtein ikäistäni ehdokasta
- 2 Äänestin selvästi itseäni nuorempaa ehdokasta
- 3 Äänestin selvästi itseäni vanhempaa ehdokasta
- 4 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K25. Äänestittekö samaa ehdokasta edellisissä eduskuntavaaleissa?

- 1 Kyllä
- 2 Ei
- 3 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K26. Millä tavoin tunsitte ehdokkaan jota äänestitte?

- 1 Tunsin henkilökohtaisesti
- 2 Ystävä, tuttava tai sukulainen tunti ehdokkaan henkilökohtaisesti
- 3 Tunsin ehdokkaan toimintaa tiedotusvälineiden perusteella jo ennen vaalikampanjaa
- 4 Äänestin ehdokasta vaalikampanjan perusteella
- 5 Valitsin ehdokkaan tuntematta häntä tarkemmin
- 6 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K27. Kuinka helppoa tai vaikeata teille oli valita puolue, jonka ehdokasta äänestitte?

- 1 Erittäin helppoa
- 2 Melko helppoa
- 3 Melko vaikeata
- 4 Erittäin vaikeata
- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

K28. Kuinka helppoa tai vaikeata teille oli löytää sopiva ehdokas?

- 1 Erittäin helppoa
- 2 Melko helppoa
- 3 Melko vaikeata
- 4 Erittäin vaikeata
- 5 EI OSAA SANOA (SPONTAANI)

TAUSTAMUUTTUJAT

D1 Syntymävuotenne

D2 Sukupuoli

- 1 MIES
- 2 NAINEN

D3.1. Mikä on peruskoulutuksenne?

- 1 Peruskoulun luokat 1-6, kansakoulu
- 2 Peruskoulun luokat 7-9, 10, keskikoulu
- 3 Vielä koulussa (peruskoulu, lukio)
- 4 Ylioppilas, lukio
- 5 Ei muodollista peruskoulutusta

D3.2. Mikä on ammatillinen koulutuksenne?

- 1 Vielä koulussa (ammattikoulu-, -kurssi)

- 2 Lyhyt ammatillinen koulutus (ammattikoulu, -kurssi)
- 3 Opistoasteen ammatillinen tutkinto
- 4 Jonkin verran ammattikorkeakoulu- tai yliopisto-opintoja
- 5 Ammattikorkeakoulututkinto
- 6 Yliopistotutkinto
- 7 Ei muodollista ammatillista koulutusta

D4 Siviilisäätynne

- 1 NAIMATON
- 2 AVIOLIITTOSSA TAI MUUSSA REKISTERÖIDYSSÄ PARISUHTEESSA
- 3 AVOLIITTOSSA
- 4 ERONNUT TAI ASUMUSEROSSA
- 5 LESKI
- 6 MUU

D5.1 Kuulutteko jäsenenä johonkin ammattiliittoon ja jos kuulutte, kuinka aktiivisesti toimitte siinä?

- 1 EN KUULU
- 2 KUULUN MUTTA EN OSALLISTU TOIMINTAAN JUURI LAINKAAN
- 3 KUULUN JA OSALLISTUN TOIMINTAAN JONKIN VERRAN
- 4 KUULUN JA OSALLISTUN TOIMINTAAN PALJON
- 5 EI OSAA SANOA

D5.2 Mihin seuraavista ammatillisista keskusjärjestöistä ammattiliittonne kuuluu?

- 1 SAK
- 2 STTK
- 3 AKAVA
- 4 MTK
- 5 JOKIN MUU, MIKÄ? _____

D10 Mikä seuraavista vaihtoehtoista sopii Teidän elämäntilanteeseenne?

- 1 KOKOPÄIVÄTYÖSSÄ (VÄHINTÄÄN 32 TUNTIA VIIKOSSA)
- 2 PUOLIPÄIVÄTYÖSSÄ (15-31 TUNTIA VIIKOSSA)
- 3 OSA-AIKATYÖSSÄ (ALLE 15 TUNTIA VIIKOSSA)
- 4 AVUSTAVA PERHEENJÄSEN PERHEYRITYKSESSÄ
- 5 TYÖTÖN
- 6 OPISKELIJA TAI KOULULAINEN
- 7 ELÄKKEELLÄ IÄN TAI TYÖVUOSIEN PERUSTEELLA
- 8 ELÄKKEELLÄ MUUSTA SYYSTÄ
- 9 HOITAA KOTITALOUTTA
- 10 VARUSMIES- TAI SIVIILIPALVELUKSESSA
- 11 VANHEMPAINLOMALLA

D11 Mikä on Teidän nykyinen ammattinne? Jos vastaaja on eläkkeellä, viimeisin ammatti. *Ilmoittakaa ammatti mahdollisimman tarkasti.*

D12 Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvanne

- 1 MAATALOUSYRITTÄJÄ
- 2 MUU TYÖNANTAJA, MUU YKSITYISYRITTÄJÄ, AMMATINHARJOITTAJA
- 3 JOHTAVASSA ASEMASSA TOISEN PALVELUKSESSA
- 4 YLEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 5 ALEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 6 TYÖNTEKIJÄ
- 7 ELÄKELÄINEN
- 8 KOULULAINEN, OPISKELIJA
- 9 KOTIÄITI TAI -ISÄ
- 10 TYÖTÖN
- 11 MUU

D13 JOS TYÖSSÄ Millä sektoreilla Te työskentelette?

1. VALTIO, KUNTA TAI SEURAKUNTA
2. YKSITYINEN YRITYS
3. YRITYS, JOSSA VALTIOLLA TAI KUNNILLA ON MERKITTÄVÄ OMISTUSOIKEUS
4. NK. KOLMAS SEKTORI (ESIM. VOITTOA TUOTTAMATON JÄRJESTÖ)

D20 Kuinka suuret ovat keskimäärin kotitaloutenne yhteenlasketut vuositulot *veroja vähentämättä* (= bruttotulot) mukaan laskien veronalaiset sosiaalietuudet? _____ euroa vuodessa

D21-D22

Kuinka monta henkilöä kotitaloudessanne asuu? Yhteensä: ___ henkilöä

Heistä on 18-vuotiaita tai sitä vanhempia aikuisia: _ henkilöä

Heistä on 7-17-vuotiaita lapsia tai nuoria: _ henkilöä

Heistä on 6-vuotiaita tai sitä nuorempia lapsia: _ henkilöä

D24 Kuinka uskonnollisena pidätte itseänne?

- 1 EN LAINKAAN USKONNOLLISENA
- 2 EN KOVINKAAN USKONNOLLISENA
- 3 JONKIN VERRAN USKONNOLLISENA
- 4 HYVIN USKONNOLLISENA

D25 Kuulutteko johonkin kirkkoon tai uskonnolliseen yhteisöön?

1. EVANKELIS-LUTERILAISEEN KIRKKOON
2. ORTODOKSISEEN KIRKKOON
3. ROOMALAISKATOLISEEN KIRKKOON
4. MUUHUN KIRKKOON TAI USKONNOLLISEEN YHTEISÖÖN, MIHIN? _____
5. EN MIHINKÄÄN

D26_1 Äidinkieli

- 1 SUOMI
- 2 RUOTSI
- 3 JOKIN MUU KIELI, mikä? _____

D26_2 Mitä kieltä tai kieliä kotonanne yleensä käytetään?

- 1 VAIN SUOMEA
- 2 VAIN RUOTSIA
- 3 SUOMEA JA RUOTSIA
- 4 SUOMEA JA JOTAIN MUUTA KIELTÄ
- 5 RUOTSIA JA JOTAIN MUUTA KIELTÄ
- 6 VAIN MUUTA KIELIÄ KUIN SUOMEA TAI RUOTSIA

D27 Asuinpaikkakuntanne (avokysymys)

D30 Asutteko...

- 1 KAUPUNGIN KESKUSTASSA
- 2 ESIKAUPUNKIALUEELLA TAI KAUPUNKILÄHIÖSSÄ
- 3 KUNTAKESKUKSESSA TAI MUUSSA TAAJAMASSA
- 4 MAASEUDUN HAJA-ASUTUSALUEELLA?

D30b. Asutteko nykyisen vaalipiirinne alueella myös edellisten, vuoden 2003 eduskuntavaalien aikaan?

- 1 KYLLÄ
- 2 EN

D31 Vaalipiiri

D32 Oletteko ollut työttömänä viimeksi kuluneiden 12 kuukauden aikana?

