

FSD2136

**Finnish Voter Barometer March-April
2005**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish Voter Barometer March-April 2005 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 1.0 (13.4.2006) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD2136 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

TNS Gallup: Finnish Voter Barometer March-April 2005 [dataset]. Version 1.0 (2006-04-13). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD2136>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	77
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	77
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	84
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	91

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish Voter Barometer March-April 2005

Titles and data version in Finnish: Puolueiden ajankohtaistutkimus maalis-huhtikuu 2005

This codebook has been generated from the version 1.0 (13.4.2006) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Other material

Description of the GallupChannel¹

Authoring entity

TNS Gallup

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

TNS Gallup

¹<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/background/gchannelge.html>

Date of deposit

8.2.2006

Keywords

elections; local government elections; parliamentary elections; party allegiance; political attitudes; political behaviour; political parties; political support; voting

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish Voter Barometers

The Finnish Voter Barometer surveys are commissioned by the major parties in the Parliament. In election years, other sponsors have also been involved. Up till 1988, the surveys were planned by Gallup Finland (TNS Gallup Ltd) and the Department of Political and Economic Studies at the University of Helsinki, in collaboration with the political parties. After 1988, the planning has been in the hands of Gallup Finland and the parties.

From 1973 to 1990, the annual voter barometers were collected mainly with face-to-face interviews using structured questionnaires, 1000-2000 interviews/barometer. Since 1991, the data have been collected with GallupChannel (PC's installed in respondents' homes). No surveys were conducted in 1985 and 1989.

Survey themes include voting behaviour, opinions on the government and the parties, and attitudes towards national political issues. In addition, respondents' economic expectations and political participation have often been studied, as well as their opinions on local politics, and the significance of the party leader to a party. Background variables have often included the social class of the respondent and the household head, economic activity of the household head, respondent's education, age, mother tongue, gender, party membership, political views, trade union membership, and family's annual income. There are also regional variables. Even though the variables are not always fully comparable from one survey to another, all kinds of comparisons over time are possible. The FSD collections cover data from 1973.

Abstract

The survey studied Finnish political attitudes, and opinions on political parties. Respondents were asked how favourable their opinion was regarding the Finnish Social Democratic Party, the

Centre Party of Finland, the National Coalition Party, the Left Alliance and the Green League and how tempted respondents would be to vote for these parties. A number of attributes (e.g. incompetent leaders, competence in EU issues) were listed and respondents were asked to which party they could be applied. They also assessed government performance.

Voting behaviour was studied by asking how tempted they would be to vote for different parties if the Parliamentary elections were held at the time of the survey and which party did they vote for in the 2004 local government elections. Respondents' attitude to lowering voting age from 18 to 16 and the cooperation between non-socialist parties during the second round of presidential elections was studied. Background variables included respondent's year of birth, gender, marital status, household composition, household's annual gross income, type of accommodation, housing tenure, age when finished studying full-time, basic and vocational education, occupational group, industry of employment, employer type, trade union membership, political orientation on the left-right axis, self-perceived social class, and province, county and municipality of residence.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: People aged 15 and over living in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 28.3.2005 – 3.4.2005

Data collector(s): TNS Gallup

Data producer(s): TNS Gallup

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Web-based (CAWI)

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2005

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 184 variables and 1364 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Multistage

Multistage stratified sampling resulting in a fixed sample. The data have been collected with the help of GallupChannel telepanel (PCs and Internet terminals in respondent households).

1.4 Use of data

Related publications

Wass, Hanna (2007). The effects of age, generation and period on turnout in Finland 1975-2003. *Electoral Studies* 26(3), 648-659.

1. Study description

Wass, Hanna (2008). Generations and turnout: Generational effect in electoral participation in Finland. Helsinki: University of Helsinki. Department of Political Science. Acta Politica 35.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD2136?lang=en&study_language=en

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1364
minimum	2136.00
maximum	2136.00
mean	2136.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1364
minimum	1.00
maximum	1.00
mean	1.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_PRO] FSD processing level**Question**

Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A (see codebook)	1	1364	100.0	100.0
B (see codebook)	2	0	0.0	0.0
C (data hasn't been checked at FSD)	3	0	0.0	0.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question**

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1364
minimum	1.00
maximum	1364.00
mean	682.50
standard deviation	393.90

[Q1_1] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland (KESK)?**Question**

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland (KESK)?

Frequencies

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	110	8.1	8.1
Somewhat positive	2	443	32.5	32.5
Somewhat negative	3	400	29.3	29.3
Very negative	4	170	12.5	12.5
Can't say	5	241	17.7	17.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q1_2] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	101	7.4	7.4
Somewhat positive	2	451	33.1	33.1
Somewhat negative	3	389	28.5	28.5
Very negative	4	206	15.1	15.1
Can't say	5	217	15.9	15.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q1_3] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	111	8.1	8.1
Somewhat positive	2	403	29.5	29.5
Somewhat negative	3	381	27.9	27.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very negative	4	244	17.9	17.9
Can't say	5	225	16.5	16.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q1_4] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance (VASL)?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance (VASL)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	54	4.0	4.0
Somewhat positive	2	271	19.9	19.9
Somewhat negative	3	415	30.4	30.4
Very negative	4	378	27.7	27.7
Can't say	5	246	18.0	18.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q1_5] How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League (VIHR)?

Question

How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League (VIHR)?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	69	5.1	5.1
Somewhat positive	2	366	26.8	26.8
Somewhat negative	3	386	28.3	28.3
Very negative	4	312	22.9	22.9
Can't say	5	231	16.9	16.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_1] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KESK**Question***Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1004	73.6	73.6
Mentioned	1	360	26.4	26.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_2] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: SDP**Question***Responds to the needs of ordinary people: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	932	68.3	68.3
Mentioned	1	432	31.7	31.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_3] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KOK**Question***Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1184	86.8	86.8
Mentioned	1	180	13.2	13.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_4] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VASL

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VASL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1061	77.8	77.8
Mentioned	1	303	22.2	22.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_5] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VIHR

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VIHR

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1095	80.3	80.3
Mentioned	1	269	19.7	19.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_6] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: None of these parties

Question

Responds to the needs of ordinary people: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1099	80.6	80.6
Mentioned	1	265	19.4	19.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q2_7] Responds to the needs of ordinary people: Can't say**Question***Responds to the needs of ordinary people: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1089	79.8	79.8
Mentioned	1	275	20.2	20.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_1] Reforms the society: KESK**Question***Reforms the society: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1112	81.5	81.5
Mentioned	1	252	18.5	18.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_2] Reforms the society: SDP**Question***Reforms the society: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1078	79.0	79.0
Mentioned	1	286	21.0	21.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_3] Reforms the society: KOK

Question

Reforms the society: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1010	74.0	74.0
Mentioned	1	354	26.0	26.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_4] Reforms the society: VASL

Question

Reforms the society: VASL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1231	90.2	90.2
Mentioned	1	133	9.8	9.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_5] Reforms the society: VIHR

Question

Reforms the society: VIHR

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1034	75.8	75.8
Mentioned	1	330	24.2	24.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_6] Reforms the society: None of these parties**Question***Reforms the society: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1111	81.5	81.5
Mentioned	1	253	18.5	18.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q3_7] Reforms the society: Can't say**Question***Reforms the society: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1057	77.5	77.5
Mentioned	1	307	22.5	22.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_1] Incompetent leaders: KESK**Question***Incompetent leaders: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1098	80.5	80.5
Mentioned	1	266	19.5	19.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_2] Incompetent leaders: SDP

Question

Incompetent leaders: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1041	76.3	76.3
Mentioned	1	323	23.7	23.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_3] Incompetent leaders: KOK

Question

Incompetent leaders: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1024	75.1	75.1
Mentioned	1	340	24.9	24.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_4] Incompetent leaders: VASL

