

FSD1057

Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1994

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1994 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (20.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1057 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies(EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus: Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1994 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-20). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1057>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	81
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	81
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	88
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	95

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Titles and data version: Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1994

Titles and data version in Finnish: EVAn EU-asennetutkimus kevät 1994

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (20.7.2018) of the data.

1.2 Subject description

Authoring entity

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies(EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Copyright statement for the data

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Date of deposit

1.6.2001

Keywords

European integration; European Union; Finland; membership

Topic Classification

Fields of Science Classification: social sciences

CESSDA Classification: government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

Abstract

The survey charted Finnish expectations of what kind of impact the EU membership would have on Finland. It also contains the citizens' estimates of both the Finnish influence as a member of the Union and issues Finland should be able to influence in the EU.

The respondents were first asked whether Finland should join the EU, how well they were acquainted with the membership negotiation results, and how pleased they were about the results. The respondents were asked to tell how they would feel about the idea that the major principles of the Maastricht Treaty would eventually be carried out in Finland. In addition, the respondents were asked to estimate what kind of an effect the eventual Finnish membership in the EU would have on living conditions, living standard, price and wage level, social security, employment, culture, science, legal protection, equality between men and women, situation of various population groups in Finland, internal and external safety, and the respondents' own lives. In addition, they were asked to take a stand on various propositions that had been expounded in public about the EU. In conclusion, views were probed on the respondents' major sources of information on EU matters, and the reliability of these sources.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, age group, size of municipality of residence, province of residence, education, occupational group, industry of employment, trade union membership, and which party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at that time.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographic coverage: Finland

Analysis or observation unit type: Individual

Universe: Finnish population aged 18-70 years, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 30.3.1994 – 6.5.1994

Data collector(s): Yhdyskuntatutkimus

Data producer(s): Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

Mode of data collection: Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 1994

Time method of the data collection: Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

Response rate: 47,3%

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 161 variables and 1891 cases.

Sampling procedure: Probability: Simple random

The number of questionnaires posted was 4000, of which 1891 were returned. The randomly drawn sample was representative of the Finnish population aged 18 - 70 in terms of major demographic, social and regional factors.

1.4 Use of data

Related publications

Haikonen, Jyrki & Kiljunen, Pentti (2003). *Mitä mieltä, suomalainen? EVAn asennetutkimuksien kertomaa vuosilta 1984-2003*. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti.

Suomalaisten EU-kannanotot: kevät 1994 (1994). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

Tuokko, Katja (2012) *From Left to West: The position of Vasemmistoliitto on European integration in 1990 - 1998*. Poliittisen historian pro gradu -tutkielma. Helsingin yliopisto. hdl:10138/37140

Updated list of publications in the study description at

https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1057?lang=en&study_language=en

1. Study description

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1891
minimum	1057.00
maximum	1057.00
mean	1057.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1891
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_ID] FSD case id

Question

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1891
minimum	1.00
maximum	1891.00
mean	946.00
standard deviation	546.03

[Q1] Do you think Finland should join the EU?

Question

Do you think Finland should join the EU?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	7	0.4	–
yes	1	752	39.8	39.9
no	2	705	37.3	37.4
can't say	3	427	22.6	22.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q2] How firm is your opinion on this issue?

Question

How firm is your opinion on this issue?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	10	0.5	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very firm	1	694	36.7	36.9
fairly firm	2	634	33.5	33.7
not very firm	3	394	20.8	20.9
not at all firm	4	103	5.4	5.5
can't say	5	56	3.0	3.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q3] In your opinion, the outcome of Finland's EU access negotiations is...

Question

In your opinion, the outcome of Finland's EU access negotiations is...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	12	0.6	–
very good	1	25	1.3	1.3
good	2	209	11.1	11.1
satisfactory	3	630	33.3	33.5
not very good	4	337	17.8	17.9
bad	5	299	15.8	15.9
very bad	6	133	7.0	7.1
can't say	7	246	13.0	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q4] How well informed are you about the contents of Finland's EU access agreement?

Question

How well informed are you about the contents of Finland's EU access agreement?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	10	0.5	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very well	1	50	2.6	2.7
fairly well	2	752	39.8	40.0
not very well	3	764	40.4	40.6
not at all well	4	226	12.0	12.0
can't say	5	89	4.7	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q5] How interested are you of Finland's EU membership and European integration?

Question

How interested are you of Finland's EU membership and European integration?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	15	0.8	–
very interested, follow actively	1	468	24.7	24.9
fairly interested, follow to some extent	2	1046	55.3	55.8
not very interested, do not follow much	3	301	15.9	16.0
not all interested, do not follow at all	4	36	1.9	1.9
can't say	5	25	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q6] How well informed do you feel of such matters?

Question

How well informed do you feel of such matters?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	1.4	–
very well informed	1	64	3.4	3.4
fairly well informed	2	873	46.2	46.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not very well informed	3	711	37.6	38.1
not at all well informed	4	150	7.9	8.0
can't say	5	66	3.5	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q7] How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum?

Question

How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	17	0.9	–
I will certainly vote	1	1281	67.7	68.4
I am fairly certain I will vote	2	366	19.4	19.5
perhaps I will vote, perhaps not	3	158	8.4	8.4
I am fairly certain I will not vote	4	24	1.3	1.3
I will certainly not vote	5	19	1.0	1.0
can't say	6	26	1.4	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q8] In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU in the next few years?

Question

In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU in the next few years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	0.7	–
will definitely join the EU	1	213	11.3	11.3
very likely	2	689	36.4	36.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
likely	3	618	32.7	32.9
unlikely	4	143	7.6	7.6
very unlikely	5	70	3.7	3.7
not going to happen	6	45	2.4	2.4
can't say	7	99	5.2	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common foreign policy

Question

Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	2.5	–
very positive	1	117	6.2	6.3
fairly positive	2	688	36.4	37.3
fairly negative	3	536	28.3	29.1
very negative	4	305	16.1	16.5
can't say	5	197	10.4	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Question

Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	2.6	–
very positive	1	274	14.5	14.9
fairly positive	2	659	34.8	35.8
fairly negative	3	428	22.6	23.2
very negative	4	333	17.6	18.1
can't say	5	147	7.8	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common economic policy

Question

Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common economic policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	2.9	–
very positive	1	210	11.1	11.4
fairly positive	2	738	39.0	40.2
fairly negative	3	439	23.2	23.9
very negative	4	273	14.4	14.9
can't say	5	176	9.3	9.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)

Question

Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	2.9	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very positive	1	224	11.8	12.2
fairly positive	2	466	24.6	25.4
fairly negative	3	443	23.4	24.1
very negative	4	506	26.8	27.5
can't say	5	198	10.5	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Citizenship of the Union (in addition to national citizenship)

Question

Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Citizenship of the Union (in addition to national citizenship)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	2.6	–
very positive	1	299	15.8	16.2
fairly positive	2	565	29.9	30.7
fairly negative	3	378	20.0	20.5
very negative	4	400	21.2	21.7
can't say	5	200	10.6	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_1] Effects of Finland's EU membership: General living conditions in Finland

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: General living conditions in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	130	6.9	–
positive impact	1	411	21.7	23.3
no impact	2	755	39.9	42.9
negative impact	3	424	22.4	24.1
can't say	4	171	9.0	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_2] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Standard of living and wealth

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Standard of living and wealth

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	101	5.3	–
positive impact	1	343	18.1	19.2
no impact	2	861	45.5	48.1
negative impact	3	409	21.6	22.8
can't say	4	177	9.4	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_3] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Development of the Finnish economy

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Development of the Finnish economy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	95	5.0	–
positive impact	1	569	30.1	31.7
no impact	2	669	35.4	37.2
negative impact	3	296	15.7	16.5
can't say	4	262	13.9	14.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_4] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Employment

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	79	4.2	–
positive impact	1	412	21.8	22.7
no impact	2	736	38.9	40.6
negative impact	3	501	26.5	27.6
can't say	4	163	8.6	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_5] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Export and foreign trade

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Export and foreign trade

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.5	–
positive impact	1	1183	62.6	65.5
no impact	2	381	20.1	21.1
negative impact	3	97	5.1	5.4
can't say	4	145	7.7	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_6] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Business life in general

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Business life in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
positive impact	1	919	48.6	50.9
no impact	2	566	29.9	31.4
negative impact	3	130	6.9	7.2
can't say	4	190	10.0	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_7] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Competition in business life**Question**

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Competition in business life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
positive impact	1	943	49.9	52.0
no impact	2	424	22.4	23.4
negative impact	3	245	13.0	13.5
can't say	4	201	10.6	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_8] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Interest level**Question**

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Interest level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	88	4.7	–
positive impact	1	508	26.9	28.2
no impact	2	698	36.9	38.7
negative impact	3	180	9.5	10.0
can't say	4	417	22.1	23.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_9] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Tax rate

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Tax rate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	440	23.3	24.3
no impact	2	733	38.8	40.5
negative impact	3	323	17.1	17.9
can't say	4	313	16.6	17.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_10] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Level of wages

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Level of wages

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.4	–
positive impact	1	162	8.6	9.0
no impact	2	823	43.5	45.5
negative impact	3	605	32.0	33.5
can't say	4	218	11.5	12.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_11] Effects of Finland's EU membership: General price level**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: General price level***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.4	–
positive impact	1	791	41.8	43.8
no impact	2	702	37.1	38.8
negative impact	3	172	9.1	9.5
can't say	4	143	7.6	7.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_12] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Prices of food products**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Prices of food products***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
positive impact	1	897	47.4	49.5
no impact	2	661	35.0	36.5
negative impact	3	129	6.8	7.1
can't say	4	126	6.7	6.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_13] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Quality of food products**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Quality of food products***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
positive impact	1	207	10.9	11.4
no impact	2	616	32.6	34.0
negative impact	3	854	45.2	47.1
can't say	4	136	7.2	7.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_14] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Cultural life

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Cultural life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
positive impact	1	523	27.7	29.0
no impact	2	816	43.2	45.2
negative impact	3	204	10.8	11.3
can't say	4	262	13.9	14.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_15] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Education

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	532	28.1	29.4
no impact	2	858	45.4	47.4
negative impact	3	233	12.3	12.9
can't say	4	186	9.8	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_16] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Science and research**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Science and research***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	92	4.9	–
positive impact	1	907	48.0	50.4
no impact	2	544	28.8	30.2
negative impact	3	95	5.0	5.3
can't say	4	253	13.4	14.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_17] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Social security**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Social security***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	73	3.9	–
positive impact	1	58	3.1	3.2
no impact	2	609	32.2	33.5
negative impact	3	973	51.5	53.5
can't say	4	178	9.4	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_18] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Public health services**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Public health services***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	79	4.2	–
positive impact	1	65	3.4	3.6
no impact	2	859	45.4	47.4
negative impact	3	723	38.2	39.9
can't say	4	165	8.7	9.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_19] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Work environment and working conditions

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Work environment and working conditions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	142	7.5	7.8
no impact	2	1041	55.1	57.5
negative impact	3	425	22.5	23.5
can't say	4	201	10.6	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_20] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Environmental protection

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Environmental protection

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	607	32.1	33.6
no impact	2	687	36.3	38.0
negative impact	3	318	16.8	17.6
can't say	4	197	10.4	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_21] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differences in the standard of living between different population groups

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differences in the standard of living between different population groups