- 1 EN

2 KYLLÄ, YHTEENSÄ N. ____ KUUKAUTTA

D33a. Asutteko?

1 VUOKRA-ASUNNOSSA

2 OMISTAMASSANNE TAI PERHEENNE OMISTAMASSA ASUNNOSSA

3 ASUMISOIKEUS- TAI OSAOMISTUSASUNNOSSA

4 JOKU MUU

REKRY1 Kansallisen eduskuntavaalitutkimuksen tulokset tulevat näkyvästi esillä julkisessa keskustelussa tutkimuksen valmistuttua. Osa suunnitelluista kysymyksistä ei mahtunut tämän haastattelun aikatauluun, mutta olisi hyvin tärkeää, että voisitte vielä omassa rauhassa vastata oheiseen lisäkysymyslomakkeeseen ja lähettää sitten lomakkeen oheisessa kirjekuoressa tutkimuslaitokseen. Sopiiko tämä Teille?

1 SUOSTUU

2 EI SUOSTU

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2269 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2007

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2269 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2007

FRÅGEFORMULÄR: FSD2269 RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2007

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2007

1. Seuraavassa on lueteltu eräitä asioita ja tavoitteita, jotka nousivat esille vaaleissa. Miten tärkeitä ne olivat teille?

	Erittäin tärkeä	Melko tärkeä	Ei juurikaan tärkeä	Ei lainkaan tärkeä	En osaa sanoa
◆ Köyhien aseman parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Rikollisuuden vähentäminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Julkisten palveluiden tason turvaaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Terveydenhoidon resurssien lisääminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Verotuksen keventäminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Alueellisten kehityserojen vähentäminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Yrittäjyyden edellytysten parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Perinteisten arvojen ja moraalikäsitteiden vahvistaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kuntien itsenäisen aseman turvaaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kuntaliitosten estäminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomen etujen ajaminen Euroopan unionissa	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Euroopan unionin yhdentymisen edistäminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Hallituksen puoluekoostumukseen vaikuttaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vaikuttaminen siihen, kuka valitaan pääministeriksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Etnisten vähemmistöjen aseman parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Seksuaalisten vähemmistöjen aseman parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Ilmastonmuutoksen hillitseminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Muu ympäristönsuojelu	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Työttömyyden vähentäminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vanhusten aseman parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Nuorten ja lapsiperheiden aseman parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Opiskelijoiden aseman parantaminen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

2. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
◆ Työntekijän irtisanomista voidaan helpottaa, jos työttömyysturvaa vastaavasti parannetaan	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomessa pitää siirtyä kaikille yli 16-vuotiaille maksettavaan verottomaan kansalaispalkkaan, joka korvaa sosiaaliturvan vähimmäisetuudet	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Tuloerojen kasvua pitää hillitä, sillä se johtaa ihmisten eriarvoistumiseen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Jos on valittava joko julkisten palveluiden parantamisen tai tuloverotuksen keventämisen välillä, on valittava veronkevennykset	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Muuttopainetta pääkaupunkiseudulle on hillittävä siirtämällä yhä enemmän valtionhallinnon työpaikkoja muualle Suomeen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomeen pitää rakentaa kuudes ydinvoimala nyt rakenteilla olevan viidennen ydinvoimalan lisäksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Valtiolla pitää olla nykyistä huomattavasti vahvempi rooli talouselämän ohjaamisessa	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

3. Kansalaiset voivat vaalikampanjan aikana saada äänestyspäätöksen kannalta tarpeellista tietoa monista eri lähteistä. Missä määrin te saitte oman äänestyspäätöksenne kannalta tärkeätä tietoa seuraavista lähteistä?

	Erittäin paljon	Melko paljon	Melko vähän	Ei lainkaan	En osaa sanoa
◆ Perheenjäseniltä, vanhemmilta tai sukulaisilta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Työ- tai opiskelukavereilta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Muilta ystäviltä ja tuttavilta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Sanomalehtien uutis- ja ajankohtaisjutuista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Sanomalehdissä olleista vaalimainoksista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Radion uutis- ja ajankohtaisohjelmista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Radion vaaliohjelmista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Radiossa esitetyistä vaalimainoksista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ TV:n uutis- ja ajankohtaisohjelmista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ TV:n vaaliohjelmista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ TV:ssä esitetyistä vaalimainoksista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Internetin vaalikoneista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Puolueiden tai ehdokkaiden internetsivuilta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Puolueiden tai ehdokkaiden vaalitulaisuuksista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kansalaisjärjestöjen ja etujärjestöjen äänestyskampanjoista	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kirjoista, aikakauslehdistä ja muista kirjallisista lähteistä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kännykkäviesteistä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

4. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista ehdokasvalintaanne koskevista väittämistä vuoden 2007 eduskuntavaaleissa. Jos ette äänestänyt siirtykää suoraan kysymykseen 5.

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Ei samaa eikä eri mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
◆ Minulle oli tärkeää äänestää omaa sukupuoltani olevaa ehdokasta, vaikka hänellä ei olisi mahdollisuutta tulla valituksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Minulle oli tärkeää, että oma sukupuolteni tulee päätöksenteossa hyvin edustetuksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Minulle oli tärkeää, että ehdokas pystyy ajamaan omalle sukupuolelleni tärkeitä asioita	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Minulle oli tärkeää, että ehdokas toimii aktiivisesti sukupuolten tasa-arvoa koskevilla kysymyksillä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Ehdokkaan sukupuoli ei ollut tärkeä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

5. Mitä mieltä te olette seuraavista Suomen kansainvälisiä suhteita koskevista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
◆ NATO-kysymyksestä olisi pitänyt keskustella vaalikampanjan yhteydessä niin, että äänestäjät olisivat saaneet tietää puolueiden kannan Suomen mahdollisesta Nato-jäsenyydestä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ NATO-kysymys on niin monimutkainen, että ratkaisun voi jättää maan poliittisen johdon tehtäväksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomen tulee liittyä NATO:n jäseneksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Euroopan unionin jäsenyys on Suomelle myönteinen asia	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomen osallistuminen kansainvälisiin kriisinhallintatehtäviin on myönteinen asia	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

6. Mitä mieltä te olette seuraavista väittämistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä	En osaa sanoa
◆ Kansanedustajat auttaisivat maatamme enemmän, mikäli he lopettaisivat puhumisen ja keskittyisivät toimimaan todellisten ongelmien ratkaisemiseksi	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kompromissien tekeminen politiikassa on todellisuudessa vain omien periaatteitten myymistä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomen asiat hoituisivat paremmin, jos politiikasta päättäisivät menestyksekkäät yritysjohtajat	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomen asiat hoituisivat paremmin, jos päätöksenteko annettaisiin riippumattomille asiantuntijoille poliitikkojen ja kansalaisten asemesta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Suomen hallitus toimisi paremmin, jos sitä hoidettaisiin kuin yritystä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Puolueet pitäisi kieltää politiikassa	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Poliittisiin kampanjoihin käytettävälle rahamäärälle tulisi asettaa yläraja	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Etujärjestöjen suorat kontaktit kansanedustajiin tulisi kieltää	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Maamme kaipaa vahvoja johtajia, jotka kykenevät palauttamaan yhteiskuntaan kurin ja järjestyksen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Pakolaisilla ja maahanmuuttajilla tulee olla sama oikeus sosiaaliturvaan kuin suomalaisilla, vaikka heillä ei olisikaan Suomen kansalaisuutta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Maahanmuutto muodostaa vakavan uhan kansalliselle omaleimaisuudellemme	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Eduskunnan tulee vastata kansalaisia sukupuolijakaumaltaan	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Eduskunnan tulee vastata kansalaisia ikäjakaumaltaan	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Eduskunnan tulee ennen kaikkea vastata kansalaismielipidettä keskeisissä kysymyksissä	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kansanedustajien tulee olla kansalaisia korkeammin koulutettuja	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kansanedustajien tulee ennen kaikkea olla omien alojensa asiantuntijoita	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kansanedustajien tulee säännöllisesti ottaa selvää äänestäjensä näkemyksistä ja ajaa niiden mukaista politiikkaa	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kansanedustajan pitää äänestää eduskunnassa oman mielipiteensä mukaisesti riippumatta ryhmänsä kannasta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kansanedustajien tulee toimia kansalaisten parhaaksi itsenäistä harkintaansa käyttäen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

7. Monet ihmiset kuuluvat erilaisiin ryhmiin, järjestöihin tai yhteisöihin. Merkitkää kunkin ryhmän kohdalla, kuulutteko ja osallistutteko niiden toimintaan. *Rengastakaa jokaiselta riviltä yksi vaihtoehto*

	Kuulun ja osallistun aktiivisesti	Kuulun, mutta en osallistu aktiivisesti	Kuuluin aiemmin, mutta en enää	En ole koskaan kuulunut	En osaa sanoa
◆ Urheiluseura, ulkoiluseura, mukaan luettuna metsästys- ja kalastusseurat	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kulttuuri- ja harrastejärjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kylä- tai kaupunginosayhdistys	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Ammattiliitto tai -yhdistys	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Yrittäjä-, työnantaja- tai muu liiketoimintaan liittyvä järjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Maataloustuottajajärjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Muu työhön tai ammattiin liittyvä järjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Humanitaariseen apuun, ihmisoikeuksiin, vähemmistöihin tai maahanmuuttajiin liittyvä järjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Ympäristöjärjestö, rauhanjärjestö tai eläinoikeuksiin liittyvä järjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Uskonnollinen järjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Poliittinen puolue	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Jokin muu vapaaehtoisjärjestö	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

8. Jos ei ajatella vaalien läheisiä aikoja, niin kuinka usein yleensä keskustellette politiikasta muiden ihmisten kanssa?