Question

Incompetent leaders: VASL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1009	74.0	74.0
Mentioned	1	355	26.0	26.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_5] Incompetent leaders: VIHR**Question***Incompetent leaders: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	914	67.0	67.0
Mentioned	1	450	33.0	33.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_6] Incompetent leaders: None of these parties**Question***Incompetent leaders: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1176	86.2	86.2
Mentioned	1	188	13.8	13.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q4_7] Incompetent leaders: Can't say**Question***Incompetent leaders: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	990	72.6	72.6
Mentioned	1	374	27.4	27.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_1] Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK**Question**

Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	948	69.5	69.5
Mentioned	1	416	30.5	30.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_2] Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP**Question**

Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1019	74.7	74.7
Mentioned	1	345	25.3	25.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_3] Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK**Question**

Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	902	66.1	66.1
Mentioned	1	462	33.9	33.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_4] Acts contrary to my own interests: VASL**Question***Acts contrary to my own interests: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	911	66.8	66.8
Mentioned	1	453	33.2	33.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_5] Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR**Question***Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	948	69.5	69.5
Mentioned	1	416	30.5	30.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_6] Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties**Question***Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1249	91.6	91.6
Mentioned	1	115	8.4	8.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q5_7] Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say

Question

Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1022	74.9	74.9
Mentioned	1	342	25.1	25.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_1] Acts arrogantly: KESK

Question

Acts arrogantly: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	995	72.9	72.9
Mentioned	1	369	27.1	27.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_2] Acts arrogantly: SDP

Question

Acts arrogantly: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	862	63.2	63.2
Mentioned	1	502	36.8	36.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_3] Acts arrogantly: KOK**Question***Acts arrogantly: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	779	57.1	57.1
Mentioned	1	585	42.9	42.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_4] Acts arrogantly: VASL**Question***Acts arrogantly: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1138	83.4	83.4
Mentioned	1	226	16.6	16.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_5] Acts arrogantly: VIHR**Question***Acts arrogantly: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1073	78.7	78.7
Mentioned	1	291	21.3	21.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_6] Acts arrogantly: None of these parties

Question

Acts arrogantly: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1276	93.5	93.5
Mentioned	1	88	6.5	6.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q6_7] Acts arrogantly: Can't say

Question

Acts arrogantly: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1066	78.2	78.2
Mentioned	1	298	21.8	21.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_1] My trust in this party has increased: KESK

Question

My trust in this party has increased: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1121	82.2	82.2
Mentioned	1	243	17.8	17.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_2] My trust in this party has increased: SDP**Question***My trust in this party has increased: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1175	86.1	86.1
Mentioned	1	189	13.9	13.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_3] My trust in this party has increased: KOK**Question***My trust in this party has increased: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1136	83.3	83.3
Mentioned	1	228	16.7	16.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_4] My trust in this party has increased: VASL**Question***My trust in this party has increased: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1246	91.3	91.3
Mentioned	1	118	8.7	8.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_5] My trust in this party has increased: VIHR**Question**

My trust in this party has increased: VIHR

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1235	90.5	90.5
Mentioned	1	129	9.5	9.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_6] My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties**Question**

My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	922	67.6	67.6
Mentioned	1	442	32.4	32.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q7_7] My trust in this party has increased: Can't say**Question**

My trust in this party has increased: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1139	83.5	83.5
Mentioned	1	225	16.5	16.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_1] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KESK**Question***Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	991	72.7	72.7
Mentioned	1	373	27.3	27.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_2] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: SDP**Question***Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	939	68.8	68.8
Mentioned	1	425	31.2	31.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_3] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KOK**Question***Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1087	79.7	79.7
Mentioned	1	277	20.3	20.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_4] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VASL**Question***Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1197	87.8	87.8
Mentioned	1	167	12.2	12.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_5] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VIHR**Question***Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1165	85.4	85.4
Mentioned	1	199	14.6	14.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_6] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: None of these parties**Question***Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1114	81.7	81.7
Mentioned	1	250	18.3	18.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q8_7] Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: Can't say**Question**

Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1042	76.4	76.4
Mentioned	1	322	23.6	23.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] My trust in this party has decreased: KESK**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1015	74.4	74.4
Mentioned	1	349	25.6	25.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] My trust in this party has decreased: SDP**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	977	71.6	71.6
Mentioned	1	387	28.4	28.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] My trust in this party has decreased: KOK

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	972	71.3	71.3
Mentioned	1	392	28.7	28.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] My trust in this party has decreased: VASL

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: VASL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1013	74.3	74.3
Mentioned	1	351	25.7	25.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR

Question

My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	910	66.7	66.7
Mentioned	1	454	33.3	33.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_6] My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1188	87.1	87.1
Mentioned	1	176	12.9	12.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q9_7] My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say**Question**

My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1066	78.2	78.2
Mentioned	1	298	21.8	21.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] Honest and frank about its goals: KESK**Question**

Honest and frank about its goals: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1185	86.9	86.9
Mentioned	1	179	13.1	13.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] Honest and frank about its goals: SDP**Question***Honest and frank about its goals: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1195	87.6	87.6
Mentioned	1	169	12.4	12.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] Honest and frank about its goals: KOK**Question***Honest and frank about its goals: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1168	85.6	85.6
Mentioned	1	196	14.4	14.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] Honest and frank about its goals: VASL**Question***Honest and frank about its goals: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1181	86.6	86.6
Mentioned	1	183	13.4	13.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] Honest and frank about its goals: VIHR**Question***Honest and frank about its goals: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1163	85.3	85.3
Mentioned	1	201	14.7	14.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_6] Honest and frank about its goals: None of these parties**Question***Honest and frank about its goals: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	884	64.8	64.8
Mentioned	1	480	35.2	35.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q10_7] Honest and frank about its goals: Can't say**Question***Honest and frank about its goals: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1039	76.2	76.2
Mentioned	1	325	23.8	23.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_1] Capable and effective: KESK

Question

Capable and effective: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1154	84.6	84.6
Mentioned	1	210	15.4	15.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_2] Capable and effective: SDP

Question

Capable and effective: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1115	81.7	81.7
Mentioned	1	249	18.3	18.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_3] Capable and effective: KOK

Question

Capable and effective: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1171	85.9	85.9
Mentioned	1	193	14.1	14.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_4] Capable and effective: VASL**Question***Capable and effective: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1312	96.2	96.2
Mentioned	1	52	3.8	3.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_5] Capable and effective: VIHR**Question***Capable and effective: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1289	94.5	94.5
Mentioned	1	75	5.5	5.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_6] Capable and effective: None of these parties**Question***Capable and effective: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	881	64.6	64.6
Mentioned	1	483	35.4	35.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q11_7] Capable and effective: Can't say

Question

Capable and effective: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	983	72.1	72.1
Mentioned	1	381	27.9	27.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_1] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KESK

Question

Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KESK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1084	79.5	79.5
Mentioned	1	280	20.5	20.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_2] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: SDP

Question

Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1094	80.2	80.2
Mentioned	1	270	19.8	19.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_3] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KOK**Question***Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1142	83.7	83.7
Mentioned	1	222	16.3	16.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_4] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VASL**Question***Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VASL***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1288	94.4	94.4
Mentioned	1	76	5.6	5.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_5] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VIHR**Question***Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VIHR***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1282	94.0	94.0
Mentioned	1	82	6.0	6.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_6] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: None of these parties**Question***Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: None of these parties***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	946	69.4	69.4
Mentioned	1	418	30.6	30.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q12_7] Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: Can't say**Question***Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: Can't say***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1016	74.5	74.5
Mentioned	1	348	25.5	25.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_1] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KESK**Question***Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1051	77.1	77.1
Mentioned	1	313	22.9	22.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_2] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: SDP

Question

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: SDP

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1022	74.9	74.9
Mentioned	1	342	25.1	25.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_3] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KOK

Question

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KOK

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1053	77.2	77.2
Mentioned	1	311	22.8	22.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_4] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VASL

Question

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VASL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1193	87.5	87.5
Mentioned	1	171	12.5	12.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_5] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VIHR

Question

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VIHR

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1157	84.8	84.8
Mentioned	1	207	15.2	15.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_6] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: None of these parties

Question

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1100	80.6	80.6
Mentioned	1	264	19.4	19.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q13_7] Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: Can't say

Question

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1027	75.3	75.3
Mentioned	1	337	24.7	24.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_1] I am/might be a member of: KESK**Question***I am/might be a member of: KESK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1201	88.0	88.0
Mentioned	1	163	12.0	12.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_2] I am/might be a member of: SDP**Question***I am/might be a member of: SDP***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1197	87.8	87.8
Mentioned	1	167	12.2	12.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_3] I am/might be a member of: KOK**Question***I am/might be a member of: KOK***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1189	87.2	87.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	175	12.8	12.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_4] I am/might be a member of: VASL

Question

I am/might be a member of: VASL

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1286	94.3	94.3
Mentioned	1	78	5.7	5.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_5] I am/might be a member of: VIHR

Question

I am/might be a member of: VIHR

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1242	91.1	91.1
Mentioned	1	122	8.9	8.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_6] I am/might be a member of: None of these parties

Question

I am/might be a member of: None of these parties

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	777	57.0	57.0
Mentioned	1	587	43.0	43.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q14_7] I am/might be a member of: Can't say

Question

I am/might be a member of: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1143	83.8	83.8
Mentioned	1	221	16.2	16.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q15] How well the government formed after the last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far?