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.3	–
positive impact	1	100	5.3	5.5
no impact	2	661	35.0	36.5
negative impact	3	839	44.4	46.4
can't say	4	210	11.1	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_22] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differences in the standard of living between different regions

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differences in the standard of living between different regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	134	7.1	7.4
no impact	2	654	34.6	36.2
negative impact	3	806	42.6	44.6
can't say	4	215	11.4	11.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_23] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Legal protection of Finnish citizens

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Legal protection of Finnish citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.4	–
positive impact	1	252	13.3	13.9
no impact	2	918	48.5	50.8
negative impact	3	416	22.0	23.0
can't say	4	222	11.7	12.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_24] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Gender equality

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Gender equality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	87	4.6	–
positive impact	1	154	8.1	8.5
no impact	2	1051	55.6	58.3
negative impact	3	395	20.9	21.9
can't say	4	204	10.8	11.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_25] Effects of Finland's EU membership: National autonomy

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: National autonomy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.3	–
positive impact	1	56	3.0	3.1
no impact	2	472	25.0	26.1
negative impact	3	1103	58.3	60.9
can't say	4	179	9.5	9.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_26] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's security

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.5	–
positive impact	1	522	27.6	28.9
no impact	2	507	26.8	28.1
negative impact	3	551	29.1	30.5
can't say	4	226	12.0	12.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_27] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Relations between Russia and Finland

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Relations between Russia and Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	84	4.4	–
positive impact	1	135	7.1	7.5
no impact	2	754	39.9	41.7
negative impact	3	637	33.7	35.3
can't say	4	281	14.9	15.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_28] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Nordic cooperation

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Nordic cooperation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	87	4.6	–
positive impact	1	576	30.5	31.9
no impact	2	881	46.6	48.8
negative impact	3	199	10.5	11.0
can't say	4	148	7.8	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_29] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Image of Finland

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Image of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	847	44.8	46.8
no impact	2	670	35.4	37.0
negative impact	3	106	5.6	5.9
can't say	4	186	9.8	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_30] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland as an investment target

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland as an investment target

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.5	–
positive impact	1	816	43.2	45.2
no impact	2	545	28.8	30.2
negative impact	3	167	8.8	9.2
can't say	4	278	14.7	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_31] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's spiritual climate

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's spiritual climate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.4	–
positive impact	1	406	21.5	22.5
no impact	2	674	35.6	37.3
negative impact	3	480	25.4	26.5
can't say	4	248	13.1	13.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_32] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Morals of the society

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Morals of the society

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
positive impact	1	172	9.1	9.5
no impact	2	793	41.9	43.9
negative impact	3	552	29.2	30.6
can't say	4	288	15.2	16.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_33] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Functioning of democracy

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Functioning of democracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	87	4.6	–
positive impact	1	177	9.4	9.8
no impact	2	769	40.7	42.6
negative impact	3	584	30.9	32.4
can't say	4	274	14.5	15.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_34] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Citizens' chances to influence decisions

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Citizens' chances to influence decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	88	4.7	–
positive impact	1	132	7.0	7.3
no impact	2	667	35.3	37.0
negative impact	3	816	43.2	45.3
can't say	4	188	9.9	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_35] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Crime rate**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Crime rate***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.3	–
positive impact	1	109	5.8	6.0
no impact	2	353	18.7	19.5
negative impact	3	1165	61.6	64.4
can't say	4	183	9.7	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_36] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Degree of bureaucracy**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Degree of bureaucracy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
positive impact	1	125	6.6	6.9
no impact	2	375	19.8	20.8
negative impact	3	1032	54.6	57.2
can't say	4	273	14.4	15.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_37] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Religious/spiritual life**Question***Effects of Finland's EU membership: Religious/spiritual life*

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
positive impact	1	90	4.8	5.0
no impact	2	1156	61.1	63.9
negative impact	3	192	10.2	10.6
can't say	4	371	19.6	20.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_38] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of salaried employees**Question**

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of salaried employees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	89	4.7	–
positive impact	1	306	16.2	17.0
no impact	2	962	50.9	53.4
negative impact	3	241	12.7	13.4
can't say	4	293	15.5	16.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_39] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of workers**Question**

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of workers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	88	4.7	–
positive impact	1	209	11.1	11.6
no impact	2	843	44.6	46.8
negative impact	3	538	28.5	29.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	4	213	11.3	11.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_40] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of farmers and their families

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of farmers and their families

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	84	4.4	–
positive impact	1	123	6.5	6.8
no impact	2	344	18.2	19.0
negative impact	3	1141	60.3	63.1
can't say	4	199	10.5	11.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_41] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of retired persons

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of retired persons

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
positive impact	1	54	2.9	3.0
no impact	2	785	41.5	43.5
negative impact	3	717	37.9	39.7
can't say	4	249	13.2	13.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_42] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	84	4.4	–
positive impact	1	757	40.0	41.9
no impact	2	574	30.4	31.8
negative impact	3	243	12.9	13.4
can't say	4	233	12.3	12.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_43] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of children and families with children

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of children and families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	88	4.7	–
positive impact	1	89	4.7	4.9
no impact	2	866	45.8	48.0
negative impact	3	592	31.3	32.8
can't say	4	256	13.5	14.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_44] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of women

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.5	–
positive impact	1	131	6.9	7.3
no impact	2	1011	53.5	56.0
negative impact	3	423	22.4	23.4
can't say	4	241	12.7	13.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q10_45] Effects of Finland's EU membership: Your own status and life in general

Question

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Your own status and life in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
positive impact	1	303	16.0	16.7
no impact	2	900	47.6	49.6
negative impact	3	400	21.2	22.1
can't say	4	210	11.1	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_1] Even though joining the EU may mean rough times for Finland, our lives would be even more difficult outside the Union

Question

Even though joining the EU may mean rough times for Finland, our lives would be even more difficult outside the Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	473	25.0	26.1
agree	2	454	24.0	25.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	419	22.2	23.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	272	14.4	15.0
strongly disagree	5	196	10.4	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_2] Instead of joining the EU, Finland should promote closer co-operation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union

Question

Instead of joining the EU, Finland should promote closer co-operation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	47	2.5	2.6
agree	2	152	8.0	8.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	388	20.5	21.4
disagree	4	475	25.1	26.2
strongly disagree	5	748	39.6	41.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_3] There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EU, since even without EU membership our agriculture must be reformed

Question

There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EU, since even without EU membership our agriculture must be reformed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	498	26.3	27.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	535	28.3	29.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	286	15.1	15.8
disagree	4	300	15.9	16.5
strongly disagree	5	194	10.3	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_4] In recent times, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that adhering to it makes no sense any more

Question

In recent times, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that adhering to it makes no sense any more

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	298	15.8	16.5
agree	2	495	26.2	27.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	368	19.5	20.3
disagree	4	361	19.1	20.0
strongly disagree	5	287	15.2	15.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_5] Finland's EU membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy our traditional way of life

Question

Finland's EU membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy our traditional way of life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	80	4.2	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	241	12.7	13.3
agree	2	309	16.3	17.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	368	19.5	20.3
disagree	4	489	25.9	27.0
strongly disagree	5	404	21.4	22.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_6] It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered

Question

It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	81	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	400	21.2	22.1
agree	2	510	27.0	28.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	205	10.8	11.3
disagree	4	379	20.0	20.9
strongly disagree	5	316	16.7	17.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_7] EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Question

EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	80	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	492	26.0	27.2
agree	2	489	25.9	27.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	451	23.8	24.9
disagree	4	299	15.8	16.5
strongly disagree	5	80	4.2	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_8] EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Question

EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	438	23.2	24.2
agree	2	285	15.1	15.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	299	15.8	16.5
disagree	4	357	18.9	19.7
strongly disagree	5	430	22.7	23.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_9] Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU states cooperate

Question

Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU states cooperate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	80	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	456	24.1	25.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	548	29.0	30.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	345	18.2	19.1
disagree	4	299	15.8	16.5
strongly disagree	5	163	8.6	9.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_10] Officials and politicians who promote EU membership think solely about the interests of commerce and industry and forget the interests of ordinary citizens

Question

Officials and politicians who promote EU membership think solely about the interests of commerce and industry and forget the interests of ordinary citizens

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	522	27.6	28.8
agree	2	492	26.0	27.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	347	18.4	19.1
disagree	4	345	18.2	19.0
strongly disagree	5	108	5.7	6.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_11] The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Question

The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	457	24.2	25.2
agree	2	263	13.9	14.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	501	26.5	27.6
disagree	4	390	20.6	21.5
strongly disagree	5	202	10.7	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_12] Finland should decide independently whether to joint EU or not, without paying attention to what other Nordic applicant countries decide

Question

Finland should decide independently whether to joint EU or not, without paying attention to what other Nordic applicant countries decide

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	767	40.6	42.3
agree	2	472	25.0	26.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	197	10.4	10.9
disagree	4	292	15.4	16.1
strongly disagree	5	86	4.5	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_13] EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Question

EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	80	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	671	35.5	37.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	351	18.6	19.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	561	29.7	31.0
disagree	4	172	9.1	9.5
strongly disagree	5	56	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_14] There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to EU membership

Question

There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to EU membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	78	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	203	10.7	11.2
agree	2	293	15.5	16.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	304	16.1	16.8
disagree	4	552	29.2	30.4
strongly disagree	5	461	24.4	25.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_15] In many EU countries, social security is as good as or better than in Finland

Question

In many EU countries, social security is as good as or better than in Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	99	5.2	5.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	206	10.9	11.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	416	22.0	22.9
disagree	4	672	35.5	37.0
strongly disagree	5	421	22.3	23.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_16] EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement

Question

EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	79	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	355	18.8	19.6
agree	2	496	26.2	27.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	523	27.7	28.9
disagree	4	253	13.4	14.0
strongly disagree	5	185	9.8	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_17] EU membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside the home

Question

EU membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside the home

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	127	6.7	7.0
agree	2	225	11.9	12.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	541	28.6	29.8
disagree	4	529	28.0	29.2
strongly disagree	5	392	20.7	21.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_18] The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts the publication of anti-EU material

Question

The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts the publication of anti-EU material

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	79	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	348	18.4	19.2
agree	2	401	21.2	22.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	597	31.6	32.9
disagree	4	308	16.3	17.0
strongly disagree	5	158	8.4	8.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_19] In the future, EU's development will become slower and more difficult

Question

In the future, EU's development will become slower and more difficult

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	80	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	291	15.4	16.1
agree	2	526	27.8	29.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	797	42.1	44.0
disagree	4	155	8.2	8.6
strongly disagree	5	42	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_20] Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member

Question

Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.4	–
strongly agree	1	481	25.4	26.6
agree	2	510	27.0	28.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	287	15.2	15.9
disagree	4	289	15.3	16.0
strongly disagree	5	241	12.7	13.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_21] The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades

Question

The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	89	4.7	–
strongly agree	1	1001	52.9	55.5
agree	2	413	21.8	22.9
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	210	11.1	11.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	88	4.7	4.9
strongly disagree	5	90	4.8	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_22] EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country

Question

EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	84	4.4	–
strongly agree	1	336	17.8	18.6
agree	2	484	25.6	26.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	654	34.6	36.2
disagree	4	246	13.0	13.6
strongly disagree	5	87	4.6	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_23] In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture

Question

In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	82	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	642	34.0	35.5
agree	2	501	26.5	27.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	214	11.3	11.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	267	14.1	14.8
strongly disagree	5	185	9.8	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_24] Finland should not allow unrestricted sale of summer cottages and coastal sites to foreigners