- 1 Päivittäin tai lähes päivittäin
 2 Usein
 3 Joskus
 4 Harvoin
 5 En koskaan
 6 En osaa sanoa

9. Jos Internetin avulla voisi äänestämällä ilmaista mielipiteensä eduskunnan käsittelyssä oleviin kysymyksiin viikoittain, miten te menettelisitte?

- 1 Äänestäisin Internetin välityksellä joka viikko tai lähes joka viikko
 2 Äänestäisin Internetin välityksellä vain kun eduskunta käsittelee minua kiinnostavia asioita
 3 Voisin äänestää Internetin välityksellä satunnaisesti, mutta asia ei ole minulle tärkeä
 4 En käyttäisi Internetiä, sillä kansanedustajien kuuluu päättää asioista
 5 En osaa sanoa

10. Millainen merkitys alla mainittujen puolueiden puheenjohtajilla on puolueensa kannatukselle?

	Lisää kannatusta paljon	Lisää kannatusta vähän	Ei merki- tystä	Vähentää kannatusta vähän	Vähentää kannatusta paljon
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Suomen Keskustalle on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Matti Vanhanen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne SDP:lle on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Eero Heinäluoma	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Kansalliselle Kokoomukselle on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Jyrki Katainen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Vasemmistoliitolle on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Martti Korhonen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Vihreälle liitolle on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Tarja Cronberg	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Ruotsalaiselle kansanpuolueelle on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Stefan Wallin	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Suomen Kristillis-demokraateille on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Päivi Räsänen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Millainen merkitys mielestänne Perussuomalaisille on sillä, että puolueen puheenjohtajana on nimenomaan Timo Soini	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

11. Kansalaisten valinnanvapaudesta käydään paljon keskustelua, esimerkiksi mahdollisuudesta valita julkisten ja yksityisten palveluiden välillä tai julkisen sektorin eri laitosten tarjoamien palveluiden välillä.

A:n mielestä valinnanvapautta julkisella sektorilla on huomattavasti lisättävä nykyiseen verrattuna.

B:n mielestä kansalaisten valinnanvapaus on jo nykyään riittävä.

Kumman kanssa olette lähinnä samaa mieltä?

- 1 Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä A:n kanssa
 2 Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä B:n kanssa

12. Ja vielä kysymys sosiaalimenoista:

A:n mielestä sosiaaliudistuksissa on maassamme menty jo liian pitkälle. Ihmisten pitäisi nykyistä enemmän selvittää ilman sosiaaliturvaa ja yhteiskunnan tukea.

B:n mielestä toteutetut sosiaaliudistukset on pidettävä vähintään nykyisellä tasolla.

Kumman kanssa olette lähinnä samaa mieltä?

- 1 Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä A:n kanssa
 2 Olen lähinnä samaa mieltä B:n kanssa

13. Jos ajattelette taaksepäin kahta viimeksi kulunutta vuotta, ovatko seuraavat asiat tällä hetkellä mielestänne paremmin, huonommin vai samanlaiset kuin aikaisemmin?

	Paremmiin	Huonommin	Samanlaiset	Ei osaa sanoa
◆ Suomen taloudellinen tilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Oma tai perheen taloudellinen tilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Suomen työllisyystilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Oma tai perheen työllisyystilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4

14. Entä jos ajattelette tilannetta kaksi vuotta tästä eteenpäin. Uskotteko, että seuraavat asiat ovat silloin paremmin, huonommin vai samanlaiset kuin nykyään?

	Paremmiin	Huonommin	Samanlaiset	Ei osaa sanoa
◆ Suomen taloudellinen tilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Oma tai perheen taloudellinen tilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Suomen työllisyystilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Oma tai perheen työllisyystilanne	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4

15. Kuinka tyytyväinen tai tyytymätön olette omaan taloudelliseen tilanteeseenne?

- 1 Erittäin tyytyväinen
2 Melko tyytyväinen
3 Melko tyytymätön
4 Erittäin tyytymätön
5 En osaa sanoa

16. Entä kuinka tyytyväinen tai tyytymätön olette elämäänne yleensä?

- 1 Erittäin tyytyväinen
2 Melko tyytyväinen
3 Melko tyytymätön
4 Erittäin tyytymätön
5 En osaa sanoa

Taloustutkimus Oy T-5036 12.3.2007 PAh/rrl

KIITOS VASTAUKSISTANNE !

taloustutkimus oy

Appendix B

Questionnaires in Swedish

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2269 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2007

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2269 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2007

FRÅGEFORMULÄR: FSD2269 RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2007

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2007
Besöksintervju

K1. Hur intresserad är Ni av politik?

- 1 Mycket intresserad
- 2 Ganska intresserad
- 3 Bara lite intresserad
- 4 Inte alls intresserad
- 5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K2. I vilken mån följde Ni med valet i olika medier? (KORTTI)

- 1 MYCKET
- 2 GANSKA MYCKET
- 3 BARA LITE
- 4 INTE ALLS
- 5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Valdebatter och partiledarintervjuer på TV
Nyheter och aktualitetsprogram på TV
TV-underhållning med politiker
Radioprogram
Nyheter, kolumner och insändare i tidningar
Nyhetsrapportering på Internet om valet (t.ex. tidningarnas Internetsidor)
Partiers och kandidaters hemsidor på Internet
Bloggar eller dagböcker på Internet
Kandidattest på Internet (s.k. valmaskiner)
TV-reklam
Tidningsreklam

K3. Hur stark anhängare av ett visst parti är Ni?

- 1 Mycket stark anhängare
- 2 Ganska stark anhängare
- 3 Inte särskilt stark anhängare
- 4 Inte alls stark anhängare
- 5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K4. Vilken av följande grupper identifierar Ni Er med i första hand? (ange endast ett alternativ)

- 1 Finländare i allmänhet
- 2 Finskspråkiga finländare
- 3 Svenskspråkiga finländare
- 4 Annan nationell eller språklig grupp
- 5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K5. Vilken samhällsklass anser Ni Er närmast tillhöra? (KORTTI)

- 1 Arbetarklass
- 2 Lägre medelklass

- 3 Medelklass
- 4 Övre medelklass
- 5 Överklass
- 6 Ingen klass

7 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K6. Kommer Ni ihåg hur många olika partiers riksdagskandidater Ni har röstat på under Ert liv?
(KORTTI)

- 1 Jag har alltid röstat på samma partis kandidater
- 2 Två eller tre olika partiers kandidater
- 3 Fyra eller fler olika partiers kandidater
- 4 Jag har aldrig röstat

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K7. Före årets riksdagsval har det på 2000-talet ordnats allmänna val fyra gånger. Vilka val röstade Ni i och i vilka val lät Ni bli att rösta?

- 1 Jag lät bli att rösta
- 2 Jag röstade
- 3 Jag hade inte rösträtt

Riksdagsvalet år 2003
Europaparlamentsvalet år 2004
Kommunalvalet år 2004
Presidentvalets första omgång år 2006
Presidentvalets andra omgång år 2006

Attitydpåståenden - Asenneväittämiä

K8. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden? Är Ni helt av samma åsikt, delvis av samma åsikt, delvis av annan åsikt eller helt av annan åsikt? (KORTTI)
LÄS

- 1 Helt av samma åsikt
- 2 Delvis av samma åsikt
- 3 Delvis av annan åsikt
- 4 Helt av annan åsikt

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Normativa uppfattningar om demokrati och valkretsens storlek

Det är en medborgerlig plikt att rösta.