Question

How well the government formed after the last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	100	7.3	7.3
Well enough	2	522	38.3	38.3
Rather badly	3	364	26.7	26.7
Badly	4	135	9.9	9.9
Can't say	5	243	17.8	17.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_1] How well the current government has succeeded in: Housing issues

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Housing issues

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	31	2.3	2.3
Well enough	2	396	29.0	29.0
Rather badly	3	445	32.6	32.6
Badly	4	222	16.3	16.3
Can't say	5	270	19.8	19.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_2] How well the current government has succeeded in: Energy production

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Energy production

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	67	4.9	4.9
Well enough	2	539	39.5	39.5
Rather badly	3	299	21.9	21.9
Badly	4	192	14.1	14.1
Can't say	5	267	19.6	19.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_3] How well the current government has succeeded in: EU policy

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: EU policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	61	4.5	4.5
Well enough	2	458	33.6	33.6
Rather badly	3	343	25.1	25.1
Badly	4	249	18.3	18.3
Can't say	5	253	18.5	18.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_4] How well the current government has succeeded in: Improving Finland's national economy

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Improving Finland's national economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	37	2.7	2.7
Well enough	2	336	24.6	24.6
Rather badly	3	451	33.1	33.1
Badly	4	331	24.3	24.3
Can't say	5	209	15.3	15.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_5] How well the current government has succeeded in: Preventing price rises

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Preventing price rises

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	43	3.2	3.2
Well enough	2	296	21.7	21.7
Rather badly	3	401	29.4	29.4
Badly	4	450	33.0	33.0
Can't say	5	174	12.8	12.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_6] How well the current government has succeeded in: Security and defence policy

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Security and defence policy

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	74	5.4	5.4
Well enough	2	519	38.0	38.0
Rather badly	3	319	23.4	23.4
Badly	4	197	14.4	14.4
Can't say	5	255	18.7	18.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_7] How well the current government has succeeded in: Employment policy

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Employment policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	5	0.4	0.4
Well enough	2	138	10.1	10.1
Rather badly	3	482	35.3	35.3
Badly	4	583	42.7	42.7
Can't say	5	156	11.4	11.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_8] How well the current government has succeeded in: Reducing Finland's foreign debt

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Reducing Finland's foreign debt

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	29	2.1	2.1
Well enough	2	361	26.5	26.5
Rather badly	3	339	24.9	24.9
Badly	4	243	17.8	17.8
Can't say	5	392	28.7	28.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_9] How well the current government has succeeded in: Taxation**Question***How well the current government has succeeded in: Taxation***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	36	2.6	2.6
Well enough	2	365	26.8	26.8
Rather badly	3	421	30.9	30.9
Badly	4	333	24.4	24.4
Can't say	5	209	15.3	15.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_10] How well the current government has succeeded in: Environmental protection**Question***How well the current government has succeeded in: Environmental protection***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	61	4.5	4.5
Well enough	2	477	35.0	35.0
Rather badly	3	346	25.4	25.4
Badly	4	189	13.9	13.9
Can't say	5	291	21.3	21.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_11] How well the current government has succeeded in: Health care**Question***How well the current government has succeeded in: Health care***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	41	3.0	3.0
Well enough	2	345	25.3	25.3
Rather badly	3	458	33.6	33.6
Badly	4	345	25.3	25.3
Can't say	5	175	12.8	12.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_12] How well the current government has succeeded in: Social security

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	37	2.7	2.7
Well enough	2	354	26.0	26.0
Rather badly	3	465	34.1	34.1
Badly	4	320	23.5	23.5
Can't say	5	188	13.8	13.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_13] How well the current government has succeeded in: Education and culture

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Education and culture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	47	3.4	3.4
Well enough	2	491	36.0	36.0
Rather badly	3	372	27.3	27.3
Badly	4	234	17.2	17.2
Can't say	5	220	16.1	16.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_14] How well the current government has succeeded in: Cooperation with voluntary organisations and NGOs

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Cooperation with voluntary organisations and NGOs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	47	3.4	3.4
Well enough	2	483	35.4	35.4
Rather badly	3	331	24.3	24.3
Badly	4	172	12.6	12.6
Can't say	5	331	24.3	24.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_15] How well the current government has succeeded in: Citizens' safety and security (e.g. crime)

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Citizens' safety and security (e.g. crime)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	42	3.1	3.1
Well enough	2	406	29.8	29.8
Rather badly	3	405	29.7	29.7
Badly	4	258	18.9	18.9
Can't say	5	253	18.5	18.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_16] How well the current government has succeeded in: Public transport

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Public transport

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	35	2.6	2.6
Well enough	2	342	25.1	25.1
Rather badly	3	449	32.9	32.9
Badly	4	237	17.4	17.4
Can't say	5	301	22.1	22.1
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_17] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of city dwellers

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of city dwellers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	87	6.4	6.4
Well enough	2	531	38.9	38.9
Rather badly	3	278	20.4	20.4
Badly	4	144	10.6	10.6
Can't say	5	324	23.8	23.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_18] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	40	2.9	2.9
Well enough	2	325	23.8	23.8
Rather badly	3	402	29.5	29.5
Badly	4	320	23.5	23.5
Can't say	5	277	20.3	20.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_19] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of pupils and students

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of pupils and students

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	40	2.9	2.9
Well enough	2	312	22.9	22.9
Rather badly	3	399	29.3	29.3
Badly	4	343	25.1	25.1
Can't say	5	270	19.8	19.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_20] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	37	2.7	2.7
Well enough	2	254	18.6	18.6
Rather badly	3	406	29.8	29.8
Badly	4	357	26.2	26.2
Can't say	5	310	22.7	22.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_21] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	29	2.1	2.1
Well enough	2	315	23.1	23.1
Rather badly	3	449	32.9	32.9
Badly	4	257	18.8	18.8
Can't say	5	314	23.0	23.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_22] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of myself and my family

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of myself and my family

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	33	2.4	2.4
Well enough	2	452	33.1	33.1
Rather badly	3	377	27.6	27.6
Badly	4	297	21.8	21.8
Can't say	5	205	15.0	15.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_23] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of employees

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	74	5.4	5.4
Well enough	2	507	37.2	37.2
Rather badly	3	353	25.9	25.9
Badly	4	221	16.2	16.2
Can't say	5	209	15.3	15.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_24] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of the unemployed and the laid-off

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of the unemployed and the laid-off

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	19	1.4	1.4
Well enough	2	164	12.0	12.0
Rather badly	3	437	32.0	32.0
Badly	4	517	37.9	37.9
Can't say	5	227	16.6	16.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_25] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	27	2.0	2.0
Well enough	2	168	12.3	12.3
Rather badly	3	412	30.2	30.2
Badly	4	531	38.9	38.9
Can't say	5	226	16.6	16.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_26] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	71	5.2	5.2
Well enough	2	293	21.5	21.5
Rather badly	3	338	24.8	24.8
Badly	4	246	18.0	18.0
Can't say	5	416	30.5	30.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_27] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring)