Question

Finland should not allow unrestricted sale of summer cottages and coastal sites to foreigners

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.5	–
strongly agree	1	540	28.6	29.9
agree	2	428	22.6	23.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	226	12.0	12.5
disagree	4	384	20.3	21.3
strongly disagree	5	228	12.1	12.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_25] Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for Finland's poorest regions

Question

Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for Finland's poorest regions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	77	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	127	6.7	7.0
agree	2	385	20.4	21.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	648	34.3	35.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	413	21.8	22.8
strongly disagree	5	241	12.7	13.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_26] The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not economy or the level of our autonomy but whether we want to be a part of the west or the east

Question

The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not economy or the level of our autonomy but whether we want to be a part of the west or the east

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	83	4.4	–
strongly agree	1	263	13.9	14.5
agree	2	432	22.8	23.9
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	460	24.3	25.4
disagree	4	363	19.2	20.1
strongly disagree	5	290	15.3	16.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_27] If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence major working life issues on an international level

Question

If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence major working life issues on an international level

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	97	5.1	5.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	294	15.5	16.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	711	37.6	38.7
disagree	4	517	27.3	28.1
strongly disagree	5	220	11.6	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_28] Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland would be more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union

Question

Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland would be more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	496	26.2	27.0
agree	2	506	26.8	27.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	427	22.6	23.2
disagree	4	229	12.1	12.5
strongly disagree	5	181	9.6	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_29] If Finland does not join EU, Finnish export industry will suffer setbacks in its primary market area

Question

If Finland does not join EU, Finnish export industry will suffer setbacks in its primary market area

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	2.6	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	450	23.8	24.4
agree	2	525	27.8	28.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	428	22.6	23.2
disagree	4	329	17.4	17.9
strongly disagree	5	109	5.8	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_30] Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region

Question

Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	60	3.2	–
strongly agree	1	223	11.8	12.2
agree	2	207	10.9	11.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	447	23.6	24.4
disagree	4	490	25.9	26.8
strongly disagree	5	464	24.5	25.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_31] As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border

Question

As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	64	3.4	–
strongly agree	1	269	14.2	14.7
agree	2	376	19.9	20.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	560	29.6	30.7
disagree	4	424	22.4	23.2
strongly disagree	5	198	10.5	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_32] Finland should take 'time-out' in the EU membership question and wait to see in what direction EU will develop

Question

Finland should take 'time-out' in the EU membership question and wait to see in what direction EU will develop

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	3.0	–
strongly agree	1	546	28.9	29.8
agree	2	351	18.6	19.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	306	16.2	16.7
disagree	4	315	16.7	17.2
strongly disagree	5	317	16.8	17.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_33] EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from the recession

Question

EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from the recession

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	278	14.7	15.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	477	25.2	26.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	493	26.1	26.8
disagree	4	351	18.6	19.1
strongly disagree	5	238	12.6	13.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_34] Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us

Question

Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	579	30.6	31.5
agree	2	576	30.5	31.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	244	12.9	13.3
disagree	4	332	17.6	18.1
strongly disagree	5	106	5.6	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_35] In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be the Far East and other areas of strong economic growth, not the declining EU Europe

Question

In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be the Far East and other areas of strong economic growth, not the declining EU Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	283	15.0	15.4
agree	2	470	24.9	25.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	634	33.5	34.5
disagree	4	369	19.5	20.1
strongly disagree	5	82	4.3	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_36] Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development

Question

Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	240	12.7	13.1
agree	2	600	31.7	32.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	455	24.1	24.7
disagree	4	352	18.6	19.1
strongly disagree	5	192	10.2	10.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_37] In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU

Question

In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	2.9	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	165	8.7	9.0
agree	2	588	31.1	32.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	684	36.2	37.3
disagree	4	264	14.0	14.4
strongly disagree	5	135	7.1	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_38] Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost

Question

Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	499	26.4	27.1
agree	2	562	29.7	30.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	287	15.2	15.6
disagree	4	343	18.1	18.7
strongly disagree	5	147	7.8	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_39] If Finland were to remain outside the Union, it would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from the Western Europe

Question

If Finland were to remain outside the Union, it would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from the Western Europe

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	500	26.4	27.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	480	25.4	26.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	357	18.9	19.4
disagree	4	312	16.5	17.0
strongly disagree	5	188	9.9	10.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_40] As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

Question

As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	2.8	–
strongly agree	1	370	19.6	20.1
agree	2	640	33.8	34.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	598	31.6	32.5
disagree	4	169	8.9	9.2
strongly disagree	5	61	3.2	3.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_41] Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to EU decisions and regulations

Question

Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to EU decisions and regulations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	3.0	–
strongly agree	1	314	16.6	17.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	800	42.3	43.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	492	26.0	26.8
disagree	4	165	8.7	9.0
strongly disagree	5	64	3.4	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_42] Whether Finland benefits from the EU membership or not is ultimately a question of faith and no-one can know for sure

Question

Whether Finland benefits from the EU membership or not is ultimately a question of faith and no-one can know for sure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	57	3.0	–
strongly agree	1	409	21.6	22.3
agree	2	527	27.9	28.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	353	18.7	19.2
disagree	4	349	18.5	19.0
strongly disagree	5	196	10.4	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_43] EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries if it were an EU member

Question

EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries if it were an EU member

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	155	8.2	8.4
agree	2	418	22.1	22.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	806	42.6	43.8
disagree	4	310	16.4	16.9
strongly disagree	5	150	7.9	8.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_44] EU membership would give Finland the best chance to maintain adequate social security

Question

EU membership would give Finland the best chance to maintain adequate social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	72	3.8	3.9
agree	2	216	11.4	11.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	567	30.0	30.9
disagree	4	619	32.7	33.7
strongly disagree	5	362	19.1	19.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_45] As a small country, Finland would, in practice, have no chance to influence EU decisions

Question

As a small country, Finland would, in practice, have no chance to influence EU decisions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	428	22.6	23.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	490	25.9	26.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	304	16.1	16.5
disagree	4	500	26.4	27.2
strongly disagree	5	117	6.2	6.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_46] EU membership would bring non-christian influences to Finland and would alienate Finns from their belief in God

Question

EU membership would bring non-christian influences to Finland and would alienate Finns from their belief in God

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	60	3.2	–
strongly agree	1	142	7.5	7.8
agree	2	146	7.7	8.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	514	27.2	28.1
disagree	4	462	24.4	25.2
strongly disagree	5	567	30.0	31.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_47] Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives

Question

Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	3.0	–
strongly agree	1	195	10.3	10.6
agree	2	713	37.7	38.9
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	371	19.6	20.2
disagree	4	364	19.2	19.8
strongly disagree	5	192	10.2	10.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_48] EU membership would help Finland to control international crime in our country

Question

EU membership would help Finland to control international crime in our country

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	84	4.4	4.6
agree	2	247	13.1	13.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	518	27.4	28.2
disagree	4	567	30.0	30.8
strongly disagree	5	424	22.4	23.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_49] In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army

Question

In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	310	16.4	16.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	456	24.1	24.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	528	27.9	28.8
disagree	4	358	18.9	19.5
strongly disagree	5	184	9.7	10.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_50] As an EU member, Finland would be able to decide the level of its own social security

Question

As an EU member, Finland would be able to decide the level of its own social security

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	2.9	–
strongly agree	1	170	9.0	9.3
agree	2	380	20.1	20.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	485	25.6	26.4
disagree	4	523	27.7	28.5
strongly disagree	5	279	14.8	15.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_51] Finland's negotiators deserve a proper recognition for their work, since in the EU access negotiations they achieved all that could be achieved

Question

Finland's negotiators deserve a proper recognition for their work, since in the EU access negotiations they achieved all that could be achieved

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	143	7.6	7.8

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	479	25.3	26.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	530	28.0	28.8
disagree	4	376	19.9	20.4
strongly disagree	5	311	16.4	16.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_52] If Finland joined the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs would be lost

Question

If Finland joined the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs would be lost

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	211	11.2	11.5
agree	2	433	22.9	23.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	753	39.8	40.9
disagree	4	347	18.4	18.8
strongly disagree	5	98	5.2	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_53] The outcome of the EU access negotiations gives Finland a good chance to keep its rural areas populated and viable

Question

The outcome of the EU access negotiations gives Finland a good chance to keep its rural areas populated and viable

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	128	6.8	6.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	430	22.7	23.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	583	30.8	31.7
disagree	4	412	21.8	22.4
strongly disagree	5	289	15.3	15.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_54] There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and active participation in discussions

Question

There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and active participation in discussions

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	305	16.1	16.5
agree	2	552	29.2	30.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	416	22.0	22.6
disagree	4	371	19.6	20.1
strongly disagree	5	199	10.5	10.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_55] Referendums on EU membership should be held simultaneously in all Nordic applicant countries

Question

Referendums on EU membership should be held simultaneously in all Nordic applicant countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	516	27.3	28.0
agree	2	395	20.9	21.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	486	25.7	26.4
disagree	4	272	14.4	14.8
strongly disagree	5	173	9.1	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q11_56] The media will have a decisive influence on the outcome of the EU membership referendum

Question

The media will have a decisive influence on the outcome of the EU membership referendum

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	47	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	758	40.1	41.1
agree	2	743	39.3	40.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	214	11.3	11.6
disagree	4	88	4.7	4.8
strongly disagree	5	41	2.2	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_1] Importance as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2)

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	75	4.0	–
very important	1	860	45.5	47.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
somewhat important	2	741	39.2	40.8
not very / not at all important	3	164	8.7	9.0
can't say	4	51	2.7	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_2] Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE)

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	101	5.3	–
very important	1	605	32.0	33.8
somewhat important	2	782	41.4	43.7
not very / not at all important	3	313	16.6	17.5
can't say	4	90	4.8	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_3] Importance as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	105	5.6	–
very important	1	580	30.7	32.5
somewhat important	2	758	40.1	42.4
not very / not at all important	3	371	19.6	20.8
can't say	4	77	4.1	4.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_4] Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakkonen (TV2, YLE)

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakkonen (TV2, YLE)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	115	6.1	–
very important	1	455	24.1	25.6
somewhat important	2	828	43.8	46.6
not very / not at all important	3	384	20.3	21.6
can't say	4	109	5.8	6.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_5] Importance as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili)

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	134	7.1	–
very important	1	331	17.5	18.8
somewhat important	2	556	29.4	31.6
not very / not at all important	3	706	37.3	40.2
can't say	4	164	8.7	9.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_6] Importance as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	123	6.5	–
very important	1	378	20.0	21.4
somewhat important	2	603	31.9	34.1
not very / not at all important	3	631	33.4	35.7
can't say	4	156	8.2	8.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_7] Importance as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	134	7.1	–
very important	1	405	21.4	23.1
somewhat important	2	391	20.7	22.3
not very / not at all important	3	678	35.9	38.6
can't say	4	283	15.0	16.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_8] Importance as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	129	6.8	–
very important	1	693	36.6	39.3
somewhat important	2	708	37.4	40.2
not very / not at all important	3	271	14.3	15.4
can't say	4	90	4.8	5.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12A_9] Importance as a source of EU information: Local radio stations

Question

Importance as a source of EU information: Local radio stations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	131	6.9	–
very important	1	173	9.1	9.8
somewhat important	2	354	18.7	20.1
not very / not at all important	3	1008	53.3	57.3
can't say	4	225	11.9	12.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_1] Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2)