Det är var och ens ensak, om man röstar eller inte.

Demokrati kan ha sina problem, men den är bättre än andra styrelseskick

Viktiga samhällsliga frågor borde allt oftare avgöras genom folkomröstningar

Politiska diskussioner för vanliga medborgare borde ordnas som stöd för den representativa demokratin

Jag skulle själv vilja delta i dylika medborgardiskussioner

I riksdagsval är det viktigt för mig att mitt parti har reella chanser att få minst ett mandat i valkretsen

I riksdagsval är det viktigt för mig att min kandidat har reella chanser att bli invald i riksdagen

Riksdagsledamöter från min valkrets har inte tillräckligt inflytande över regeringens och riksdagens beslut

Valförbund borde förbjudas i riksdagsval

Politiskt deltagande

K9. Nedan nämns olika former för samhällligt deltagande. Om något var väldigt viktigt för Er, vad skulle Ni kunna göra eller vad har Ni gjort under de senaste fyra åren? (KORTTI)

1. Jag har gjort detta under de senaste fyra åren
2. Jag har inte gjort detta, men skulle kunna göra
3. Jag skulle aldrig göra detta

4. Vet ej (SPONTAN)

Skriva insändare

Ta kontakt med politiska beslutsfattare i ett viktigt ärende

Skriva på ett upprop eller en namninsamling

Delta i ett politiskt partis verksamhet

Delta i annan föreningsverksamhet

Styra mina inköp så att jag genom mina konsumtionsvanor främjar naturskyddet

Styra mina inköp så att jag som konsument påverkar samhällliga eller politiska frågor

Delta i en bojkott, betalnings- eller köpstrejck

Delta i en fredlig demonstration

Uppvisa civil olydnad genom att delta i olaglig direkt aktion

Delta i sådana demonstrationer där det tidigare har förekommit våld

Bruka våld för att uppnå politiska mål

Internet

K10. Internet och e-post har skapat nya möjligheter att agera politiskt och skaffa information. Vad skulle Ni kunna göra eller vad har Ni gjort under de senaste fyra åren på Internet? (KORTTI)

1. Jag har gjort detta under de senaste fyra åren
2. Jag har inte gjort detta, men skulle kunna göra
3. Jag skulle aldrig göra detta

4. Vet ej (SPONTAN)

Skriva på ett upprop eller en namninsamling

Ta kontakt med politiska beslutsfattare i ett viktigt ärende

Delta i politiska diskussioner

Delta i övriga diskussioner

K11. Hur ofta använder Ni Internet?

- 1 Dagligen
- 2 Några gånger i veckan
- 3 Ungefär en gång i veckan
- 4 Sporadiskt
- 5 Aldrig

K12. Har Ni för närvarande eller har Ni någon gång haft kommunala förtroendeuppdrag (t.ex. som nämnd- eller fullmäktigeledamot)?

- 1 Jag har förtroendeuppdrag för närvarande
- 2 Jag har tidigare haft förtroendeuppdrag
- 3 Nej, aldrig
- 4 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K13. Är Ni medlem i något politiskt parti?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej, men har tidigare varit medlem
- 3 Nej, har aldrig varit medlem

K14. Har Du verkat i en kandidats stödgrupp inför riksdagsvalet?

- 1 Jag verkade för en kandidat i hennes/hans stödgrupp inför detta val
- 2 Inte i detta val, men jag har tidigare verkat för en kandidat i hennes/hans stödgrupp
- 3 Nej, jag har aldrig tillhört en kandidats stödgrupp

Representationsprinciper - Edustusperiaatteet

Om Ni tänker på de riksdagsledamöter som invalts från Er valkrets. Vilka av följande målsättningar borde de främst arbeta för?

K16_1. Borde riksdagsledamöterna främst arbeta för..? (endast ett alternativ) (KORTTI)

- 1 Centrala målsättningar som deras parti definierat.
- 2 Centrala målsättningar som de själva definierat.
- 3 Målsättningar som är centrala för den egna regionen och valkretsen
- 4 Sina väljares målsättningar
- 5 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K16_2. Och vilka målsättningar borde riksdagsledamöterna i andra hand arbeta för? (något av de tre andra alternativen) (KORTTI)

- 1 Centrala målsättningar som deras parti definierat.
- 2 Centrala målsättningar som de själva definierat.
- 3 Målsättningar som är centrala för den egna regionen och valkretsen
- 4 Sina väljares målsättningar
- 5 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

Attitydpåståenden - Asenneväittämiä

K17. Vad tycker Ni om följande påståenden? Är Ni helt av samma åsikt, delvis av samma åsikt, delvis av annan åsikt eller helt av annan åsikt? (KORTTI)

LÄS

- 1 Helt av samma åsikt
- 2 Delvis av samma åsikt
- 3 Delvis av annan åsikt
- 4 Helt av annan åsikt

5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Intern och extern medborgarkompetens

Att rösta är det enda sättet för vanliga medborgare att påverka politiskt beslutsfattande

Riksdagsledamöterna fjärras allt mer från vanliga människors problem

Politikerna bryr sig inte om vanliga människors åsikter

Jag kan inte påverka vad landets regering och riksdag beslutar om

Genom partierna beaktas medborgarnas åsikter i beslutsfattandet

Partierna är endast intresserade av människors röster, inte av deras åsikter

Ibland känns det som om politiken är så komplicerad att jag inte riktigt förstår vad som händer

Genom att rösta kan man påverka

Det spelar ingen roll vilka partier som sitter i regeringen, den förda politiken förändras ändå inte

Institutioner / representation

Valförbund mellan partier är bra eftersom det gör det lättare för små partier att bli representerade i riksdagen

Regeringen borde antingen bestå av bara borgerliga partier eller vänsterpartier

Partierna borde i valrörelsen klart ha deklarerat vilka partier de vill bilda regering med

Politikens så kallade personifiering är för det mesta en bra sak

CSES MODULE 3 (2006-2011):

Q1a. För Er personligen, vilken sakfråga var den viktigaste i årets riksdagsval? (öppen fråga) [De öppna svaren skall koda detaljerat i åtminstone 20 klasser]

Q1b. Och vilken sakfråga var den näst viktigaste för Er? (öppen fråga)[De öppna svaren skall koda detaljeras i åtminstone 20 klasser]

001-996. [SVARSALTERNATIVSKODER]

997. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
 998. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
 999. MISSING

Q2a. Vilket politiskt problem tycker Ni är viktigast i dagens Finland? (öppen fråga)[De öppna svaren skall kodalas i åtminstone 20 klasser]

Q2b. Och vilket politiskt problem tycker Ni är näst viktigast? (öppen fråga) [De öppna svaren skall kodalas i åtminstone 20 klasser]

001-996. [SVARSALTERNATIVKODER]

997. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
 998. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
 999. MISSING

Q3a. Om Ni tänker på det viktigaste politiska problemet i dagens Finland, vilket parti anser Ni är bäst på att lösa det?

Q3b. Om Ni tänker på det näst viktigaste politiska problemet i dagens Finland, vilket parti anser Ni är bäst på att försöka lösa det?

01-95. [PARTIKODER]
 96. INGET AV DESSA PARTIER

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
 99. MISSING

Q4 Somliga säger att det inte spelar någon roll vilka som sitter vid makten. Andra säger att det spelar en stor roll vilka som sitter vid makten. Om Ni använder skalan på kortet, vilken är Er uppfattning? (KORTTI)

1. DET SPELAR **INTE NÅGON ROLL** VILKA SOM SITTER VID MAKTEN
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. DET SPELAR **EN STOR ROLL** VILKA SOM SITTER VID MAKTEN

7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
 9. MISSING

Q5. Somliga säger att oavsett vem folk röstar på spelar det inte någon roll för vad som händer. Andra säger att vem folk röstar på kan spela en stor roll för vad som händer. Om Ni använder skalan på kortet, vilken är Er uppfattning? (KORTTI)

1. VEM FOLK RÖSTAR PÅ SPELAR **INTE NÅGON ROLL** VAD SOM HÄNDER
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
5. VEM FOLK RÖSTAR PÅ KAN SPELA **EN STOR ROLL** FÖR VAD SOM HÄNDER

7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

Q6. Om Ni tänker på den regering som Matti Vanhanen ledde under förra mandatperioden. Hur bra eller dåligt har regeringen som helhet lyckats med sitt uppdrag under de senaste fyra åren?