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	69	5.1	5.1
Well enough	2	376	27.6	27.6
Rather badly	3	337	24.7	24.7
Badly	4	293	21.5	21.5
Can't say	5	289	21.2	21.2
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_28] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of business life

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of business life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	82	6.0	6.0
Well enough	2	504	37.0	37.0
Rather badly	3	281	20.6	20.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Badly	4	163	12.0	12.0
Can't say	5	334	24.5	24.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_29] How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of export companies

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of export companies

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	154	11.3	11.3
Well enough	2	521	38.2	38.2
Rather badly	3	195	14.3	14.3
Badly	4	88	6.5	6.5
Can't say	5	406	29.8	29.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_30] How well the current government has succeeded in: Municipal economy and services

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Municipal economy and services

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	13	1.0	1.0
Well enough	2	205	15.0	15.0
Rather badly	3	504	37.0	37.0
Badly	4	388	28.4	28.4
Can't say	5	254	18.6	18.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q16_31] How well the current government has succeeded in: Achieving its own goals

Question

How well the current government has succeeded in: Achieving its own goals

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Well	1	39	2.9	2.9
Well enough	2	275	20.2	20.2
Rather badly	3	370	27.1	27.1
Badly	4	271	19.9	19.9
Can't say	5	409	30.0	30.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_1] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	127	9.3	9.5
Somewhat tempted	2	177	13.0	13.2
Not very tempted	3	228	16.7	17.1
Not at all tempted	4	626	45.9	46.8
Can't say	5	179	13.1	13.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_2] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The National Coalition Party (KOK)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The National Coalition Party (KOK)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	109	8.0	8.2
Somewhat tempted	2	236	17.3	17.7
Not very tempted	3	225	16.5	16.8
Not at all tempted	4	612	44.9	45.8
Can't say	5	155	11.4	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_3] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	96	7.0	7.2
Somewhat tempted	2	272	19.9	20.3
Not very tempted	3	262	19.2	19.6
Not at all tempted	4	540	39.6	40.4
Can't say	5	167	12.2	12.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_4] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Left Alliance (VASL)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Left Alliance (VASL)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	55	4.0	4.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Somewhat tempted	2	132	9.7	9.9
Not very tempted	3	230	16.9	17.2
Not at all tempted	4	769	56.4	57.5
Can't say	5	151	11.1	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_5] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Green League (VIHR)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Green League (VIHR)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	56	4.1	4.2
Somewhat tempted	2	226	16.6	16.9
Not very tempted	3	225	16.5	16.8
Not at all tempted	4	661	48.5	49.4
Can't say	5	169	12.4	12.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_6] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Swedish People's Party In Finland (RKP)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Swedish People's Party In Finland (RKP)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	14	1.0	1.0
Somewhat tempted	2	63	4.6	4.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very tempted	3	185	13.6	13.8
Not at all tempted	4	941	69.0	70.4
Can't say	5	134	9.8	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q17_7] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very tempted	1	16	1.2	1.2
Somewhat tempted	2	89	6.5	6.7
Not very tempted	3	210	15.4	15.7
Not at all tempted	4	877	64.3	65.6
Can't say	5	145	10.6	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q18] If the parliamentary elections were held right now, which party or group would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, which party or group would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	198	14.5	14.8
The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	2	213	15.6	15.9
The National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	214	15.7	16.0
The Left Alliance (VASL)	4	74	5.4	5.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Green League (VIHR)	5	117	8.6	8.8
The Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	15	1.1	1.1
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	33	2.4	2.5
True Finns (PS)	8	19	1.4	1.4
Some other party or group	9	20	1.5	1.5
I would not vote anyway	10	79	5.8	5.9
Can't say	11	241	17.7	18.0
Don't want to say	12	114	8.4	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q19] 15-17-year-olds: If the parliamentary elections were held right now and you could vote, which party or group would you vote for?

Question

15-17-year-olds: If the parliamentary elections were held right now and you could vote, which party or group would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	4	0.3	15.4
The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	2	0	0.0	0.0
The National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	2	0.1	7.7
The Left Alliance (VASL)	4	1	0.1	3.8
The Green League (VIHR)	5	4	0.3	15.4
The Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	0	0.0	0.0
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	0	0.0	0.0
True Finns (PS)	8	0	0.0	0.0
Some other party or group	9	0	0.0	0.0
I would not vote anyway	10	4	0.3	15.4
Can't say	11	8	0.6	30.8
Don't want to say	12	3	0.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	1338	98.1	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q20] Which party or group did you vote for in the last local government elections?

Question

Which party or group did you vote for in the last local government elections?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Didn't vote	1	144	10.6	10.8
Didn't have the right to vote	2	3	0.2	0.2
The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	3	203	14.9	15.2
The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	4	249	18.3	18.6
The National Coalition Party (KOK)	5	200	14.7	15.0
The Left Alliance (VASL)	6	90	6.6	6.7
The Green League (VIHR)	7	104	7.6	7.8
The Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	8	17	1.2	1.3
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	9	41	3.0	3.1
True Finns (PS)	10	23	1.7	1.7
Some other party or group	11	36	2.6	2.7
Can't say	12	67	4.9	5.0
Don't want to say	13	160	11.7	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q21] Which party would you vote for, if you had to?

Question

Which party would you vote for, if you had to?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	1	51	3.7	11.4
The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	2	65	4.8	14.5
The National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	39	2.9	8.7
The Left Alliance (VASL)	4	22	1.6	4.9
The Green League (VIHR)	5	57	4.2	12.7
The Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	6	6	0.4	1.3
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	20	1.5	4.5
True Finns (PS)	8	15	1.1	3.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Some other party or group	9	16	1.2	3.6
Don't want to say	10	158	11.6	35.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	915	67.1	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q22] If parliamentary elections were held right now, how certain are you that you would vote?

Question

If parliamentary elections were held right now, how certain are you that you would vote?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Would certainly vote	1	764	56.0	57.1
Probably would vote	2	342	25.1	25.6
Probably would not vote	3	93	6.8	7.0
Would certainly not vote	4	70	5.1	5.2
Can't say	5	68	5.0	5.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	27	2.0	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q23_1] What is your attitude to: the cooperation between non-socialist parties during the second round of presidential elections

Question

What is your attitude to: the cooperation between non-socialist parties during the second round of presidential elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	246	18.0	18.0
Somewhat positive	2	397	29.1	29.1
Somewhat negative	3	231	16.9	16.9
Very negative	4	144	10.6	10.6
Can't say	5	346	25.4	25.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q23_2] What is your attitude to: lowering the voting age from 18 to 16 in local government elections

Question

What is your attitude to: lowering the voting age from 18 to 16 in local government elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	51	3.7	3.7
Somewhat positive	2	218	16.0	16.0
Somewhat negative	3	430	31.5	31.5
Very negative	4	544	39.9	39.9
Can't say	5	121	8.9	8.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q23_3] What is your attitude to: providing basic services outside one municipality, e.g. within a region

Question

What is your attitude to: providing basic services outside one municipality, e.g. within a region

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	194	14.2	14.2
Somewhat positive	2	524	38.4	38.4
Somewhat negative	3	285	20.9	20.9
Very negative	4	130	9.5	9.5
Can't say	5	231	16.9	16.9
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q23_4] What is your attitude to: localising government activities, i.e. to regions outside the capital

Question

What is your attitude to: localising government activities, i.e. to regions outside the capital

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	369	27.1	27.1
Somewhat positive	2	551	40.4	40.4
Somewhat negative	3	158	11.6	11.6
Very negative	4	91	6.7	6.7
Can't say	5	195	14.3	14.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[Q23_5] What is your attitude to: the presidential candidacy of PM Matti Vanhanen

Question

What is your attitude to: the presidential candidacy of PM Matti Vanhanen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very positive	1	204	15.0	15.0
Somewhat positive	2	414	30.4	30.4
Somewhat negative	3	224	16.4	16.4
Very negative	4	208	15.2	15.2
Can't say	5	314	23.0	23.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's year of birth

Question

Respondent's year of birth

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1363
minimum	1922.00
maximum	1988.00
mean	1959.50
standard deviation	14.50

[BV2] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	705	51.7	51.7
Male	2	659	48.3	48.3
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Are you responsible for buying convenience goods for your household?