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	139	7.4	–
pro-EU	1	402	21.3	22.9
impartial	2	897	47.4	51.2
anti-EU	3	32	1.7	1.8
can't say	4	421	22.3	24.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_2] Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE)**Question**

Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	169	8.9	–
pro-EU	1	301	15.9	17.5
impartial	2	769	40.7	44.7
anti-EU	3	69	3.6	4.0
can't say	4	583	30.8	33.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_3] Impartiality as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news**Question**

Impartiality as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	177	9.4	–
pro-EU	1	526	27.8	30.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
impartial	2	671	35.5	39.1
anti-EU	3	22	1.2	1.3
can't say	4	495	26.2	28.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_4] Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakkonen (TV2, YLE)

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakkonen (TV2, YLE)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	182	9.6	–
pro-EU	1	247	13.1	14.5
impartial	2	698	36.9	40.8
anti-EU	3	101	5.3	5.9
can't say	4	663	35.1	38.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_5] Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili)

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	193	10.2	–
pro-EU	1	226	12.0	13.3
impartial	2	694	36.7	40.9

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
anti-EU	3	36	1.9	2.1
can't say	4	742	39.2	43.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_6] Impartiality as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	193	10.2	–
pro-EU	1	203	10.7	12.0
impartial	2	786	41.6	46.3
anti-EU	3	22	1.2	1.3
can't say	4	687	36.3	40.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_7] Impartiality as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	185	9.8	–
pro-EU	1	560	29.6	32.8
impartial	2	350	18.5	20.5
anti-EU	3	25	1.3	1.5
can't say	4	771	40.8	45.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_8] Impartiality as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	196	10.4	–
pro-EU	1	437	23.1	25.8
impartial	2	584	30.9	34.5
anti-EU	3	139	7.4	8.2
can't say	4	535	28.3	31.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q12B_9] Impartiality as a source of EU information: Local radio stations

Question

Impartiality as a source of EU information: Local radio stations

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	198	10.5	–
pro-EU	1	185	9.8	10.9
impartial	2	346	18.3	20.4
anti-EU	3	88	4.7	5.2
can't say	4	1074	56.8	63.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_1] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Environmental regulations**Question***As an EU member Finland should focus on: Environmental regulations***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	75	4.0	–
very important	1	991	52.4	54.6
somewhat important	2	669	35.4	36.8
not very / not at all important	3	84	4.4	4.6
can't say	4	72	3.8	4.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_2] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting Nordic social security concept**Question***As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting Nordic social security concept***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	68	3.6	–
very important	1	891	47.1	48.9
somewhat important	2	699	37.0	38.3
not very / not at all important	3	142	7.5	7.8
can't say	4	91	4.8	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_3] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Status of women**Question***As an EU member Finland should focus on: Status of women***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	71	3.8	–
very important	1	679	35.9	37.3
somewhat important	2	753	39.8	41.4
not very / not at all important	3	289	15.3	15.9
can't say	4	99	5.2	5.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_4] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Improving employment

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Improving employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	63	3.3	–
very important	1	1516	80.2	82.9
somewhat important	2	251	13.3	13.7
not very / not at all important	3	20	1.1	1.1
can't say	4	41	2.2	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_5] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Honouring the principles laid down by the CSCE (Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe)

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Honouring the principles laid down by the CSCE (Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	91	4.8	–
very important	1	561	29.7	31.2
somewhat important	2	779	41.2	43.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not very / not at all important	3	236	12.5	13.1
can't say	4	224	11.8	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_6] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting the development of Russia

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting the development of Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	75	4.0	–
very important	1	406	21.5	22.4
somewhat important	2	851	45.0	46.9
not very / not at all important	3	350	18.5	19.3
can't say	4	209	11.1	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_7] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing international crime

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing international crime

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	69	3.6	–
very important	1	1411	74.6	77.4
somewhat important	2	336	17.8	18.4
not very / not at all important	3	31	1.6	1.7
can't say	4	44	2.3	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_8] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing inflation**Question***As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing inflation***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	62	3.3	–
very important	1	1107	58.5	60.5
somewhat important	2	581	30.7	31.8
not very / not at all important	3	84	4.4	4.6
can't say	4	57	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_9] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Refugee policy**Question***As an EU member Finland should focus on: Refugee policy***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	65	3.4	–
very important	1	506	26.8	27.7
somewhat important	2	764	40.4	41.8
not very / not at all important	3	385	20.4	21.1
can't say	4	171	9.0	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_10] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Helping developing countries

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Helping developing countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	69	3.6	–
very important	1	306	16.2	16.8
somewhat important	2	882	46.6	48.4
not very / not at all important	3	458	24.2	25.1
can't say	4	176	9.3	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_11] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Reducing EU bureaucracy

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Reducing EU bureaucracy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	65	3.4	–
very important	1	929	49.1	50.9
somewhat important	2	590	31.2	32.3
not very / not at all important	3	111	5.9	6.1
can't say	4	196	10.4	10.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_12] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Achieving national autonomy within the EU

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Achieving national autonomy within the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	70	3.7	–
very important	1	1208	63.9	66.3
somewhat important	2	450	23.8	24.7
not very / not at all important	3	61	3.2	3.3
can't say	4	102	5.4	5.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_13] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Sharpening Europe's competitive edge**Question**

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Sharpening Europe's competitive edge

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	79	4.2	–
very important	1	808	42.7	44.6
somewhat important	2	721	38.1	39.8
not very / not at all important	3	138	7.3	7.6
can't say	4	145	7.7	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_14] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting agricultural reforms**Question**

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting agricultural reforms

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	73	3.9	–
very important	1	647	34.2	35.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
somewhat important	2	767	40.6	42.2
not very / not at all important	3	220	11.6	12.1
can't say	4	184	9.7	10.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[Q13_15] As an EU member Finland should focus on: Something else, what?

Question

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Something else, what?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	1572	83.1	–
very important	1	237	12.5	74.3
somewhat important	2	64	3.4	20.1
not very / not at all important	3	1	0.1	0.3
can't say	4	17	0.9	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's gender

Question

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	1.9	–
male	1	1039	54.9	56.0
female	2	817	43.2	44.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's age group**Question***Respondent's age group***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.0	–
18-25 years	1	284	15.0	15.3
26-35 years	2	382	20.2	20.6
36-45 years	3	381	20.1	20.6
46-55 years	4	396	20.9	21.4
56-65 years	5	289	15.3	15.6
> 65 years	6	121	6.4	6.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Size of the respondent's municipality of residence**Question***Size of the respondent's municipality of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	2.5	–
< 4,000 inhabitants	1	146	7.7	7.9
4,000-8,000 inhabitants	2	263	13.9	14.3
8,000-30,000 inhabitants	3	594	31.4	32.2
30,000-80,000 inhabitants	4	294	15.5	16.0
> 80,000 inhabitants	5	546	28.9	29.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV4] Respondent's province of residence**Question***Respondent's province of residence***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	1.8	–
Uusimaa	1	509	26.9	27.4
Turku and Pori	2	260	13.7	14.0
Häme	3	285	15.1	15.3
Kymi	4	125	6.6	6.7
Mikkeli	5	71	3.8	3.8
Pohjois-Karjala	6	64	3.4	3.4
Kuopio	7	107	5.7	5.8
Keski-Suomi	8	88	4.7	4.7
Vaasa	9	136	7.2	7.3
Oulu	10	133	7.0	7.2
Lapland	11	79	4.2	4.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	2.8	–
no vocational education	1	305	16.1	16.6
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	336	17.8	18.3
vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	447	23.6	24.3
college level vocational qualification	4	480	25.4	26.1
university or polytechnic education	5	270	14.3	14.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Respondent's industry of employment

Question

Respondent's industry of employment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	2.7	–
agriculture, forestry, fishing	1	130	6.9	7.1
industry and construction	2	334	17.7	18.2
private services	3	301	15.9	16.4
public services	4	445	23.5	24.2
not working	5	629	33.3	34.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV7] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Question

Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	2.0	–
higher managerial occupation (employee)	1	68	3.6	3.7
lower managerial/ professional employee	2	202	10.7	10.9
intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	263	13.9	14.2
worker	4	393	20.8	21.2
entrepreneur or self-employed	5	131	6.9	7.1
farmer, agricultural occupations	6	77	4.1	4.2
student	7	182	9.6	9.8
retired	8	291	15.4	15.7
housewife	9	36	1.9	1.9
unemployed	10	181	9.6	9.8
other	11	29	1.5	1.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV8] Does your workplace export products or services abroad?

Question

Does your workplace export products or services abroad?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	86	4.5	–
not at all	1	686	36.3	38.0
to some extent	2	304	16.1	16.8
to a large extent	3	204	10.8	11.3
not working	4	611	32.3	33.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV9] Is your employer...(company / state..)

Question

Is your employer...(company / state..)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	123	6.5	–
company, less than 50 employed	1	264	14.0	14.9
company, 50 - 500 employed	2	214	11.3	12.1
company, more than 500 employed	3	171	9.0	9.7
state, government agency/department	4	137	7.2	7.7
municipality, municipal federation	5	209	11.1	11.8
other	6	120	6.3	6.8
not working	7	653	34.5	36.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Respondent's trade union membership

Question

Respondent's trade union membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	85	4.5	–
no	1	731	38.7	40.5
yes	2	50	2.6	2.8
SAK (The Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	458	24.2	25.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
STTK (The Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	285	15.1	15.8
Akava (Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	208	11.0	11.5
MTK (Central Union of Agric. Producers and Forest Owners)	6	74	3.9	4.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

[BV11] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	2.6	–
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	1	228	12.1	12.4
National Coalition Party (KOK)	2	263	13.9	14.3
Liberal Party (LKP)	3	13	0.7	0.7
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	4	47	2.5	2.6
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	5	432	22.8	23.5
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	6	24	1.3	1.3
Finnish Rural Party (SMP)	7	12	0.6	0.7
Left Alliance (Vasemmistoliitto)	8	79	4.2	4.3
Green League (Vihreät)	9	78	4.1	4.2
some other party / group	10	25	1.3	1.4
wouldn't vote	11	71	3.8	3.9
can't say	12	309	16.3	16.8
don't want to say	13	260	13.7	14.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1891	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Do you think Finland should join the EU? [Q1]	6
How firm is your opinion on this issue? [Q2]	6
In your opinion, the outcome of Finland's EU access negotiations is... [Q3]	7
How well informed are you about the contents of Finland's EU access agreement? [Q4]	7
How interested are you of Finland's EU membership and European integration? [Q5]	8
How well informed do you feel of such matters? [Q6]	8
How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum? [Q7]	9
In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU in the next few years? [Q8]	9
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common foreign policy [Q9_1]	10
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy [Q9_2]	10
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common economic policy [Q9_3]	11
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu) [Q9_4]	11
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Citizenship of the Union (in addition to national citizenship) [Q9_5]	12
Effects of Finland's EU membership: General living conditions in Finland [Q10_1]	12
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Standard of living and wealth [Q10_2]	13
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Development of the Finnish economy [Q10_3]	13