- 1. MYCKET BRA
- 2. BRA
- 3. DÅLIGT
- 4. MYCKET DÅLIGT

- 7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

Q7. Tycker Ni att något av partierna i Finland representerar Era åsikter någorlunda väl?

- 1. JA
- 5. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q8

- 7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

OM JA.

Q7a Vilket parti representerar Era åsikter bäst?

01-95. [PARTIKODERNA]

- 97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 99. MISSING

Q8. Oavsett vad Ni tycker om partierna, tycker Ni att någon av partiledarna representerar Era åsikter någorlunda väl i årets val?

- 1. JA
- 2. NEJ -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q9a

- 7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9. MISSING

OM JA

Q8a. Vilken partiledare representerar Era åsikter bäst?

01-95. [PARTILEDARKODER]

- 97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 99. MISSING

Q9a-i. Jag skulle vilja veta vad Ni anser om vart och ett av partierna. Kan Ni placera partierna på en skala mellan 0 och 10, där 0 betyder att Ni ogillar ett parti mycket, medan 10 betyder att Ni gillar ett parti mycket. Om jag kommer till ett parti Ni inte känner till, eller inte vet tillräckligt mycket om, säg bara till. (KORTTI)

Första partiet är Centern i Finland

Andra partiet är Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti

Tredje partiet är Samlingspartiet

Fjärde partiet är Vänsterförbundet

Femte partiet är Gröna förbundet

Sjätte partiet är Svenska folkpartiet i Finland

Sjunde partiet är Kristdemokraterna i Finland

Åttonde partiet är Sannfinländarna

00. OGILLAR STARKT

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. GILLAR STARKT

96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTIET

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. VET INTE TILLRÄCKLIGT MYCKET OM PARTIET / KAN INTE BEDÖMA PARTIET

99. MISSING

Q10a-i. Vi behåller samma skala, men nu vill jag veta hur mycket Ni gillar eller ogillar några av våra partiledare. Om jag nämner en partiledare Ni inte känner till eller inte vet tillräckligt om, säg bara till. (KORTTI)

Den första partiledaren är Matti Vanhanen

Den andra partiledaren är Eero Heinäluoma

Den tredje partiledaren är Jyrki Katainen

Den fjärde partiledaren är Martti Korhonen

Den femte partiledaren är Tarja Cronberg

Den sjätte partiledaren är Stefan Wallin

Den sjunde partiledaren är Päivi Räsänen

Den åttonde partiledaren är Timo Soini

00. OGILLAR STARKT

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. GILLAR STARKT

96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTILEDAREN

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. VET INTE TILLRÄCKLIGT MYCKET OM PARTILEDAREN / KAN INTE BEDÖMA
PARTILEDAREN
99. MISSING

Q11a-i. I politiken talar man ibland om vänster och höger. Var skulle Ni placera partierna på en skala mellan 0 och 10 där 0 står för vänster och 10 står för höger? (KORTTI)

Var skulle Ni placera Centern i Finland?
Var skulle Ni placera Finlands Socialdemokratiska parti?
Var skulle Ni placera Samlingspartiet?
Var skulle Ni placera Vänsterförbundet?
Var skulle Ni placera Gröna Förbundet?
Var skulle Ni placera Svenska folkpartiet i Finland?
Var skulle Ni placera Kristdemokraterna i Finland?
Var skulle Ni placera Sannfinländarna?

00. VÄNSTER

01.
02.
03.
04.
05.
06.
07.
08.
09.

10. HÖGER

95. KÄNNER INTE TILL VÄNSTER-HÖGER
96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTIET
97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
98. KAN EJ SÄGA VART PLACERA (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q12a-i. Var skulle Ni placera Matti Vanhanen på samma skala? (KORTTI)

Var skulle Ni placera Eero Heinäluoma på samma skala?
Var skulle Ni placera Jyrki Katainen på samma skala?

00. VÄNSTER

01.
02.
03.
04.
05.
06.
07.
08.
09.

10. HÖGER

95. KÄNNER INTE TILL VÄNSTER-HÖGER (SPONTAN)
96. HAR INTE HÖRT TALAS OM PARTILEDAREN (SPONTAN)
97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)
98. KAN EJ SÄGA VART PLACERA (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q13. Var skulle Ni placera Er själv på samma skala? (KORT)

00. VÄNSTER

01.

02.

03.

04.

05.

06.

07.

08.

09.

10. HÖGER

95. VET INTE VAD VÄNSTER-HÖGER ÄR FÖR NÅGOT (SPONTAN)

97. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. KAN EJ SÄGA VART PLACERA (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q17. Om Ni tänker på årets valrörelse, tycker Ni det var stora skillnader mellan partierna, små skillnader eller inga skillnader alls?

1. STORA SKILLNADER

2. SMÅ SKILLNADER

3. INGA SKILLNADER ALLS

7. VILL INTE UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q18. I vilken utsträckning följde Ni vad som hände i årets valrörelse?

1. MYCKET STOR UTSTRÄCKNING

2. GANSKA STOR UTSTRÄCKNING

3. INTE SÄRSKILT MYCKET

4. INTE ALLS

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q19. På det hela taget, hur nöjd eller missnöjd är Ni med hur demokratin fungerar i Finland?

1. MYCKET NÖJD

2. GANSKA NÖJD

3. INTE SÄRSKILT NÖJD

4. INTE ALLS NÖJD

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

9. MISSING

Q20. Uppfattar Ni Er vanligtvis som stående nära något speciellt parti?

1. JA --> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q20b
5. NEJ
7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
9. MISSING

Q20a. Är det något parti som Ni känner Er stå lite närmare än de andra partierna?

1. JA
5. NEJ --> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21
7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
9. MISSING

Q20b. OM JA VID FRÅGA Q20 ELLER Q20a. Vilket parti?

01-95. [PARTIKODER]

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21
98. VET EJ (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q21
99. MISSING

Q20c. OM PARTI NÄMNTS VID FRÅGA Q20b. Känner Ni Er stå mycket nära detta parti, ganska nära eller inte särskilt nära?

1. MYCKET NÄRA
2. GANSKA NÄRA
3. INTE SÄRSKILT NÄRA
7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
9. MISSING

Q21. Nuförtiden är det många som av någon anledning inte röstar i val. Lät Ni bli att rösta i riksdagsvalet? (KORTTI)

- 1 JAG RÖSTADE INTE DENNA GÅNG
- 2 JAG FÖRHANDSRÖSTADE
- 3 JAG RÖSTADE PÅ VALDAGEN
- 4 VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
- 5 VET EJ (SPONTAN)
- 9 MISSING

Q21a. OM NI INTE RÖSTADE: Om Ni hade gått och rösta, vilket partis (eller politisk grupperings) kandidat hade Ni röstat på? (Flera svarsalternativ möjliga)

01-95. [PARTIKODER] à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23.

- 96. INGEN AV DEM (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23
- 97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23
- 98. VET EJ (SPONTAN) -> GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23
- 99. MISSING

Q21c. OM NI RÖSTADE: Vilket partis (eller politisk grupperings) kandidat röstade ni på i riksdagsvalet?

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

96. RÖSTADE BLANKT

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q22a. Övervägde Ni att rösta på något annat partis eller politisk grupperings kandidat? (KORT)

1. JA

5. NEJ à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23c

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q22c.

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q22c.

9. MISSING

Q22b. Vilket eller vilka partier var det? (flera svarsalternativ möjliga)

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q22c. Fanns det något parti i riksdagsvalet (eller politisk gruppering), vars representant Ni aldrig skulle rösta på?

1. JA

5. NEJ à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23.

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23.

8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q23.

9. MISSING

Q22d. Vilket parti eller politisk gruppering eller vilka partier/grupperingar var det? (flera svarsalternativ möjliga)

01-95. [PARTILISTA]

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)

98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)

99. MISSING

Q23. Röstade Ni för fyra år sedan, i riksdagsvalet 2003? (KORT)

1. JA
5. NEJ à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a.
6. HADE INTE RÖSTRÄTT I FÖREGÅENDE VAL. à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a.

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a.
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN) à GÅ TILL FRÅGA Q24a.
9. MISSING

Q23b. Vilket partis eller grupperings kandidat röstade Ni på då?