Question

Are you responsible for buying convenience goods for your household?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes, by myself	1	434	31.8	31.8
Yes, together with somebody else	2	780	57.2	57.2
No	3	150	11.0	11.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's marital status

Question

Respondent's marital status

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Single	1	229	16.8	16.8
Cohabiting	2	264	19.4	19.4
Married	3	718	52.6	52.6
Widow	4	31	2.3	2.3
Divorced	5	104	7.6	7.6
Separated	6	9	0.7	0.7
Other	7	9	0.7	0.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's household composition

Question

Respondent's household composition

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Living at home with parents	1	47	3.4	3.4
Living alone	2	241	17.7	17.7
Living with spouse	3	513	37.6	37.6
Living with spouse and children	4	458	33.6	33.6
Single parent living with children	5	58	4.3	4.3
Other	6	38	2.8	2.8
Don't want to say	7	9	0.7	0.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's age of ending full-time education

Question

Respondent's age of ending full-time education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Under 16-year-old	1	110	8.1	8.1
16 - 18-year-old	2	264	19.4	19.4
19 - 20-year-old	3	213	15.6	15.6
21 - 22-year-old	4	147	10.8	10.8
23 - 24-year-old	5	178	13.0	13.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
25 - 27-year-old	6	188	13.8	13.8
28 - 30-year-old	7	54	4.0	4.0
31 - 34-year-old	8	39	2.9	2.9
35 - 39-year-old	9	11	0.8	0.8
40-year-old or later	10	16	1.2	1.2
Haven't ended full-time education	11	123	9.0	9.0
Can't say	12	21	1.5	1.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Respondent's basic education**Question***Respondent's basic education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Primary education	1	276	20.2	20.2
Lower secondary education	2	534	39.1	39.1
Upper secondary education	3	554	40.6	40.6
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Respondent's vocational or other education**Question***Respondent's vocational or other education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
No vocational education	1	111	8.1	8.9
Vocational course or other short vocational training	2	180	13.2	14.5
Vocational/commercial school or equivalent	3	321	23.5	25.9
College level education	4	319	23.4	25.7
Polytechnic degree	5	94	6.9	7.6
Lower academic degree (first stage of tertiary educ.)	6	58	4.3	4.7
Higher academic degree (second stage of tertiary educ.)	7	145	10.6	11.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Other	8	13	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	123	9.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Respondent's occupational group

Question

Respondent's occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Higher managerial (salaried) occupation	1	40	2.9	2.9
Lower managerial and professional occupations	2	138	10.1	10.1
Administrative, clerical, secretarial occupations	3	228	16.7	16.7
Worker	4	364	26.7	26.7
Large or small employer, own account worker	5	71	5.2	5.2
Farmer	6	20	1.5	1.5
Unemployed	7	75	5.5	5.5
Student or pupil	8	122	8.9	8.9
Retired	9	234	17.2	17.2
Homemaker	10	39	2.9	2.9
Other	11	33	2.4	2.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Respondent's industry of employment

Question

Respondent's industry of employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agriculture and forestry	1	32	2.3	3.5
Manufacturing or construction	2	189	13.9	20.5
Trade	3	67	4.9	7.3
Other private services	4	183	13.4	19.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Public services	5	299	21.9	32.5
Other employment sector	6	87	6.4	9.4
Not in paid work	7	52	3.8	5.6
Can't say	8	12	0.9	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	443	32.5	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV11] Respondent's employer type**Question***Respondent's employer type***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Government/state	1	83	6.1	9.6
Municipality, municipal federation	2	203	14.9	23.5
Voluntary organisation, association	3	35	2.6	4.1
State-controlled company	4	30	2.2	3.5
Private company	5	458	33.6	53.1
Church, parish	6	7	0.5	0.8
Other employer	7	47	3.4	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	501	36.7	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV12] Respondent's economic activity**Question***Respondent's economic activity***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Full-time employee	1	732	53.7	79.5
Part-time employee	2	106	7.8	11.5
Not in employment	3	83	6.1	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	443	32.5	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV13] Respondent's membership in a trade union that is a member of..

Question

Respondent's membership in a trade union that is a member of..

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SAK (Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	1	275	20.2	27.6
Akava (Confed. of Unions for Academic Professionals)	2	164	12.0	16.5
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	3	161	11.8	16.2
Other	4	84	6.2	8.4
Not a member in any trade union	5	286	21.0	28.7
Can't say	6	26	1.9	2.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	368	27.0	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV14] Respondent's political orientation on a left-right axis

Question

Respondent's political orientation on a left-right axis

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Left	1	109	8.0	8.1
Somewhat to the left	2	337	24.7	25.1
Somewhat to the right	3	401	29.4	29.9
Right	4	166	12.2	12.4
Can't say	5	329	24.1	24.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	22	1.6	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV15] Respondent's self-perceived social class**Question***Respondent's self-perceived social class***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Working class	1	383	28.1	28.5
Lower middle class	2	450	33.0	33.5
Upper middle class	3	342	25.1	25.5
Upper class	4	10	0.7	0.7
Can't say	5	157	11.5	11.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	22	1.6	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV16] Household's annual gross income in euros**Question***Household's annual gross income in euros***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
< 10.000 euros/year	1	59	4.3	4.3
10.000 - 15.000 euros/year	2	78	5.7	5.7
15.001 - 20.000 euros/year	3	110	8.1	8.1
20.001 - 25.000 euros/year	4	119	8.7	8.7
25.001 - 30.000 euros/year	5	131	9.6	9.6
30.001 - 35.000 euros/year	6	102	7.5	7.5
35.001 - 40.000 euros/year	7	117	8.6	8.6
40.001 - 45.000 euros/year	8	109	8.0	8.0
45.001 - 50.000 euros/year	9	95	7.0	7.0
50.001 - 55.000 euros/year	10	83	6.1	6.1
55.001 - 85.000 euros/year	11	146	10.7	10.7
> 85.000 euros/year	12	45	3.3	3.3
Can't/don't want to say	13	170	12.5	12.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV17] Household's mortgage in euros**Question***Household's mortgage in euros***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't have a mortgage	1	808	59.2	59.2
Less than 10.000 euros	2	53	3.9	3.9
10.000 - 20.000 euros	3	79	5.8	5.8
20.001 - 40.000 euros	4	126	9.2	9.2
Over 40.000 euros	5	246	18.0	18.0
Can't say	6	52	3.8	3.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV18] Household's other loans in euros**Question***Household's other loans in euros***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't have other loans	1	582	42.7	42.7
Less than 10.000 euros	2	396	29.0	29.0
10.000 - 20.000 euros	3	188	13.8	13.8
20.001 - 40.000 euros	4	97	7.1	7.1
Over 40.000 euros	5	27	2.0	2.0
Can't say	6	74	5.4	5.4
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV19] Household's monthly housing costs in euros (excluding mortgage)**Question***Household's monthly housing costs in euros (excluding mortgage)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Less than 200 euros	1	148	10.9	10.9
200 - 400 euros	2	385	28.2	28.2
401 - 600 euros	3	321	23.5	23.5
601 - 800 euros	4	220	16.1	16.1
801 - 1000 euros	5	103	7.6	7.6
1001 - 1200 euros	6	46	3.4	3.4
Over 1200 euros	7	39	2.9	2.9
Can't say	8	102	7.5	7.5
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV20] How would you describe your household's financial circumstances at the moment?