3. Indexes

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Employment [Q10_4]	14
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Export and foreign trade [Q10_5]	14
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Business life in general [Q10_6]	14
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Competition in business life [Q10_7]	15
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Interest level [Q10_8]	15
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Tax rate [Q10_9]	16
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Level of wages [Q10_10]	16
Effects of Finland's EU membership: General price level [Q10_11]	17
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Prices of food products [Q10_12]	17
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Quality of food products [Q10_13]	17
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Cultural life [Q10_14]	18
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Education [Q10_15]	18
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Science and research [Q10_16]	19
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Social security [Q10_17]	19
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Public health services [Q10_18]	19
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Work environment and working conditions [Q10_19]	20
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Environmental protection [Q10_20]	20
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differences in the standard of living between different population groups [Q10_21]	21
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differences in the standard of living between different regions [Q10_22]	21
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Legal protection of Finnish citizens [Q10_23]	22
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Gender equality [Q10_24]	22
Effects of Finland's EU membership: National autonomy [Q10_25]	22
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's security [Q10_26]	23
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Relations between Russia and Finland [Q10_27]	23
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Nordic cooperation [Q10_28]	24
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Image of Finland [Q10_29]	24
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland as an investment target [Q10_30]	25
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's spiritual climate [Q10_31]	25
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Morals of the society [Q10_32]	25
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Functioning of democracy [Q10_33]	26
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Citizens' chances to influence decisions [Q10_34] ...	26
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Crime rate [Q10_35]	27
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Degree of bureaucracy [Q10_36]	27

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Religious/spiritual life [Q10_37]	27
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of salaried employees [Q10_38]	28
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of workers [Q10_39]	28
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of farmers and their families [Q10_40]	29
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of retired persons [Q10_41]	29
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons [Q10_42]	30
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of children and families with children [Q10_43]	30
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of women [Q10_44]	30
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Your own status and life in general [Q10_45]	31
Even though joining the EU may mean rough times for Finland, our lives would be even more difficult outside the Union [Q11_1]	31
Instead of joining the EU, Finland should promote closer co-operation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union [Q11_2]	32
There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EU, since even without EU membership our agriculture must be reformed [Q11_3]	32
In recent times, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that adhering to it makes no sense any more [Q11_4]	33
Finland's EU membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy our traditional way of life [Q11_5]	33
It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered [Q11_6]	34
EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need [Q11_7]	34
EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland [Q11_8]	35
Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU states cooperate [Q11_9]	35
Officials and politicians who promote EU membership think solely about the interests of commerce and industry and forget the interests of ordinary citizens [Q11_10]	36
The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration [Q11_11]	36
Finland should decide independently whether to join EU or not, without paying attention to what other Nordic applicant countries decide [Q11_12]	37
EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership [Q11_13]	37
There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to EU membership [Q11_14]	38
In many EU countries, social security is as good as or better than in Finland [Q11_15]	38

3. Indexes

EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement [Q11_16]	39
EU membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside the home [Q11_17]	39
The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts the publication of anti-EU material [Q11_18]	40
In the future, EU's development will become slower and more difficult [Q11_19]	40
Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member [Q11_20]	41
The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades [Q11_21]	41
EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country [Q11_22]	42
In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agriculture [Q11_23]	42
Finland should not allow unrestricted sale of summer cottages and coastal sites to foreigners [Q11_24]	43
Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for Finland's poorest regions [Q11_25]	43
The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not economy or the level of our autonomy but whether we want to be a part of the west or the east [Q11_26]	44
If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence major working life issues on an international level [Q11_27]	44
Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland would be more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union [Q11_28]	45
If Finland does not join EU, Finnish export industry will suffer setbacks in its primary market area [Q11_29]	45
Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region [Q11_30]	46
As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border [Q11_31]	46
Finland should take 'time-out' in the EU membership question and wait to see in what direction EU will develop [Q11_32]	47
EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from the recession [Q11_33]	47
Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us [Q11_34]	48
In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be the Far East and other areas of strong economic growth, not the declining EU Europe [Q11_35]	48
Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development [Q11_36]	49
In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the	

EU [Q11_37]	49
Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost [Q11_38]	50
If Finland were to remain outside the Union, it would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from the Western Europe [Q11_39]	50
As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) [Q11_40]	51
Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to EU decisions and regulations [Q11_41]	51
Whether Finland benefits from the EU membership or not is ultimately a question of faith and no-one can know for sure [Q11_42]	52
EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries if it were an EU member [Q11_43]	52
EU membership would give Finland the best chance to maintain adequate social security [Q11_44]	53
As a small country, Finland would, in practice, have no chance to influence EU decisions [Q11_45]	53
EU membership would bring non-christian influences to Finland and would alienate Finns from their belief in God [Q11_46]	54
Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives [Q11_47]	54
EU membership would help Finland to control international crime in our country [Q11_48]	55
In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army [Q11_49]	55
As an EU member, Finland would be able to decide the level of its own social security [Q11_50]	56
Finland's negotiators deserve a proper recognition for their work, since in the EU access negotiations they achieved all that could be achieved [Q11_51]	56
If Finland joined the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs would be lost [Q11_52]	57
The outcome of the EU access negotiations gives Finland a good chance to keep its rural areas populated and viable [Q11_53]	57
There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and active participation in discussions [Q11_54]	58
Referendums on EU membership should be held simultaneously in all Nordic applicant countries [Q11_55]	58
The media will have a decisive influence on the outcome of the EU membership referendum [Q11_56]	59
Importance as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2) [Q12A_1]	59

3. Indexes

Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE) [Q12A_2]	60
Importance as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news [Q12A_3]	60
Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakko- nen (TV2, YLE) [Q12A_4]	61
Importance as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili) [Q12A_5]	61
Importance as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news [Q12A_6]	62
Importance as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat [Q12A_7]	62
Importance as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read [Q12A_8] ..	63
Importance as a source of EU information: Local radio stations [Q12A_9]	63
Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2) [Q12B_1]	63
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE) [Q12B_2]	64
Impartiality as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news [Q12B_3]	64
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakko- nen (TV2, YLE) [Q12B_4]	65
Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili) [Q12B_5]	65
Impartiality as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news [Q12B_6]	66
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat [Q12B_7]	66
Impartiality as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read [Q12B_8] ..	67
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Local radio stations [Q12B_9]	67
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Environmental regulations [Q13_1]	68
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting Nordic social security concept [Q13_2]	68
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Status of women [Q13_3]	68
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Improving employment [Q13_4]	69
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Honouring the principles laid down by the CSCE (Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe) [Q13_5]	69
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting the development of Russia [Q13_6]	70
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing international crime [Q13_7]	70
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing inflation [Q13_8]	71

As an EU member Finland should focus on: Refugee policy [Q13_9]	71
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Helping developing countries [Q13_10]	72
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Reducing EU bureaucracy [Q13_11]	72
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Achieving national autonomy within the EU [Q13_12]	72
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Sharpening Europe's competitive edge [Q13_13]	73
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting agricultural reforms [Q13_14]	73
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Something else, what? [Q13_15]	74
Respondent's gender [BV1]	74
Respondent's age group [BV2]	75
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence [BV3]	75
Respondent's province of residence [BV4]	75
Respondent's vocational education [BV5]	76
Respondent's industry of employment [BV6]	76
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV7]	77
Does your workplace export products or services abroad? [BV8]	77
Is your employer...(company / state..) [BV9]	78
Respondent's trade union membership [BV10]	78
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV11]	79

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

As a member of the EU, Finland would eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) ..	51
As a small country, Finland would, in practice, have no chance to influence EU decisions ..	53
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Achieving national autonomy within the EU ..	72
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Environmental regulations	68
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Helping developing countries	72
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Honouring the principles laid down by the CSCE (Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe)	69
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Improving employment	69
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing inflation	71
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Preventing international crime	70
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting agricultural reforms	73
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting Nordic social security concept	68
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Promoting the development of Russia	70
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Reducing EU bureaucracy	72
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Refugee policy	71
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Sharpening Europe's competitive edge	73
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Something else, what?	74
As an EU member Finland should focus on: Status of women	68
As an EU member, Finland would be able to decide the level of its own social security	56
As far as security is concerned, EU membership would not offer Finland any kind of life insurance - instead, it would increase the tension on our eastern border	46
Assistance from the EU Structural Funds would mean considerable financial aid for Finland's poorest regions	43
Being the neighbour of the unstable Russia, Finland would be more secure as a member of the EU than outside the Union	45
Do you think Finland should join the EU?	6
Does your workplace export products or services abroad?	77
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Business life in general	14
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Citizens' chances to influence decisions	26
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Competition in business life	15
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Crime rate	27
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Cultural life	18
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Degree of bureaucracy	27
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Development of the Finnish economy	13

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differencies in the standard of living between different population groups	21
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Differencies in the standard of living between different regions	21
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Education	18
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Employment	14
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Environmental protection	20
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Export and foreign trade	14
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland as an investment target	25
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's security	23
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Finland's spiritual climate	25
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Functioning of democracy	26
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Gender equality	22
Effects of Finland's EU membership: General living conditions in Finland	12
Effects of Finland's EU membership: General price level	17
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Image of Finland	24
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Interest level	15
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Legal protection of Finnish citizens	22
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Level of wages	16
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Morals of the society	25
Effects of Finland's EU membership: National autonomy	22
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Nordic cooperation	24
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Prices of food products	17
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Public health services	19
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Quality of food products	17
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Relations between Russia and Finland	23
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Religious/spiritual life	27
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Science and research	19
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Social security	19
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Standard of living and wealth	13
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of children and families with children	30
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of entrepreneurs/self-employed persons	30
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of farmers and their families	29
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of retired persons	29
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of salaried employees	28

3. Indexes

Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of women	30
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Status of workers	28
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Tax rate	16
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Work environment and working conditions	20
Effects of Finland's EU membership: Your own status and life in general	31
Efficient protection of European environment is possible only if EU states cooperate	35
EU membership application is an insult to those who fought for and won independence for Finland	35
EU membership fees are far too high compared to the advantages brought by the membership	37
EU membership gives Finland more influence over European affairs than the EEA agreement	39
EU membership will force Finns to conform to many norms and detailed regulations which we do not want or need	34
EU membership would bring non-christian influences to Finland and would alienate Finns from their belief in God	54
EU membership would force Finnish women to give up working outside the home	39
EU membership would give Finland the best chance to maintain adequate social security ..	53
EU membership would give Finland the best chance to promote the development of Russia and the Baltic countries if it were an EU member	52
EU membership would help Finland to control international crime in our country	55
EU membership would invigorate Finnish economy and speed the recovery from the recession	47
EU membership would weaken trade unions and lessen their influence in our country	42
Even if Finland remained outside the EU, it would still have to conform to EU decisions and regulations	51
Even though EU has its problems, it is still the only power that can guide and control Europe's development	49
Even though joining the EU may mean rough times for Finland, our lives would be even more difficult outside the Union	31
Even though the advantages and disadvantages of EU membership have been widely discussed, most Finns would not notice any difference in their daily lives	54
Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us	48
Finland should decide independently whether to join EU or not, without paying attention to what other Nordic applicant countries decide	37
Finland should not allow unrestricted sale of summer cottages and coastal sites to foreigners	43
Finland should take 'time-out' in the EU membership question and wait to see in what direction	