- 01-95. [PARTILISTA]
96. RÖSTADE BLANKT

97. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
98. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
99. MISSING

Q24a-e. Kunskapsnivåfrågor

Svaren kodas enligt CSES direktiv enligt följande:

1. SVARAREN/RESPONDENTEN SVARADE RÄTT
5. SVARAREN/RESPONDENTEN SVARADE FEL

7. VILL EJ UPPGE (SPONTAN)
8. VET EJ (SPONTAN)
9. MISSING

Vem av följande personer var under 2006 utrikesminister i Finlands regering?

Paula Lehtomäki
Eero Heinäluoma
Erkki Tuomioja
Kimmo Sasi

VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Vilket av följande partier är näst störst i den nya riksdagen, mätt i antalet riksdagsmandat?

SDP
Samlingspartiet
Vänsterförbundet
Centern

VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Vilket av följande länder är en permanent medlem av Förenta Nationernas (FN) säkerhetsråd?

Japan
Tyskland
Ryssland
Kanada

VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Vem får rösta i riksdagsval i Finland?

Alla människor som är i myndig ålder och lagligt bosatta i Finland.

Alla finländska medborgare i myndig ålder.

Endast de finländska medborgare i myndig ålder som är bosatta i Finland

Endast de skattebetalare som är i myndig i ålder och bosatta i Finland.

VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Vad menar man enligt Er uppfattning med ett parlamentariskt statskick?

Folkvälde

Att riksdagen har regeringens förtroende

Att regeringen har riksdagens förtroende

Att regeringen har presidentens förtroende

VET EJ (SPONTAN)

Ännu några frågor angående röstande.

Frågor för dem, som lät bli att rösta (K18-K19). Övriga går till fråga K20.

K18. Nedan uppräknas ett antal orsaker till att låta bli att rösta. I vilken grad inverkade följande orsaker på Ert beslut att inte rösta i detta val?

	Viktig orsak	Inverkade i viss mån	Inverkade inte	Vet ej
1 Det var svårt för mig att hitta ett lämpligt parti	1	2	3	4
2 Det var svårt för mig att hitta en lämplig kandidat	1	2	3	4
3 Jag kunde inte rösta pga arbetsförhinder	1	2	3	4
4 Jag kunde inte rösta pga resa	1	2	3	4
5 Jag kunde inte rösta pga sjukdom eller hög ålder	1	2	3	4
6 Jag kom inte ihåg att rösta	1	2	3	4
7 Jag hade ingen lust att rösta	1	2	3	4
8 Röstningen är inte till någon nytta för mig	1	2	3	4
9 Jag anser att en röst inte påverkar valresultatet	1	2	3	4
10 Jag har inget förtroende för politiker och politik	1	2	3	4
11 Jag ville protestera mot politiken och politikerna	1	2	3	4
12 Politik intresserar mig inte och för mig är det likgiltigt att rösta	1	2	3	4
13 I min valkrets hade det parti eller den kandidat som jag ansåg vara bäst ingen chans att få ett mandat	1	2	3	4

K19. Hur självklart var det för Er att inte rösta?

1 Helt självklart

2 Tanken att gå och rösta föll mig in, men jag övervägde inte det på allvar

3 Jag övervägde på allvar att gå och rösta

Vet ej (spontan)

Frågor för de som röstade (K20–K28)

K20. Vad var egentligen viktigast för Er när Ni röstade, parti eller kandidat?

- 1 Partiet viktigare
- 2 Kandidaten viktigare

3 VET EJ (SPONTAN)

K21. Vilken betydelse hade följande uppräknade skäl för Ert partival i detta riksdagsval?

- 1 Avgörande betydelse
- 2 Stor betydelse
- 3 Viss betydelse
- 4 Ingen betydelse

5 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

Partiernas uppträdande i TVs valprogram

Partiet arbetar för den yrkesgrupp som jag själv tillhör

Partiet har en bra partiledare

Jag är sedan länge anhängare av partiet

Partiet har en bra linje i många av de frågor som varit aktuella

Partiet har kompetenta personer som kan sköta den politik som bedrivs i landet

Partiets agerande under den senaste mandatperioden

Partiets lyckade valkampanj

Partiet hade goda chanser att få mandat i min valkrets

Medborgarorganisationers och intresseorganisationers rekommendationer

Partiets ledare var enligt min mening en lämplig statsminister

Jag ville påverka regeringens sammansättning

Kandidatval

K22. I vilken utsträckning inverkade följande saker på vilken kandidat Ni röstade på?

1. Avgörande
2. Ganska mycket
3. Till viss del
4. Inte alls

5. Vet ej (SPONTAN)

Kandidatens ålder

Kandidatens kön

Kandidatens utbildning

Kandidatens bostadsort

Kandidatens tidigare erfarenhet av politik

Kandidatens trovärdighet

Kandidatens förmåga att driva frågor

Kandidatens utseende och stil

Kandidaten var känd via offentligheten sedan tidigare

Kandidatens åsikter och ställningstaganden

Partiet som kandidaten representerar (stödjer)

Kandidatens valkampanj och valreklam

Kandidatens möjligheter att bli invald

Rekommendationer från vänner, bekanta eller släktingar

Rekommendationer från medborgar- och intresseorganisationer
Kandidatens svar i kandidattester (s.k. valmaskiner)

K23. Röstade Ni på en kandidat av samma kön som Ni själv?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej
- 3 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K24. Hur gammal är den kandidat som Ni röstade på?

- 1 Ungefär lika gammal som jag själv
- 2 Betydligt yngre än jag själv
- 3 Betydligt äldre än jag själv
- 4 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K25. Har Ni tidigare röstat på samma kandidat i ett riksdagsval?

- 1 Ja
- 2 Nej
- 3 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K26. Känner Ni den kandidat som Ni röstade på?

- 1 Känner kandidaten personligen
- 2 Vänner, bekanta eller släktingar känner kandidaten personligen
- 3 Känner till kandidatens verksamhet genom media
- 4 Röstade på en kandidat på basis av valreklam
- 5 Valde en kandidat utan att känna till honom/henne närmare
- 6 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K27. Hur lätt eller svårt var det för Er att välja det parti som Ni skulle rösta på?

- 1 Mycket lätt
- 2 Lätt
- 3 Svårt
- 4 Mycket svårt
- 5 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

K28. Hur lätt eller svårt var det för Er att hitta en lämplig kandidat?

- 1 Mycket lätt
- 2 Lätt
- 3 Svårt
- 4 Mycket svårt

5 Vet ej (SPONTAN)

BAKGRUNDSVARIABLER

D1 Ert födelseår

D2 Kön

1 MAN

2 KVINNA

D3.1. Vilken är Er grundskoleutbildning? (KORTTI)

1 Grundskola, klasserna 1-6, folkskola

2 Grundskola, klasserna 7-9, mellanskola

3 Fortfarande i skolan (grundskola, gymnasium)

4 Student, gymnasium

5 Ingen formell grundutbildning

D3.2. Vilken är Er yrkesutbildningsnivå?

1 Fortfarande i skolan (yrkesskola, yrkeskurs)

2 Kort yrkesutbildning (yrkesskola, yrkeskurs)

3 Yrkesutbildning på institutnivå

4 Oavslutade yrkeshögskole- eller universitetsstudier

5 Yrkeshögskoleexamen

6 Universitetsexamen

7 Ingen formell yrkesutbildning

D4 Civilstånd

1 OGIFT

2 GIFT ELLER I ANNAT REGISTRERAT PARTNERSKAP

3 SAMBO

4 SKILD ELLER I HEMSKILLNAD

5 ÄNKA/ÄNKLING

6 ANNAT

D5.1 Är Ni medlem av något fackförbund? Om ja, hur aktiv är Ni i dess verksamhet? (KORTTI)

1 NEJ

2 JA, MEN DELTAR NÄSTAN INTE ALLS I DESS VERKSAMHET

3 JA, OCH DELTAR LITE I DESS VERKSAMHET

4 JA, OCH DELTAR AKTIVT I DESS VERKSAMHET

5 VET EJ

D5.2 Till vilken av följande fackliga centralorganisatiner hör Ert fackförbund?