Question

How would you describe your household's financial circumstances at the moment?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I'm/we're affluent	1	89	6.5	6.5
I/we manage quite well	2	566	41.5	41.5
I/we manage, if shop with care	3	484	35.5	35.5
Sometimes have to go short of something	4	159	11.7	11.7
I/we have to go short of everything	5	66	4.8	4.8
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV21] Respondent's type of accommodation

Question

Respondent's type of accommodation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Block of flats	1	513	37.6	37.6
Terraced house	2	214	15.7	15.7
Semi-detached house	3	44	3.2	3.2
Detached house on building land	4	487	35.7	35.7
Detached house on a farm	5	92	6.7	6.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Other	6	14	1.0	1.0
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV22] Respondent's housing tenure

Question

Respondent's housing tenure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Owner-occupied	1	917	67.2	67.2
Rented	2	395	29.0	29.0
Right-of-occupancy housing	3	19	1.4	1.4
Part-ownership housing	4	5	0.4	0.4
Rented with job/job-related housing	5	19	1.4	1.4
Other	6	9	0.7	0.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[BV23] Occupational group of the head of household (= the person with the highest income)

Question

Occupational group of the head of household (= the person with the highest income)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Higher managerial (salaried) occupation	1	62	4.5	4.5
Lower managerial and professional occupations	2	196	14.4	14.4
Administrative, clerical, secretarial occupations	3	201	14.7	14.7
Worker	4	439	32.2	32.2
Large or small employer, own account worker	5	92	6.7	6.7
Farmer	6	22	1.6	1.6
Unemployed	7	44	3.2	3.2
Student or pupil	8	49	3.6	3.6
Retired	9	216	15.8	15.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Homemaker	10	6	0.4	0.4
Other	11	37	2.7	2.7
		1364	100.0	100.0

[UUSLAANI] Province of residence (new division, came into effect in 1997)**Question***Province of residence (new division, came into effect in 1997)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Southern Finland	1	596	43.7	45.6
Western Finland	2	424	31.1	32.4
Eastern Finland	3	148	10.9	11.3
Oulu	4	97	7.1	7.4
Lapland	5	42	3.1	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[VANLAANI] Province of residence (old division)**Question***Province of residence (old division)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	380	27.9	29.1
Turku and Pori	2	179	13.1	13.7
Häme	3	194	14.2	14.8
Kymi	4	89	6.5	6.8
Mikkeli	5	68	5.0	5.2
Kuopio	6	50	3.7	3.8
Keski-Suomi	7	58	4.3	4.4
Vaasa	8	96	7.0	7.3
Pohjois-Karjala	9	54	4.0	4.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Oulu	10	97	7.1	7.4
Lapland (Lappi)	11	42	3.1	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[MAAKUNTA] Region of residence 1

Question

Region of residence 1

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	378	27.7	28.9
Varsinais-Suomi	2	115	8.4	8.8
Satakunta	4	55	4.0	4.2
Häme	5	45	3.3	3.4
Pirkanmaa	6	100	7.3	7.7
Päijät-Häme	7	84	6.2	6.4
Kymenlaakso	8	39	2.9	3.0
Etelä-Karjala	9	50	3.7	3.8
Etelä-Savo	10	44	3.2	3.4
Pohjois-Savo	11	50	3.7	3.8
Pohjois-Karjala	12	54	4.0	4.1
Keski-Suomi	13	58	4.3	4.4
Etelä-Pohjanmaa	14	46	3.4	3.5
Coastal Vaasa	15	36	2.6	2.8
Keski-Pohjanmaa	16	14	1.0	1.1
Pohjois-Pohjanmaa	17	78	5.7	6.0
Kainuu	18	19	1.4	1.5
Lapland (Lappi)	19	42	3.1	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[MAAKUNT2] Region of residence 2

Question

Region of residence 2

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	356	26.1	27.3
Varsinais-Suomi	2	115	8.4	8.8
Itä-Uusimaa	3	22	1.6	1.7
Satakunta	4	55	4.0	4.2
Kanta-Häme	5	45	3.3	3.4
Pirkanmaa	6	100	7.3	7.7
Päijät-Häme	7	84	6.2	6.4
Kymenlaakso	8	39	2.9	3.0
Etelä-Karjala	9	50	3.7	3.8
Etelä-Savo	10	43	3.2	3.3
Pohjois-Savo	11	51	3.7	3.9
Pohjois-Karjala	12	54	4.0	4.1
Keski-Suomi	13	58	4.3	4.4
Etelä-Pohjanmaa	14	46	3.4	3.5
Pohjanmaa	15	36	2.6	2.8
Keski-Pohjanmaa	16	14	1.0	1.1
Pohjois-Pohjanmaa	17	76	5.6	5.8
Kainuu	18	19	1.4	1.5
Lapland (Lappi)	19	42	3.1	3.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	59	4.3	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[ASUKKAAT] Municipality size

Question

Municipality size

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Less than 5.000 inhabitants	1	173	12.7	13.2
5.000 - 9.999 inhabitants	2	200	14.7	15.3
10.000 - 14.999 inhabitants	3	93	6.8	7.1
15.000 - 29.999 inhabitants	4	129	9.5	9.9
30.000 - 59.999 inhabitants	5	204	15.0	15.6
60.000 - 100.000 inhabitants	6	95	7.0	7.3
Over 100.000 inhabitants	7	413	30.3	31.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[KTYYPPI] Municipality of residence**Question***Municipality of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	183	13.4	14.0
Espoo	2	57	4.2	4.4
Vantaa	3	51	3.7	3.9
Tampere	4	54	4.0	4.1
Turku	5	39	2.9	3.0
Other town with over 30.000 inhabitants	6	315	23.1	24.1
Town with less than 30.000 inhabitants	7	208	15.2	15.9
Rural area	8	400	29.3	30.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[KRYHMA1] Type of municipality 1**Question***Type of municipality 1***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	183	13.4	14.0
Espoo/ Vantaa/ Kauniainen	2	109	8.0	8.3
Turku	3	39	2.9	3.0
Tampere	4	54	4.0	4.1
Lahti/Oulu/Pori/Kuopio	5	102	7.5	7.8
Other towns	6	420	30.8	32.1
Other municipalities	7	400	29.3	30.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[KRYHMA2] Type of municipality 2**Question***Type of municipality 2*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital (Helsinki) area	1	292	21.4	22.3
Urban municipality	2	537	39.4	41.1
Densely populated municipality	3	185	13.6	14.2
Rural municipality	4	293	21.5	22.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[TIKRYHMA] Statistical grouping of municipalities**Question**

Statistical grouping of municipalities

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Urban municipalities	1	829	60.8	63.5
Semi-urban municipalities	2	197	14.4	15.1
Rural municipalities	3	279	20.5	21.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	59	4.3	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[NUTS2] Major region (NUTS 2)**Question**

Major region (NUTS 2)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	378	27.7	28.9
Southern Finland	2	488	35.8	37.3
Eastern Finland	3	167	12.2	12.8
Mid-Finland	4	154	11.3	11.8
Northern Finland	5	120	8.8	9.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	–
		1364	100.0	100.0

[ASPAIKKA] Area of residence**Question***Area of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital (Helsinki) area	1	292	21.4	22.3
Other town with over 50.000 inhabitants	2	277	20.3	21.2
Other town	3	338	24.8	25.9
Other municipality	4	400	29.3	30.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	57	4.2	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

[PKUNTA] Type of location**Question***Type of location***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Capital (Helsinki) area	1	292	21.4	22.3
Turku	2	39	2.9	3.0
Other town	3	54	4.0	4.1
Rural area	4	521	38.2	39.7
Rural community	5	150	11.0	11.4
Sparsely populated rural area	6	255	18.7	19.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	53	3.9	—
		1364	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD processing level [FSD_PRO]	6
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland (KESK)? [Q1_1]	6
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)? [Q1_2]	7
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)? [Q1_3]	7
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance (VASL)? [Q1_4]	8
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League (VIHR)? [Q1_5]	8
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KESK [Q2_1]	9
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: SDP [Q2_2]	9
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KOK [Q2_3]	9
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VASL [Q2_4]	10
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VIHR [Q2_5]	10
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: None of these parties [Q2_6]	10
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: Can't say [Q2_7]	11
Reforms the society: KESK [Q3_1]	11
Reforms the society: SDP [Q3_2]	11
Reforms the society: KOK [Q3_3]	12
Reforms the society: VASL [Q3_4]	12