EU will develop	47
Finland will retain its national identity even as an EU member	41
Finland's EU membership is a threat to the future of my home region	46
Finland's EU membership would disrupt the peace of Finnish society and destroy our traditional way of life	33
Finland's negotiators deserve a proper recognition for their work, since in the EU access negotiations they achieved all that could be achieved	56
Finland's rural areas must remain populated, regardless of cost	50
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD study number	5
How certain are you that you will vote in the EU membership referendum?	9
How firm is your opinion on this issue?	6
How interested are you of Finland's EU membership and European integration?	8
How well informed are you about the contents of Finland's EU access agreement?	7
How well informed do you feel of such matters?	8
If Finland does not join EU, Finnish export industry will suffer setbacks in its primary market area	45
If Finland joined the EU, a significant number of public sector jobs would be lost	57
If Finland were an EU member, our trade unions would be able to influence major working life issues on an international level	44
If Finland were to remain outside the Union, it would be defined as a fringe area isolating itself from the Western Europe	50
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	79
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakko- nen (TV2, YLE)	65
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE) 64	
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Local radio stations	67
Impartiality as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news	64
Impartiality as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat	66
Impartiality as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news ..	66
Impartiality as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read	67
Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2)	63
Impartiality as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili)	65

3. Indexes

Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme Ajankohtainen kakko- nen (TV2, YLE)	61
Importance as a source of EU information: Current affairs tv programme A-studio (TV1, YLE) 60	
Importance as a source of EU information: Local radio stations	63
Importance as a source of EU information: MTV (a commercial tv company) tv news	60
Importance as a source of EU information: Newspaper Helsingin Sanomat	62
Importance as a source of EU information: STT (The Finnish News Agency) radio news ...	62
Importance as a source of EU information: The newspaper you generally read	63
Importance as a source of EU information: YLE (Finnish Broadcasting Company) tv news (TV1, TV2)	59
Importance as a source of EU information: YLE radio news (and current affairs programme Päivän peili)	61
In a military crisis EU membership would oblige Finnish young men to participate in military actions of the European army	55
In many EU countries, social security is as good as or better than in Finland	38
In recent times, the significance of neutrality has changed so much that adhering to it makes no sense any more	33
In the decision about Finland's EU membership, too much emphasis has been placed on agri- culture	42
In the future, EU's development will become slower and more difficult	40
In the future, Finland's most important export markets will be the Far East and other areas of strong economic growth, not the declining EU Europe	48
In the long run, all European countries, including the former socialist countries, will join the EU	49
In your opinion, how likely is it that Finland joins the EU in the next few years?	9
In your opinion, the outcome of Finland's EU access negotiations is... ..	7
Instead of joining the EU, Finland should promote closer co-operation with Russia and other parts of the former Soviet Union	32
Is your employer...(company / state..)	78
It does not matter whether our laws are passed in the Finnish parliament or in the EU as long as they are just and well-considered	34
Officials and politicians who promote EU membership think solely about the interests of com- merce and industry and forget the interests of ordinary citizens	36
Referendums on EU membership should be held simultaneously in all Nordic applicant coun- tries	58
Respondent's age group	75
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group	77

Respondent's gender	74
Respondent's industry of employment	76
Respondent's trade union membership	78
Respondent's vocational education	76
Respondent's province of residence	75
Size of the respondent's municipality of residence	75
The decision about EU membership is Finland's most important and far-reaching decision for decades	41
The EEA (European Economic Area) agreement is sufficient, Finland needs no deeper integration	36
The Finnish media is not objective in EU membership matters and restricts the publication of anti-EU material	40
The main issue of Finland's EU membership is not economy or the level of our autonomy but whether we want to be a part of the west or the east	44
The media will have a decisive influence on the outcome of the EU membership referendum	59
The outcome of the EU access negotiations gives Finland a good chance to keep its rural areas populated and viable	57
There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to EU membership	38
There is no point in underestimating Finland's chances to influence EU decision-making, since Finland can influence both by its vote and by making proposals and active participation in discussions	58
There is no point worrying over what will happen to Finnish agriculture in the EU, since even without EU membership our agriculture must be reformed	32
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Citizenship of the Union (in addition to national citizenship)	12
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common economic policy	11
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common foreign policy	10
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Common security and defence policy	10
Views on whether the principles of the Treaty of Maastricht could apply to Finland: Introduction of a single currency (ecu)	11
Whether Finland benefits from the EU membership or not is ultimately a question of faith and no-one can know for sure	52

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1057 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus kevät 1994

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1057 Finnish EU Attitudes Spring 1994

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>



Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

1. MAAMME EU-JÄSENYYSNEUVOTTELUT ON JUURI SAATU PÄÄTÖKSEEN. TULISIKO SUOMEN TEIDÄN MIELESTÄNNE LIITTYÄ EU:n JÄSENEKSI SAAVUTETUN NEUVOTTELUTULOKSEN MUKAISESTI?

- 1 KYLLÄ
- 2 EI
- 3 EN OSAA SANOA

5. KUINKA KIINNOSTUNUT TE HENKILÖKOHTAISESTI OLETTE MAAMME EU- JÄSENYYTEEN JA EUROOPAN YHDENTYMISKEHITYKSEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA?

- 1 ERITTÄIN KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN AKTIIVISESTI
- 2 MELKO KIINNOSTUNUT, SEURAAAN JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 VAIN VÄHÄN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN JUURI SEURAA
- 4 EN LAINKAAN KIINNOSTUNUT, EN SEURAA LAINKAAN
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

2. KUINKA VARMA MIELIPIDE/SELVÄ KANTA TEILLÄ ON ASIASTA?

- 1 TÄYSIN SELVÄ KANTA
- 2 MELKO SELVÄ KANTA
- 3 EI KOVIN SELVÄÄ KANTAA
- 4 OLEN HYVIN EPÄVARMA KANNASTANI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

6. ENTÄ KUINKA HYVIN TAI HUONOSTI KATSOTTE TUNTEVANNEN KYSEISIÄ ASIOITA? OLETTEKO NIISTÄ MIELESTÄNNE PERILLÄ ...

- 1 ERITTÄIN HYVIN
- 2 MELKO HYVIN
- 3 MELKO HUONOSTI
- 4 ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

3. KUINKA TYYTYVÄINEN OLETTE SUOMEN SAAVUTTAMAAN NEUVOTTELUTULOKSEEN? ONKO SE MIELESTÄNNE...

- 1 ERITTÄIN HYVÄ
- 2 HYVÄ
- 3 TYYDYTTÄVÄ
- 4 VÄLTÄVÄ
- 5 HUONO
- 6 ERITTÄIN HUONO
- 7 EN OSAA SANOA

7. KUN MAASSAMME JÄRJESTETÄÄN EU-KANSANÄÄNESTYS, KUINKA VARMANA PIDÄTTE SITÄ ETTÄ KÄYTTÄ ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ?

- 1 ÄÄNESTÄN VARMASTI
- 2 MELKO VARMASTI
- 3 EHKÄ ÄÄNESTÄN, EHKÄ EN
- 4 TODENNÄKÖISESTI EN ÄÄNESTÄ
- 5 EN VARMASTI ÄÄNESTÄ
- 6 EN OSAA SANOA

4. KUINKA HYVIN MIELESTÄNNE TUNNETTE NEUVOTTELUTULOKSEN SISÄLLÖN?

- 1 ERITTÄIN HYVIN
- 2 MELKO HYVIN
- 3 MELKO HUONOSTI
- 4 ERITTÄIN HUONOSTI
- 5 EN OSAA SANOA

8. KUINKA TODENNÄKÖISENÄ PIDÄTTE SITÄ, ETTÄ SUOMESTA TULEE LÄHIVUOSINA EU:n JÄSEN?

- 1 TOTEUTUU VARMASTI
- 2 HYVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 3 MELKO TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 4 EI KOVIN TODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 5 HYVIN EPÄTODENNÄKÖISESTI
- 6 EI VARMASTI TOTEUDU
- 7 EN OSAA SANOA

9. EU ON NYKYISIN PAITSI LAAJENTUMASSA OTTAMALLA UUSIA JÄSENIÄ, MYÖS TIIVISTÄMÄSSÄ JÄSENMAIDENSA VÄLISTÄ INTEGRAAATIOA ns. MAASTRICHTIN SOPIMUKSEN MUKAISESTI. SOPIMUKSEN KESKEISET PERIAATTEET ON LUETeltu SEURAAVASSA.

MITEN TE SUHTAUDUTTE SIIHEN, ETTÄ NÄMÄ PERIAATTEET TOTEUTETTAISIIN/KOSKISIVAT JOSKUS SUOMEA?

	ERITTÄIN MYÖNTEI-SESTI	MELKO MYÖNTEI-SESTI	MELKO KIELTEI-SESTI	ERITTÄIN KIELTEI-SESTI	EN OSAA SANOA
JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN ULKOPOLITIIKKA	1	2	3	4	5
YHTEINEN TURVALLISUUS- JA PUOLUSTUSPOLITIIKKA	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN TALOUSPOLITIIKKA	1	2	3	4	5
JÄSENMAIDEN YHTEINEN VALUUTTA/RAHAYKSIKÖ (ECU)	1	2	3	4	5
YHTEINEN EY-KANSALAISSUUS (OMAN MAAN KANSALAISSUUS LISÄKSI)	1	2	3	4	5

10. MILLAINEN VAIKUTUS EU- JÄSENYDELLÄ KÄSITTÄÄKSENNE OLISI SEURAAVIIN ASIOIHIN MAASSAMME?

ASIA, JONKA KANNALTA JÄSENYYDEN VAIKUTUSTA ARVIOIDAAN:	MYÖNTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS	EI OLEN- NAISTA VAI- KUTUSTA	KIELTEI- NEN VAI- KUTUS	EN OSAA SANOA
ELINOLOT MAASSAMME KOKONAISUUTENA	1	2	3	4
ELINTASO JA AINEELLINEN VAURAUUS	1	2	3	4
KANSANTALouden VAKAA KEHITYS	1	2	3	4
TYÖLLISYYSTILANNE	1	2	3	4
VIENTI JA ULKOMAANKAUPPA	1	2	3	4
YRITYSTOIMINNAN VIREYS YLEENSÄ	1	2	3	4
KILPAILU YRITYSTOIMINNASSA	1	2	3	4
KORKOTASO	1	2	3	4
VEROTUKSEN TASO	1	2	3	4
PALKKATASO	1	2	3	4
HINTATASO YLEENSÄ	1	2	3	4
ELINTARVIKKEIDEN HINTATASO	1	2	3	4
ELINTARVIKKEIDEN LAATUTASO	1	2	3	4
KULTTUURIOLOT	1	2	3	4
KOULUTUSOLOT	1	2	3	4
TIEDE JA TUTKIMUSTOIMINTA	1	2	3	4
SOSIAALITURVA	1	2	3	4
TERVEYDENHUOLTO	1	2	3	4
TYÖYMPÄRISTÖ JA TYÖOLOT	1	2	3	4
YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU	1	2	3	4
HYVINVOINTIEROT ERI VÄESTÖRYHMIEN VÄLILLÄ	1	2	3	4
HYVINVOINTIEROT MAAN ERI ALUEIDEN VÄLILLÄ	1	2	3	4
KANSALAISTEN OIKEUSTURVA	1	2	3	4
SUKUPUOLTEN TASA-ARVO	1	2	3	4
KANSALLINEN ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUS	1	2	3	4
SUOMEN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
SUOMEN SUHTEET VENÄJÄÄN	1	2	3	4
POHJOISMAINEN YHTEISTYÖ	1	2	3	4
SUOMI -KUVA ULKOMAILLA, MAAMME IMAGO	1	2	3	4
SUOMI PÄÄOMIEN SIOITUSKOHTENA	1	2	3	4
MAAN HENKINEN ILMAPIIRI	1	2	3	4
YLEINEN YHTEISKUNTAMORAALI	1	2	3	4
DEMOKRATIAN TOIMIVUUS	1	2	3	4
YKSILÖN VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUDET	1	2	3	4
RIKOLLISUUDEN MÄÄRÄ	1	2	3	4
BYROKRATIAN MÄÄRÄ	1	2	3	4
USKONNOLLINEN/ HENGELLINEN ELÄMÄ	1	2	3	4
TOIMIHENKILÖVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
TYÖNTEKIJÄVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
MAATALOUSVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
ELÄKELÄISVÄESTÖN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
YRITTÄJIEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
LASTEN JA LAPSIPERHEIDEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
NAISTEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
OMA ASEMANNE JA ELÄMÄNNE	1	2	3	4