1 FFC

2 FTFC

3 AKAVA

4 SLC ELLER MTK

5 ANNAN, VILKEN? _____

D10 Vilket av följande stämmer in på Er livssituation? (KORTTI)

1 HELTIDSARBETE (ÖVER 32 TIMMAR PER VECKA)

2 HALVTIDSARBETE (15-31 TIMMAR PER VECKA)

3 DELTIDSARBETE (UNDER 15 TIMMAR PER VECKA)

4 ASSISTERANDE FAMILJEMEDLEM I ETT FAMILJEFÖRETAG

5 ARBETSLÖS

6 STUDERANDE ELLER SKOLELEV

7 PENSIONERAD PÅ GRUND AV ÅLDER ELLER TJÄNSTEÅR

- 8 PENSIONERAD AV ANNAN ORSAK
- 9 SKÖTER HUSHÅLLET
- 10 I MILITÄR- ELLER CIVILTJÄNSTGÖRING
- 11 FÖRÄLDRALEDIG

D11 Vilket är Ert nuvarande yrke? Om respondenten är pensionerad, senaste yrke. Uppge yrke så specifikt som möjligt.

- D12 Till vilken yrkesgrupp hör Ni? (KORTTI)
- 1 LANTBRUKS-, JORDBRUKSFÖRETAGARE
 - 2 EGENFÖRETAGARE, ARBETSGIVARE, YRKESUTÖVARE
 - 3 LEDANDE STÄLLNING I ANNANS TJÄNST
 - 4 HÖGRE TJÄNSTEMAN
 - 5 LÄGRE TJÄNSTEMAN
 - 6 ARBETSTAGARE
 - 7 PENSIONÄR
 - 8 SKOLELEV, STUDERANDE
 - 9 HEMMAMAMMA, HEMMAPAPPA
 - 10 ARBETSLÖS
 - 11 ANNAN YRKESGRUPP

D13 OM ARBETE Inom vilken sektor jobbar Ni?

- 1. STAT, KOMMUN ELLER FÖRSAMLING
- 2. PRIVATFÖRETAG
- 3. FÖRETAG SOM HELT ELLER DELVIS ÄGS AV STAT ELLER KOMMUN
- 4. DEN S.K. TREDJE SEKTORN (T.EX. ICKE-VINSTBRINGANDE ORGANISATION)

D20 Hur stora är Ert hushålls sammanlagda bruttoinkomster per år? (Inkomster före skatt, inklusive skattepliktiga socialförmåner)? _____ euro per år.

[Avokysymyksenä tai tiheällä luokituksella, esim. 15 luokkaa.

D21-D22

Hur många personer bor i Ert hushåll? Totalt: __personer

Hur många av dessa är 18 år eller äldre vuxna? Totalt: __personer

Hur många av dessa är barn eller ungdomar i åldern 7-17 år? Totalt: ____personer

Hur många av dessa är barn i åldern 0-6 år? Totalt: ____personer.

D24 Hur religiös är Ni?

- 1 INTE ALLS RELIGIÖS
- 2 INTE SPECIELLT RELIGIÖS
- 3 GANSKA RELIGIÖS
- 4 MYCKET RELIGIÖS

D25 Är Ni medlem av någon kyrka eller något religiöst samfund?

- 1. EVANGELISK-LUTHERSKA KYRKAN
- 2. ORTODOXA KYRKAN
- 3. ROMERSK-KATOLSKA KYRKAN
- 4. ANNAT RELIGIÖST SAMFUND, VILKET? _____
- 5. JAG HÖR INTE TILL KYRKAN ELLER NÅGOT ANNAT RELIGIÖST SAMFUND

D26_1 Modermsål

- 1 FINSKA
- 2 SVENSKA
- 3 ANNAT SPRÅK, VILKET? _____

D26_2 Vilket språk används främst i Ert hem?

- 1 BARA FINSKA
- 2 BARA SVENSKA

- 3 FINSKA OCH SVENSKA
- 4 FINSKA OCH NÅGOT ANNAT SPRÅK
- 5 SVENSKA OCH NÅGOT ANNAT SPRÅK
- 6 BARA ANDRA SPRÅK ÄN FINSKA OCH SVENSKA

D27 Er bostadskommun? (öppen fråga)

D30 Bor ni i...

- 1 I CENTRUM AV EN STAD
- 2 I EN FÖRSTAD ELLER FÖRORT
- 3 I KOMMUNCENTRUM ELLER ANNAN TÄTORT
- 4 PÅ GLESBYGDEN?

D30b. Bodde Ni vid senaste riksdagsval år 2003 inom samma valkrets som nu?

- 1 JA
- 2 NEJ

D31 Valkrets [SLUTLEDS FRÅN KOMMUNVARIABELN]

D32 Har Ni varit arbetslös under de senaste 12 månaderna?

- 1 Nej
- 2 JA, TILLSAMMANS UNGEFÄR ____ MÅNADER

D33a. Bor Ni...?

- 1 I HYRESBOSTAD
- 2 I BOSTAD SOM NI SJÄLV ELLER ER FAMILJ ÄGER
- 3 I BOSTADSRÄTT ELLER DELÄGOBOSTAD
- 4 I ANNAN FORM

REKRY1 Resultaten från den nationella riksdagsvalsundersökningen kommer att diskuteras livligt i offentligheten efter att undersökningen blivit klar. En del av de planerade frågorna hann vi inte få med i denna besöksintervju. Det vore därför mycket viktigt att alla som deltagit i intervjun också i lugn och ro besvarar en enkät med tilläggsfrågor och sedan skickar in den till forskningscentralen i det bifogade kuvertet. Passar detta Er?

- 1 JA
- 2 NEJ

KYSELYLOMAKE: FSD2269 EDUSKUNTAVAALITUTKIMUS 2007

QUESTIONNAIRE: FSD2269 FINNISH NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY 2007

FRÅGEFORMULÄR: FSD2269 RIKSDAGSVALUNDERSÖKNING 2007

Tämä kyselylomake on osa yllä mainittua Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa.

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

This questionnaire forms a part of the above mentioned dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive.

If the questionnaire is used or referred to in any way, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Detta frågeformulär utgör en del av den ovannämnda datamängden, arkiverad på Finlands samhällsvetenskapliga dataarkiv.

Om frågeformuläret är utnyttjat eller refererat till måste källan anges i form av bibliografisk referens.

Mer information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

Riksdagsvalundersökning 2007 drop-off

1. Nedan nämns ett antal sakfrågor som diskuterades i samband med valet. Hur viktigt var det för Er att..?

	Mycket viktigt	Ganska viktigt	Inte speciellt viktigt	Inte alls viktigt	Vet ej
◆ Förbättra de fattigas situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Minska kriminaliteten	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Trygga nivån på offentlig service	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Öka hälsovårdens resurser	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Lätta skattetrycket	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Minska utvecklingskillnader mellan olika regioner	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Förbättra förutsättningarna för företagsamhet	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förstärka traditionella värden och moraluppfattningar	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Trygga kommunernas självständighet	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förhindra kommunsammanslagningar	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Bevaka Finlands intressen i EU	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förstärka integrationen inom EU	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Påverka regeringens partisammansättning	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Påverka vem som blir statsminister	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förbättra etniska minoriteters situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förbättra sexuella minoriteters situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Dämpa klimatförändringen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Annan form av miljövard	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Minska arbetslösheten	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förbättra de äldres situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förbättra de ungas och barnfamiljernas situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Förbättra de studerandes situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

2. Vad tycker Ni om följande påståenden?

	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
◆ Det får göras lättare att säga upp arbetstagare, om utkomstskyddet för arbetslösa förbättras i motsvarande grad	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Finland bör införa en skattefri medborgarlön som utbetalas till alla över 16 år och ersätter socialskyddets minimiförmåner	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Ökningen av inkomstklyftorna bör dämpas, eftersom den leder till ojämlikhet bland människorna	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Om alternativen är förbättring av den offentliga servicen eller inkomstskattelättnader, bör man välja skattelättnader	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Inflyttningstrycket i huvudstadsregionen bör dämpas genom att flytta alltfler jobb inom statsförvaltningen till övriga Finland	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Finland skall bygga ett sjätte kärnkraftverk utöver det femte, som nu är under uppbyggnad	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

◆ Staten bör i framtiden ha en märkbart starkare roll i att styra näringslivet än nu	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

3. Under valkampanjen kan medborgarna hämta för röstningsbeslutet nödvändig information från olika informationskällor. I vilken utsträckning fick Ni för Ert röstningsbeslut viktig information från följande källor?