3. Indexes

Reforms the society: VIHR [Q3_5]	12
Reforms the society: None of these parties [Q3_6]	13
Reforms the society: Can't say [Q3_7]	13
Incompetent leaders: KESK [Q4_1]	13
Incompetent leaders: SDP [Q4_2]	14
Incompetent leaders: KOK [Q4_3]	14
Incompetent leaders: VASL [Q4_4]	14
Incompetent leaders: VIHR [Q4_5]	15
Incompetent leaders: None of these parties [Q4_6]	15
Incompetent leaders: Can't say [Q4_7]	15
Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK [Q5_1]	16
Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP [Q5_2]	16
Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK [Q5_3]	16
Acts contrary to my own interests: VASL [Q5_4]	17
Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR [Q5_5]	17
Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties [Q5_6]	17
Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say [Q5_7]	18
Acts arrogantly: KESK [Q6_1]	18
Acts arrogantly: SDP [Q6_2]	18
Acts arrogantly: KOK [Q6_3]	19
Acts arrogantly: VASL [Q6_4]	19
Acts arrogantly: VIHR [Q6_5]	19
Acts arrogantly: None of these parties [Q6_6]	20
Acts arrogantly: Can't say [Q6_7]	20
My trust in this party has increased: KESK [Q7_1]	20
My trust in this party has increased: SDP [Q7_2]	21
My trust in this party has increased: KOK [Q7_3]	21
My trust in this party has increased: VASL [Q7_4]	21
My trust in this party has increased: VIHR [Q7_5]	22
My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties [Q7_6]	22
My trust in this party has increased: Can't say [Q7_7]	22
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KESK [Q8_1]	23
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: SDP [Q8_2]	23
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KOK [Q8_3]	23

Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VASL [Q8_4]	24
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VIHR [Q8_5]	24
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: None of these parties [Q8_6]	24
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: Can't say [Q8_7]	25
My trust in this party has decreased: KESK [Q9_1]	25
My trust in this party has decreased: SDP [Q9_2]	25
My trust in this party has decreased: KOK [Q9_3]	26
My trust in this party has decreased: VASL [Q9_4]	26
My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR [Q9_5]	26
My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties [Q9_6]	27
My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say [Q9_7]	27
Honest and frank about its goals: KESK [Q10_1]	27
Honest and frank about its goals: SDP [Q10_2]	28
Honest and frank about its goals: KOK [Q10_3]	28
Honest and frank about its goals: VASL [Q10_4]	28
Honest and frank about its goals: VIHR [Q10_5]	29
Honest and frank about its goals: None of these parties [Q10_6]	29
Honest and frank about its goals: Can't say [Q10_7]	29
Capable and effective: KESK [Q11_1]	30
Capable and effective: SDP [Q11_2]	30
Capable and effective: KOK [Q11_3]	30
Capable and effective: VASL [Q11_4]	31
Capable and effective: VIHR [Q11_5]	31
Capable and effective: None of these parties [Q11_6]	31
Capable and effective: Can't say [Q11_7]	32
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KESK [Q12_1]	32
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: SDP [Q12_2]	32
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KOK [Q12_3]	33
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VASL [Q12_4]	33
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VIHR [Q12_5]	33
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: None of these parties [Q12_6]	34
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: Can't say [Q12_7]	34
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KESK [Q13_1]	

3. Indexes

Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: SDP [Q13_2]	35
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KOK [Q13_3]	35
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VASL [Q13_4]	35
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VIHR [Q13_5]	36
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: None of these parties [Q13_6]	36
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: Can't say [Q13_7]	36
I am/might be a member of: KESK [Q14_1]	37
I am/might be a member of: SDP [Q14_2]	37
I am/might be a member of: KOK [Q14_3]	37
I am/might be a member of: VASL [Q14_4]	38
I am/might be a member of: VIHR [Q14_5]	38
I am/might be a member of: None of these parties [Q14_6]	38
I am/might be a member of: Can't say [Q14_7]	39
How well the government formed after the last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far? [Q15]	39
How well the current government has succeeded in: Housing issues [Q16_1]	39
How well the current government has succeeded in: Energy production [Q16_2]	40
How well the current government has succeeded in: EU policy [Q16_3]	40
How well the current government has succeeded in: Improving Finland's national economy [Q16_4]	41
How well the current government has succeeded in: Preventing price rises [Q16_5]	41
How well the current government has succeeded in: Security and defence policy [Q16_6] ..	41
How well the current government has succeeded in: Employment policy [Q16_7]	42
How well the current government has succeeded in: Reducing Finland's foreign debt [Q16_8]	42
How well the current government has succeeded in: Taxation [Q16_9]	43
How well the current government has succeeded in: Environmental protection [Q16_10] ...	43
How well the current government has succeeded in: Health care [Q16_11]	43
How well the current government has succeeded in: Social security [Q16_12]	44
How well the current government has succeeded in: Education and culture [Q16_13]	44
How well the current government has succeeded in: Cooperation with voluntary organisations	

and NGOs [Q16_14]	45
How well the current government has succeeded in: Citizens' safety and security (e.g. crime) [Q16_15]	45
How well the current government has succeeded in: Public transport [Q16_16]	45
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of city dwellers [Q16_17]	46
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children [Q16_18]	46
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of pupils and students [Q16_19]	47
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers [Q16_20]	47
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people [Q16_21]	47
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of myself and my family [Q16_22]	48
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of employees [Q16_23]	48
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of the unemployed and the laid-off [Q16_24]	49
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people [Q16_25]	49
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers [Q16_26]	49
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring) [Q16_27]	50
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of business life [Q16_28]	50
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of export companies [Q16_29]	51
How well the current government has succeeded in: Municipal economy and services [Q16_30]	51
How well the current government has succeeded in: Achieving its own goals [Q16_31]	52
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Centre Party of Finland (KESK) [Q17_1]	52
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The National Coalition Party (KOK) [Q17_2]	52
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP) [Q17_3]	53

3. Indexes

If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Left Alliance (VASL) [Q17_4]	53
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Green League (VIHR) [Q17_5]	54
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Swedish People's Party In Finland (RKP) [Q17_6]	54
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian Democrats in Finland (KD) [Q17_7]	55
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, which party or group would you vote for? [Q18]	55
15-17-year-olds: If the parliamentary elections were held right now and you could vote, which party or group would you vote for? [Q19]	56
Which party or group did you vote for in the last local government elections? [Q20]	57
Which party would you vote for, if you had to? [Q21]	57
If parliamentary elections were held right now, how certain are you that you would vote? [Q22]	58
What is your attitude to: the cooperation between non-socialist parties during the second round of presidential elections [Q23_1]	58
What is your attitude to: lowering the voting age from 18 to 16 in local government elections [Q23_2]	59
What is your attitude to: providing basic services outside one municipality, e.g. within a region [Q23_3]	59
What is your attitude to: localising government activities, i.e. to regions outside the capital [Q23_4]	60
What is your attitude to: the presidential candidacy of PM Matti Vanhanen [Q23_5]	60
Respondent's year of birth [BV1]	60
Respondent's gender [BV2]	61
Are you responsible for buying convenience goods for your household? [BV3]	61
Respondent's marital status [BV4]	61
Respondent's household composition [BV5]	62
Respondent's age of ending full-time education [BV6]	62
Respondent's basic education [BV7]	63
Respondent's vocational or other education [BV8]	63
Respondent's occupational group [BV9]	64
Respondent's industry of employment [BV10]	64
Respondent's employer type [BV11]	65
Respondent's economic activity [BV12]	65