11. SEURAAVASSA ON JOUKKO EU-KYSYMYKSESTÄ JULKISUUDESSA ESITETTYJÄ VÄITTEITÄ. OLETTEKO SAMAA VAI ERI MIELTÄ?

V Ä I T T Ä M Ä T	OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OLEN JOK-SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OLEN JOK-SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ	OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ
VAIKKA EU-JÄSENYYS VOI MERKITÄ MAALLEMME TIUKKOJA AIKOJA, VIELÄ VAIKEAMMAKSI ELÄMÄMME MUODOSTUISI EU _n ULKOPUOLELLA	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n SIJASTA SUOMEN PITÄISI HAKEUTUA TIIVIIMPÄÄN YHTEISTYÖHÖN VENÄJÄN JA MUIDEN ENTISEN NEUVOSTOLIITON OSIEN KANSSA	1	2	3	4	5
MAATALOUDESTA MUREHTIMINEN EI OLE EU-KYSYMYKSESSÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ, KOSKA MAATALOUTEMME JOUTUU VÄISTÄMÄTTÄ REMONTTIIN ILMAN EU-JÄSENYTYTTÄKIN	1	2	3	4	5
PUOLUEETOMUUDEN MERKITYS ON VIIME AIKOINA SIINÄ MÄÄRIN MUUT- TUNUT, ETTEI EHDOTON SIITÄ KIINNI PITÄMINEN OLE ENÄÄ JÄRKEVÄÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS RIKKOISI SUOMALAISEN YHTEISKUNNAN RAUHAN JA TUHOAISI PERINTEISEN ELÄMÄNMUOTOMME	1	2	3	4	5
ON OIKEASTAAN YHDENTEKEVÄÄ, SÄÄDETTÄÄNKÖ LAKEJAMME EDUSKUNNAS-SA VAIKO EU _{ssa} , KUNHAN LAIT VAIN OVAT OIKEUDENMUKAISIA JA JÄRKEVIÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTA SUOMALAISET NOUDATTAMAAN MONIA SELLAISIA NORMEJA JA YKSITYISKOHTAISIA SÄÄDÖKSIÄ, JOITA EMME HALUA EMMEKÄ TARVITSE	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENHAKEMUS ON LOUKKAUS NIITÄ KOHTAAN, JOTKA OVAT TAISTEL- LEET MAALLEMME ITSENÄISYYDEN	1	2	3	4	5
TEHOKAS YMPÄRISTÖNSUOJELU EUROOPASSA ON MAHDOLLISTA VAIN EU- MAIDEN YHTEISVOIMIN	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYTYTTÄ AJAVAT VIRKAMIEHET JA POLIITIKOT AJATTELEVAT VAIN TALOUSELÄMÄN ETUJA JA UNOHTAVAT TAVALLISTEN KANSALAISTEN EDUT	1	2	3	4	5
ETA-SOPIMUS (EUROOPAN TALOUSALUE) ON SUOMELLE AIVAN RIITTÄVÄ, SEN TIIVIIMPÄÄ INTEGRAATIOTA MAAMME EI TARVITSE	1	2	3	4	5
EU-RATKAISUSSA SUOMEN PITÄISI TEHDÄ OMA PÄÄTÖKSENSÄ RIIPPUMATTA MUIDEN JÄSENYTYTTÄ HAKENEIDEN POHJOISMAIDEN RATKAISUISTA	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n JÄSENMAKSUT OVAT AIVAN LIIAN KORKEAT JÄSENYTYDESTÄ SAATAVIIN ETUIHIN NÄHDEN	1	2	3	4	5
MAAMME EU-JÄSENYTYTEEN LIITTYVISTÄ ASIOISTA ON JOKAISEN SAATAVILLA RIITTÄVÄSTI LUOTETTAVAA TIETOA	1	2	3	4	5
MONISSA EU-MAISSA ON YHTÄ HYVÄ TAI PAREMPI SOSIAALITURVA KUIN SUOMESSA	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS ANTAA SUOMELLE ENEMMÄN VAIKUTUSVALTAA EUROOPAN ASIOISSA KUIN ETA-SOPIMUS	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS PAKOTTAISI SUOMALAISET NAISET TAKAISIN KOTIIN ANSIOTYÖSTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
MAAMME TIEDOTUSVÄLINEET EIVÄT TOIMI EU-KYSYMYKSESSÄ TASAPUO- LISESTI, VAAN RAJOITTAVAT EU-VASTAISEN AINEISTON ESILLETULOJA	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n KEHITYS HIDASTUU JA VAIKEUTUU TULEVAISUUDESSA TUNTVASTI	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMI SÄILYTTÄÄ KANSALLISEN OMALEIMAISUUTENSA EU _n JÄSENEÄKIN	1	2	3	4	5
EU-RATKAISU ON SUOMEN TÄRKEIN JA KAUASKANTOISIN LINJAVALINTA VUOSIKYMMENIIN	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS HEIKENTÄISI AMMATTIYHDISTYSLIIKKEEN ASEMAA JA VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUKSIA MAASSAMME	1	2	3	4	5
MAATALOUSASIAI PAINOTTUVAT AIVAN LIIKAA SUOMEN EU-RATKAISUSSA	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN EI PITÄISI SALLIA KESÄMÖKKIEN JA RANTATONTTIEN VAPAATA MYYNTIÄ ULKOMALAISILLE	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n RAKENNERAHASTOISTA SAATAVA TUKI MERKITSISI TUNTVUVA TALOUDELLISTA APUA MAAMME KÖYHIMMILLE ALUEILLE	1	2	3	4	5
PERUSKYSYMYYS SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYTYDESSÄ EI OLE TALOUS EIKÄ EDES ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUTEMME ASTE, VAAN SE HALUAMMEKO KUULUA ITÄÄN VAI LÄNTEEN	1	2	3	4	5

VÄITTÄMÄT

OLEN
TÄYSIN
SAMAA
MIELTÄ

OLEN JOK-
SEENKIN
SAMAA
MIELTÄ

VAIKEA
SANOJA

OLEN JOK-
SEENKIN
ERI
MIELTÄ

OLEN
TÄYSIN
ERI
MIELTÄ

EU-JÄSENYYPDEN MYÖTÄ MAAMME AY-LIIKE PÄÄSISI VAIKUTTAMAAN KANSAINVÄLISELLÄ TASOLLA TÄRKEISIIN TYÖELÄMÄN KYSYMYKSIIN	1	2	3	4	5
EPÄVAKAAN VENÄJÄN NAAPURINA SUOMEN OLISI TURVALLISEMPAA OLLA EU _n JÄSEN KUIN JÄÄDÄ EU _n ULKOPUOLELLE	1	2	3	4	5
MIKÄLI SUOMI JÄÄ EU _n ULKOPUOLELLE, VIENTITEOLLISUUTEMME ASEMA PÄÄMARKKINA-ALUEELLAAN HEIKKENEE TUNTOVASTI	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYYS ON UHKA KOTIMAAKUNTANI TULEVAISUUDELLE	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS EI OLISI SUOMELLE MIKÄÄN TURVALLISUUSPOLIITTINEN HENKI-VAKUUTUS, VAAN PÄINVASTOIN LOISI UUTTA JÄNNITYSTÄ ITÄRAJALLEMME	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN PITÄISI OTTAA AIKALISÄ EU-ASIASSA JA ODOTTAA KUNNES NÄHDÄÄN MILLAISEKSI EU KEHITTYY	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS MERKITSISI PIRISTYSRUISKETTA SUOMEN TALOUDELLE JA NOPEUTTAISI MAAMME IRTIPÄÄSYÄ LAMASTA	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN ON KAIKISSA OLOISSA KYETTÄVÄ ITSE VASTAAMAAN TURVAL- LISUUDESTAAN, MUISTA EI OLE SIINÄ APUA	1	2	3	4	5
TULEVAISUUDESSA TÄRKEIMMÄT VIENTIMARKKINAMME OVAT KAUKOIDÄN JA MUILLA VOIMAKKAAN TALOUSKASVUN ALUEILLA, EIVÄT TAANTUVASSA EU-EUROOPASSA	1	2	3	4	5
VAIKKA EU _{II} a ONKIN OMAT PULMANSÄ, SE ON JOKA TAPAUKSESSA AINOÄ VOIMA, JOKÄ VOI OHJATA JA HALLITA EUROOPAN KEHITYSTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
PITKÄLLÄ AIKÄVÄLILLÄ EU TULEE KATTAMAAN KÄYTÄNNÖSSÄ KAIKKI EUROOPAN MAAT ENTISET SOSIALISTIMAAT MUKAANLUKIEÄ	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN MAASEUTU ON PIDETTÄVÄ ASUTTUNA KUSTANNUKSISTA RIIPPUMATTA	1	2	3	4	5
MIKÄLI SUOMI JÄISI EU _n ULKOPUOLELLE, MAAMME LEIMAUTUISI LÄNSI- EUROOPASTA ERISTÄYTYVÄKSI REUNA-ALUEEKSI	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n JÄSENEÄ MAAMME LIITTYY ENNEN PITKÄÄ MYÖS JONKIN SOTILASLIITON (NATO, WEU) JÄSENEKSI	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n ULKOPUOLELLÄKIN MAAMME JOUTUISI NOUDATTAMAAN USEIMPIÄ EU _n PÄÄTÖKSIÄ JA SÄÄDÖKSIÄ	1	2	3	4	5
SE, ONKO EU-JÄSENYYS MAALLEMME HYVÄKSI VAI PAHAKSI, ON VIIME KÄDESSÄ USKON ASIA, JOSTA KENELLÄKÄÄN EI OLE VARMAÄ TIETOA	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n JÄSEÄMAANA SUOMI VOISI PARHAITEN EDISTÄÄ MYÖS VENÄJÄÄ JA BALTIAN MAIDEN KEHITYSTÄ	1	2	3	4	5
HYVÄÄ SOSIAALITURVAN YLLÄPITÄMINEN ON PARHAITEN MAHDOLLISTA EU _n JÄSENEÄ	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMELLA EI OLISI PIENENÄ MAANA KÄYTÄNNÖSSÄ MINKÄÄNLAISTA MAHDOLLISUUTTA VAIKUTTAA EU _n PÄÄTÖKSIÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS TOISI MAAHAMME EPÄKRISTILLISIÄ VAIKUTTEITA JA VIERAÄNNUTTAISI SUOMALAISET ELÄVÄSTÄ USKOSTA JUMALAÄ	1	2	3	4	5
VAIKKA EU-JÄSENYYPDEN EDUISTA JA HAITOISTA KIISTELLÄÄÄ KOVASTI, TODELLISUUDESSA SUURIN OSA SUOMALAIKISTA EI HUOMAIKSI MINKÄÄN- LAISTA MUUTOSTA OMASSA ARKIELÄMÄSSÄÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EU-JÄSENYYS AUTTAISI SUOMEÄ PITÄMÄÄÄÄ KURISSA KANSAINVÄLISTEN RIKOLLISTEN TOIMINTAA MAASSAMME	1	2	3	4	5
SOTILAÄLLISISSÄ KRIISITILANTEISSÄ EU-JÄSENYYS VELVOITTAISI SUOMA- LAIKSET NUORUKAIKSET OSALLISTUMAÄÄÄ SOTATOIMIÄÄÄ EUROARMEIJÄÄÄ RIVEISSÄ	1	2	3	4	5
EU _n JÄSENEÄÄÄ SUOMI SAISI ITSENÄISESTI MÄÄRÄTÄ SOSIAALITURVANSÄÄ TÄSON	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN EU-JÄSENYYSNEUVOTTELIJÄÄÄ ANSAITSEVÄÄÄ TÄYDEN TUNNUS- TUKEÄÄÄ TYÖSTÄÄÄÄÄ, SILLÄ HE SÄÄÄVUTTIVÄÄÄ NEUVOTTELUISSÄÄÄ KÄIKEN MIKÄÄ OLI SÄÄÄVUTETTÄVÄISSÄÄ	1	2	3	4	5
MIKÄLI SUOMI LIITTYY EU _n JÄSENEKSI, JULKISEÄÄÄ SEKTORIN TYÖPAIKÄÄÄ VÄHENEVÄÄÄ MAÄÄSTAMME MERKITTÄVÄSTI	1	2	3	4	5