	Väldigt mycket	Ganska mycket	Ganska lite	Inte alls	Vet ej
◆ Familjemedlemmar, föräldrar eller släktingar	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Arbets- eller studiekamrater	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Andra vänner eller bekanta	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Nyheter och aktualitetsreportage i tidningar	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Valreklam i tidningar	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Nyhets- och aktualitetsprogram på radio	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Valprogram på radio	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Valreklam på radio	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Nyheter och aktualitetsprogram på TV	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Valprogram på TV	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Valreklam på TV	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kandidattest på Internet (s.k. valmaskiner)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Partiernas eller kandidaternas Internetsidor	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Partiernas eller kandidaternas valtillställningar	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Medborgar- och intresseorganisationers valkampanjer	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Böcker, tidskrifter och andra skriftliga källor	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ SMS (textmeddelanden)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

4. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden om Ert kandidatval i årets riksdagsval? Om Ni inte röstade gå till fråga 5.

	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Varken av samma eller annan åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
◆ Det var viktigt för mig att rösta på en kandidat av samma kön som jag själv, oavsett om han/hon hade reella chanser att bli vald eller inte	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Det var viktigt för mig att mitt eget kön representeras väl i beslutsfattandet	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Det var viktigt för mig att kandidaten kan arbeta för de frågor som anses vara viktiga för mitt eget kön	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Det var viktigt för mig att kandidaten agerar aktivt i frågor som berör jämlikhet mellan könen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
◆ Kandidatens kön var inte viktigt	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

5. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden som har att göra med Finlands internationella relationer?

	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
◆ Natofrågan borde ha diskuterats i valrörelsen så att väljarna hade fått veta vad partierna anser om Finlands eventuella medlemskap	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Natofrågan är så komplicerad att landets politiska ledning kan ta hand om avgörandet	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Finland bör bli NATO-medlem	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Medlemskap i Europeiska Unionen är en positiv sak för Finland	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Finländskt deltagande i internationella krishanteringsuppdrag är en positiv sak	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

6. Vad anser Ni om följande påståenden?

	Helt av samma åsikt	Delvis av samma åsikt	Delvis av annan åsikt	Helt av annan åsikt	Vet ej
◆ Det skulle vara bättre för vårt land om riksdagsledamöterna slutade att enbart prata och koncentrerade sig på att arbeta för att lösa de verkliga problemen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Att kompromissa i politiken innebär egentligen bara att man ger avkall på de egna principerna	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Landet skulle må bättre om de politiska besluten sköttes av framgångsrika företagsledare	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Landet skulle må bättre om det politiska beslutsfattandet låg i händerna på experter i stället för politiker och medborgare	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Finlands regering skulle fungera bättre om den sköttes som ett företag	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Man borde förbjuda partierna i politiken	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Det borde finnas en övre gräns för hur mycket pengar som får användas för politiska kampanjer	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Intresseorganisationers direkta kontakter med riksdagsledamöter borde förbjudas	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vårt land behöver starka ledare som kan återställa disciplin och ordning i samhället	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Flyktingar och invandrare borde ha samma rätt till socialskydd som finländare, trots att de inte är finländska medborgare	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Invandring leder till ett allvarligt hot mot vår nationella identitet	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagens könsfördelning bör motsvara könsfördelningen i samhället	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagens åldersfördelning bör motsvara samhällets åldersfördelning	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagen bör framförallt avspegla medborgaropinionen i centrala frågor	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagsledamöterna bör ha högre utbildning än medborgarna	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagsledamöterna bör framförallt vara sakkunniga på sitt eget område	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagsledamöter bör regelbundet ta reda på väljarnas åsikter och föra politik i linje med dessa	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagsledamöter bör rösta i riksdagen i enlighet med den egna åsikten oberoende av den egna gruppens ståndpunkt	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Riksdagsledamöter bör verka för medborgarnas bästa genom att använda sitt förnuft självständigt	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

7. Följande frågor har att göra med olika organisationer i vars verksamhet människor engagerar sig. Berätta om Ni på något sätt har varit med i den aktuella organisationens verksamhet och i så fall på vilket sätt. *Välj ett alternativ per rad.*

	Är medlem och deltar aktivt	Är medlem men deltar inte aktivt	Är inte medlem men har tidigare varit	Har aldrig varit medlem	Vet ej
◆ Idrottsförening, friluftsförening (inkl. jakt- och fiskeföreningar)	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Kultur- och fritidsorganisation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Byalag eller stadsdelsförening	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Fackförbund eller fackförening	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Företagar-, arbetsgivar- eller annan näringslivsorganisation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Lantbruks- och producentorganisation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Annan förening med professionell eller yrkesmässig anknytning	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Organisation som har att göra med humanitärt bistånd, människorättsfrågor, minoriteter eller invandrare	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Miljö-, freds- eller djurskyddsorganisation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Religiös organisation eller församling	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Politiskt parti	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Annan frivilligorganisation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

8. Om Ni bortser från valrörelsen, hur ofta diskuterar Ni politik i allmänhet med andra människor?

- 1 Dagligen eller nästan dagligen
 2 Ofta
 3 Ibland
 4 Sällan
 5 Aldrig
 6 Vet ej

9. Om väljarna varje vecka genom omröstning på Internet kunde uttrycka sin åsikt om de frågor som riksdagen håller på att behandla, hur skulle Ni göra?

- 1 Jag skulle rösta via Internet varje vecka eller nästan varje vecka
 2 Jag skulle rösta via Internet endast när riksdagen behandlar frågor som intresserar mig
 3 Jag kan tänka mig att rösta via Internet då och då men saken är inte viktig för mig
 4 Jag skulle inte använda Internet, riksdagsledamöterna bör fatta beslut om frågorna
 5 Vet ej

10. Hur inverkar de nedan uppräknade partiledarna på väljarstödet för respektive parti?

	Ökar väljarstödet kraftigt	Ökar väljarstödet litet	Ingen betydelse	Minskar väljarstödet litet	Minskar väljarstödet mycket
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för Centern att partiets ledare är just Matti Vanhanen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för SDP att partiets ledare är just Eero Heinäluoma	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för Samlingspartiet att partiets ledare är just Jyrki Katainen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för Vänsterförbundet att partiets ledare är just Martti Korhonen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för det Gröna förbundet att partiets ledare är just Tarja Cronberg	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för Svenska folkpartiet att partiets ledare är just Stefan Wallin	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för Kristdemokraterna i Finland att partiets ledare är just Päivi Räsänen	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
◆ Vilken betydelse har det för Sannfinländarna att partiets ledare är just Timo Soini	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

11. Medborgarnas valfrihet diskuteras flitigt, t.ex. möjligheten att välja mellan offentlig och privat service eller mellan service som erbjuds av olika delar av den offentliga sektorn.

A anser att valfriheten inom den offentliga sektorn bör ökas jämfört med den nuvarande nivån.

B anser att den medborgerliga valfriheten är redan nu tillräcklig.

Vem är Ni mest enig med?

1 Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som A

2 Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som B

12. Nu en fråga om socialutgifter:

A anser att de sociala reformerna i landet har gått för långt. Folk borde i större utsträckning klara sig utan socialskyddet och samhällets stöd.

B anser att de sociala reformer som genomförts bör kvarstå på åtminstone samma nivå som förr.

Vem är Ni mest enig med?

1 Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som A

2 Jag är närmast av samma åsikt som B

13. Om Ni tänker tillbaka på de senaste två åren, anser Ni att följande saker har blivit bättre, sämre eller att de är oförändrade jämfört med tidigare?

	Bättre	Sämre	Oförändrat	Vet ej
◆ Finlands ekonomiska situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Er egen eller familjens ekonomiska situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Finlands sysselsättningsläge	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Ert eget och familjemedlemmarnas sysselsättningsläge	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4

14. Om Ni tänker två år framåt i tiden, tror Ni att följande saker då kommer att vara bättre, sämre eller att de är oförändrade jämfört med nu?

	Bättre	Sämre	Oförändrat	Vet ej
◆ Finlands ekonomiska situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Er egen eller familjens ekonomiska situation	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Finlands sysselsättningsläge	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
◆ Ert eget och familjemedlemmarnas sysselsättningsläge	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4

15. Hur nöjd eller missnöjd är Ni med Er egen ekonomiska situation?

- 1 Mycket nöjd
2 Ganska nöjd
3 Ganska missnöjd
4 Mycket missnöjd
5 Vet ej

16. Och hur nöjd eller missnöjd är Ni med Ert liv i allmänhet?

- 1 Mycket nöjd
2 Ganska nöjd
3 Ganska missnöjd
4 Mycket missnöjd
5 Vet ej

Taloustutkimus Oy T-5036 20.3.2007 PAh/rrl

TACK FÖR ERA SVAR!

taloustutkimus oy