Respondent's membership in a trade union that is a member of.. [BV13]	66
Respondent's political orientation on a left-right axis [BV14]	66
Respondent's self-perceived social class [BV15]	67
Household's annual gross income in euros [BV16]	67
Household's mortgage in euros [BV17]	68
Household's other loans in euros [BV18]	68
Household's monthly housing costs in euros (excluding mortgage) [BV19]	68
How would you describe your household's financial circumstances at the moment? [BV20]	69
Respondent's type of accommodation [BV21]	69
Respondent's housing tenure [BV22]	70
Occupational group of the head of household (= the person with the highest income) [BV23]	70
Province of residence (new division, came into effect in 1997) [UUSLAANI]	71
Province of residence (old division) [VANLAANI]	71
Region of residence 1 [MAAKUNTA]	72
Region of residence 2 [MAAKUNT2]	72
Municipality size [ASUKKAAT]	73
Municipality of residence [KTYYPPI]	74
Type of municipality 1 [KRYHMA1]	74
Type of municipality 2 [KRYHMA2]	74
Statistical grouping of municipalities [TIKRYHMA]	75
Major region (NUTS 2) [NUTS2]	75
Area of residence [ASPAIKKA]	76
Type of location [PKUNTA]	76

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

15-17-year-olds: If the parliamentary elections were held right now and you could vote, which party or group would you vote for?	56
Acts arrogantly: Can't say	20
Acts arrogantly: KESK	18
Acts arrogantly: KOK	19
Acts arrogantly: None of these parties	20
Acts arrogantly: SDP	18
Acts arrogantly: VASL	19
Acts arrogantly: VIHR	19
Acts contrary to my own interests: Can't say	18
Acts contrary to my own interests: KESK	16
Acts contrary to my own interests: KOK	16
Acts contrary to my own interests: None of these parties	17
Acts contrary to my own interests: SDP	16
Acts contrary to my own interests: VASL	17
Acts contrary to my own interests: VIHR	17
Are you responsible for buying convenience goods for your household?	61
Area of residence	76
Capable and effective: Can't say	32
Capable and effective: KESK	30
Capable and effective: KOK	30
Capable and effective: None of these parties	31
Capable and effective: SDP	30
Capable and effective: VASL	31
Capable and effective: VIHR	31
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD processing level	6
FSD study number	5
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: Can't say	25
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KESK	23
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: KOK	23
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: None of these parties	24

Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: SDP	23
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VASL	24
Has promoted issues important to all Finns and Finland: VIHR	24
Honest and frank about its goals: Can't say	29
Honest and frank about its goals: KESK	27
Honest and frank about its goals: KOK	28
Honest and frank about its goals: None of these parties	29
Honest and frank about its goals: SDP	28
Honest and frank about its goals: VASL	28
Honest and frank about its goals: VIHR	29
Household's annual gross income in euros	67
Household's monthly housing costs in euros (excluding mortgage)	68
Household's mortgage in euros	68
Household's other loans in euros	68
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Centre Party of Finland (KESK)?	6
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)? 7	
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Green League (VIHR)?	8
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the Left Alliance (VASL)?	8
How positive or negative is your attitude towards the National Coalition Party (KOK)?	7
How well the current government has succeeded in: Achieving its own goals	52
How well the current government has succeeded in: Citizens' safety and security (e.g. crime) 45	
How well the current government has succeeded in: Cooperation with voluntary organisations and NGOs	45
How well the current government has succeeded in: Education and culture	44
How well the current government has succeeded in: Employment policy	42
How well the current government has succeeded in: Energy production	40
How well the current government has succeeded in: Environmental protection	43
How well the current government has succeeded in: EU policy	40
How well the current government has succeeded in: Health care	43
How well the current government has succeeded in: Housing issues	39
How well the current government has succeeded in: Improving Finland's national economy	41
How well the current government has succeeded in: Municipal economy and services	51
How well the current government has succeeded in: Preventing price rises	41

3. Indexes

How well the current government has succeeded in: Public transport	45
How well the current government has succeeded in: Reducing Finland's foreign debt	42
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of business life	50
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of city dwellers	46
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of elderly and retired people	49
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of employees	48
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of export companies	51
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of families with children	46
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of farmers ...	49
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of myself and my family	48
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of private transport (motoring)	50
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of pupils and students	47
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of rural dwellers	47
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of the unemployed and the laid-off	49
How well the current government has succeeded in: Responding to the needs of young people	47
How well the current government has succeeded in: Security and defence policy	41
How well the current government has succeeded in: Social security	44
How well the current government has succeeded in: Taxation	43
How well the government formed after the last parliamentary elections has succeeded in its tasks so far?	39
How would you describe your household's financial circumstances at the moment?	69
I am/might be a member of: Can't say	39
I am/might be a member of: KESK	37
I am/might be a member of: KOK	37
I am/might be a member of: None of these parties	38
I am/might be a member of: SDP	37
I am/might be a member of: VASL	38

I am/might be a member of: VIHR	38
If parliamentary elections were held right now, how certain are you that you would vote? ..	58
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	55
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Centre Party of Finland (KESK)	52
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Finnish Social Democratic Party (SDP)	53
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Green League (VIHR)	54
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Left Alliance (VASL)	53
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The National Coalition Party (KOK)	52
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, how tempted would you feel to vote for: The Swedish People's Party In Finland (RKP)	54
If the parliamentary elections were held right now, which party or group would you vote for?	55
Incompetent leaders: Can't say	15
Incompetent leaders: KESK	13
Incompetent leaders: KOK	14
Incompetent leaders: None of these parties	15
Incompetent leaders: SDP	14
Incompetent leaders: VASL	14
Incompetent leaders: VIHR	15
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: Can't say	34
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KESK	32
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: KOK	33
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: None of these parties	34
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: SDP	32
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VASL	33
Increases the feeling of safety among citizens: VIHR	33
Major region (NUTS 2)	75
Municipality of residence	74
Municipality size	73
My trust in this party has decreased: Can't say	27
My trust in this party has decreased: KESK	25

3. Indexes

My trust in this party has decreased: KOK	26
My trust in this party has decreased: None of these parties	27
My trust in this party has decreased: SDP	25
My trust in this party has decreased: VASL	26
My trust in this party has decreased: VIHR	26
My trust in this party has increased: Can't say	22
My trust in this party has increased: KESK	20
My trust in this party has increased: KOK	21
My trust in this party has increased: None of these parties	22
My trust in this party has increased: SDP	21
My trust in this party has increased: VASL	21
My trust in this party has increased: VIHR	22
Occupational group of the head of household (= the person with the highest income)	70
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: Can't say .	36
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KESK	34
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: KOK	35
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: None of these parties	36
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: SDP	35
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VASL	35
Presents realistic and feasible proposals for the development of Finnish society: VIHR	36
Province of residence (new division, came into effect in 1997)	71
Province of residence (old division)	71
Reforms the society: Can't say	13
Reforms the society: KESK	11
Reforms the society: KOK	12
Reforms the society: None of these parties	13
Reforms the society: SDP	11
Reforms the society: VASL	12
Reforms the society: VIHR	12
Region of residence 1	72
Region of residence 2	72
Repondent's basic education	63
Respondent's age of ending full-time education	62

Respondent's economic activity	65
Respondent's employer type	65
Respondent's gender	61
Respondent's household composition	62
Respondent's housing tenure	70
Respondent's industry of employment	64
Respondent's marital status	61
Respondent's membership in a trade union that is a member of.. ..	66
Respondent's occupational group	64
Respondent's political orientation on a left-right axis	66
Respondent's self-perceived social class	67
Respondent's type of accommodation	69
Respondent's vocational or other education	63
Respondent's year of birth	60
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: Can't say	11
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KESK	9
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: KOK	9
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: None of these parties	10
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: SDP	9
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VASL	10
Responds to the needs of ordinary people: VIHR	10
Statistical grouping of municipalities	75
Type of location	76
Type of municipality 1	74
Type of municipality 2	74
What is your attitude to: localising government activities, i.e. to regions outside the capital .	60
What is your attitude to: lowering the voting age from 18 to 16 in local government elections	59
What is your attitude to: providing basic services outside one municipality, e.g. within a region	59
What is your attitude to: the cooperation between non-socialist parties during the second round of presidential elections	58
What is your attitude to: the presidential candidacy of PM Matti Vanhanen	60
Which party or group did you vote for in the last local government elections?	57
Which party would you vote for, if you had to?	57

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party