VÄITTÄMÄT	OLEN TÄYSIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN SAMAA MIELTÄ	VAIKEA SANOJA	OLEN JOK- SEENKIN ERI MIELTÄ	OLEN TÄYSIN ERI MIELTÄ
SAAVUTETTU EU-NEUVOTTELUTULOS ANTAA HYVÄN POHJAN SUOMEN MAASEUDUN PITÄMISEKSI ASUTTUNA JA ELÄVÄNÄ	1	2	3	4	5
SUOMEN VAIKUTUSMAHDOLLISUUKSIA EU:n PÄÄTÖKSENTEKOON EI OLE SYYTÄ VÄHÄTELLÄ, SILLÄ MAAMME VOI VAIKUTTAA RATKAISUIHIN PAITSI ÄÄNIOSUUDELLAAN, MYÖS JÄRKEVILLÄ ALOITTEILLA JA AKTIIVISELLÄ KESKUSTELULLA	1	2	3	4	5
KANSANÄÄNESTYKSET EU-JÄSENYTYDESTÄ TULISI JÄRJESTÄÄ KAIKISSA JÄSENYTYTTÄ HAKENEISSA POHJOISMAISSA SAMANAIKAISESTI	1	2	3	4	5
MEDIAALLA (TIEDOTUSVÄLINEILLÄ) TULEE OLEMAAN RATKAISEVA VAIKUTUS EU-KANSANÄÄNESTYKSEN TULOKSEEN	1	2	3	4	5

12. SUOMALAISET SAAVAT EU-TIETONSA PÄÄOSIN JOUKKOTIEDOTUSVÄLINEISTÄ. SEURAAVASSA ON LUETeltu ERÄITÄ KESKEISIÄ VIESTIMIÄ SEKÄ UUTIS- JA AJANKOHTAISOHJELMIA.

a) KUINKA TÄRKEITÄ TIETOLÄHTEITÄ EU-KYSYMYKSESSÄ NE TEILLE HENKILÖKOHTAISESTI OVAT?

b) ENTÄ KUINKA PUOLUEETTOMIA (TASAPUOLISIA, OBJEKTIIVISIA) NE EU-ASIOITA KÄSITELLESSÄÄN MIELESTÄNNE OVAT?

	a) TÄRKEYS EU-TIETOLÄHTEENÄ:				b) PUOLUEETTOMUUS:			
	ERIT- TÄIN TÄRKEÄ	MELKO TÄR- KEÄ	EI KOVIN/ LAINKAAN TÄRKEÄ	EN OSAA SANOA	EU- MYÖN- TEINEN	PUO- LUEE- TON	EU- KIEL- TEINEN	EN OSAA SANOA
YLEn TV-UUTISET (TV1, TV2)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
A-STUDIO (TV1)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
KYMMENEN UUTISET (MTV)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
AJANKOHTAINEN KAKKONEN (TV2)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
YLEn RADIOUUTISET (ja PÄIVÄN PEILI)	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
STTn UUTISET RADIOSSA	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
HELSINGIN SANOMAT	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
SANOMALEHTI JOTA PÄÄASIALLISESTI LUETTE	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
PAIKALLISRADIOT	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4

13. MIKÄLI SUOMESTA TULEE EU:n JÄSENMAA, PÄÄSEMME MUKAAN KEHITTÄMÄÄN UNIONIA OMIEN TAVOITTEIDEMME SUUNTAAN. MITÄ ASIOITA SUOMEN TEIDÄN MIELESTÄNNE TULISI AIVAN ERITYISESTI PYRKIÄ AJAMAAN EU:ssa?

	ERIT- TÄIN TÄRKEÄ	MELKO TÄR- KEÄ	EI KOVIN/ LAINKAAN TÄRKEÄ	EN OSAA SANOA
YMPÄRISTÖNORMIT	1	2	3	4
POHJOISMAINEN SOSIAALITURVAMALLI	1	2	3	4
NAISTEN ASEMA	1	2	3	4
TYÖLLISYYDEN PARANTAMINEN	1	2	3	4
ETYKin SÄÄNTÖJEN KUNNIOITTAMINEN	1	2	3	4
VENÄJÄN KEHITYKSEN EDISTÄMINEN	1	2	3	4
KANSAINVÄLISEN RIKOLLISUUDEN TORJUNTA	1	2	3	4
HINTOJEN NOUSUN ESTÄMINEN	1	2	3	4
PAKOLAISPOLITIikka	1	2	3	4
KEHITYSMAIDEN AUTTAMINEN	1	2	3	4
EU:n BYROKRATIAN VÄHENTÄMINEN	1	2	3	4
KANSALLINEN ITSEMÄÄRÄÄMISOIKEUS EU:n PUITTEISSA	1	2	3	4
EUROOPAN KILPAILUASEMAN VAHVISTAMINEN	1	2	3	4
MAATALOUDEN RAKENNEMUUTOKSEN EDISTÄMINEN	1	2	3	4
JOKIN MUU ASIA, MIKÄ? _____	1	2	3	4

VASTAAJIEN TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

SUKUPUOLI

- 1 MIES
- 2 NAINEN

IKÄRYHMÄ

- 1 18 - 25 VUOTTA
- 2 26 - 35 VUOTTA
- 3 36 - 45 VUOTTA
- 4 46 - 55 VUOTTA
- 5 56 - 65 VUOTTA
- 6 YLI 65 VUOTTA

ASUINKUNNAN KOKO

- 1 ALLE 4 000 ASUKASTA
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 ASUKASTA
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 ASUKASTA
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 ASUKASTA
- 5 YLI 80 000 ASUKASTA

LÄÄNI, JOSSA ASUTTE

- 1 UUDENMAAN LÄÄNI
- 2 TURUN JA PORIN LÄÄNI
- 3 HÄMEEN LÄÄNI
- 4 KYMEN LÄÄNI
- 5 MIKKELIN LÄÄNI
- 6 POHJOIS-KARJALAN LÄÄNI
- 7 KUOPION LÄÄNI
- 8 KESKI-SUOMEN LÄÄNI
- 9 VAASAN LÄÄNI
- 10 OULUN LÄÄNI
- 11 LAPIN LÄÄNI

MILLAINEN AMMATILLINEN KOULUTUS TEILLÄ ON?

- 1 EI AMMATILLISTA KOULUTUSTA
- 2 AMMATTIKURSSI, LYHYT AMMATTIKOULUTUS, TYÖN OHESSA SAATU KOULUTUS
- 3 AMMATTIKOULU, KAUPPAKOULU TAI VASTAAVA
- 4 OPISTOTASOINEN AMMATTIKOULUTUS
- 5 YLIOPISTO- TAI KORKEAKOULUTUTKINTO

TOIMIALA, JOLLA TYÖSKENTELETTE

- 1 MAA- JA METSÄTALOUS
- 2 TEOLLISUUS JA RAKENNUSTOIMINTA
- 3 YKSITYINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 4 JULKINEN PALVELUTOIMINTA
- 5 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

AMMATTIRYHMÄ, JOHON KATSOTTE LÄHINNÄ KUULUVANNE

- 1 JOHTAVASSA ASEMASSA TOISEN PALVELUKSESSA
- 2 YLEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 3 ALEMPI TOIMIHENKILÖ
- 4 TYÖNTEKIJÄ
- 5 YRITTÄJÄ TAI YKSITYINEN AMMATINHARJOITTAJA
- 6 MAATALOUSYRITTÄJÄ
- 7 OPISKELIJA
- 8 ELÄKELÄINEN
- 9 KOTIÄITI /-ROUVA
- 10 TYÖTÖN
- 11 MUU

HARJOITTAAKO TYÖPAIKKANNE TUOTTEIDEN TAI PALVELUJEN VIENTIÄ ULKOMAILLE?

- 1 EI LAINKAAN
- 2 JONKIN VERRAN
- 3 HUOMATTAVASSA MÄÄRIN
- 4 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

ONKO TYÖNANTAJANNE

- 1 ALLE 50 TYÖNTEKIJÄN YRITYS
- 2 50 - 500 TYÖNTEKIJÄN YRITYS
- 3 YLI 500 TYÖNTEKIJÄN YRITYS
- 4 VALTIO
- 5 KUNTA TAI KUNTAINLIITTO
- 6 MUU
- 7 EN OLE MUKANA TYÖELÄMÄSSÄ

KUULUTTEKO JOHONKIN AMMATILLISEEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖÖN?

- 1 EN KUULU
- 2 KYLLÄ, AMMATILLINEN KESKUSJÄRJESTÖNI ON
 - A) SAK
 - B) STTK
 - C) AKAVA
 - D) MTK

JOS EDUSKUNTAVAALIT PIDETTÄISIIN NYT, MITÄ PUOLUETTA ÄÄNESTÄISITTE?

- 1 KESK
- 2 KOK
- 3 LKP
- 4 RKP
- 5 SDP
- 6 SKL
- 7 SMP
- 8 VASEMMISTOLIITTO
- 9 VIHREÄT
- 10 JOKIN MUU
- 11 EN ÄÄNESTÄISI LAINKAAN
- 12 EN OSAA SANOA
- 13 EN HALUA SANOA

KOMMENTTEJA?

LOMAKKEEN LOPPUUN OLEMME VARANNEET TILAA KOMMENTEILLE JA MIELIPITEILLE. VOITTE KIRJOITTA SIIHEN MITÄ TAHANSA NÄKEMYKSIÄNNE EU-KYSYMYKSESTÄ TAI TÄSTÄ TUTKIMUKSESTA. KAIKKI MIELIPITEET OVAT TERVETULLEITA JA ARVOKKAITA!

KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.