

**FSD1052**

**Finnish EU Attitudes 1998**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

**The bibliographic citation for this codebook:**

Finnish EU Attitudes 1998 [codebook]. Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2018.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (19.7.2018) of the data.

Finnish Social Science Data Archive  
FIN-33014 University of Tampere

FSD User Services:  
asiakaspalvelu.fsd@uta.fi  
+358 40 190 1442

Aila Data Service Portal:  
<https://services.fsd.uta.fi/>

Finnish Social Science Data Archive  
<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/en/>

# To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1052 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The dataset has been described in as much detail as possible in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, variable and value labels, and missing values have been checked. If necessary, the data have been anonymised. The data and its creators shall be cited in all publications and presentations for which the data have been used. The bibliographic citation may be in the form suggested by the archive or in the form required by the publication. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA) & Yhdyskuntatutkimus:  
Finnish EU Attitudes 1998 [dataset]. Version 2.0 (2018-07-19). Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor]. <http://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi:fsd:T-FSD1052>

The user shall notify the archive of all publications where she or he has used the data. The original data creators and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the reuse of the data.

The codebook contains information on data content, structure and data collection, and includes a list of publications wholly or in part based on the data, according to publication information received by the FSD. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percentages (%), and valid percentages (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics. Categorised responses to open-ended questions are not always included in the codebook. Distributions may contain missing data. The note "System missing (SYSMIS)" refers to missing observations (e.g. a respondent has not answered all questions) whereas "Missing (User missing)" refers to data the user has defined as missing. For example, the user may decide to code answer alternatives 'don't want to say' or 'can't say' as missing data.

The codebook may contain attached files, the most common one being the questionnaire.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Study description</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Titles . . . . .	1
1.2	Subject description . . . . .	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data . . . . .	3
1.4	Use of data . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Variables</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Indexes</b>	<b>59</b>
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence . . . . .	59
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order . . . . .	65
<b>A</b>	<b>Questionnaire in Finnish</b>	<b>71</b>



# Chapter 1

## Study description

### 1.1 Titles

**Titles and data version:** Finnish EU Attitudes 1998

**Titles and data version in Finnish:** EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 1998

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.0 (19.7.2018) of the data.

### 1.2 Subject description

#### **Authoring entity**

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)  
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

#### **Copyright statement for the data**

According to the agreement between FSD and the depositor.

#### **Depositor**

Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA)  
Yhdyskuntatutkimus

#### **Date of deposit**

3.10.2000

### **Keywords**

decision making; European Economic and Monetary Union; European Union; future; integration; membership

### **Topic Classification**

**Fields of Science Classification:** social sciences

**CESSDA Classification:** government, political systems and organisations; international politics and organisations; political behaviour and attitudes

### **Series description**

The data belong to the series:

Finnish EU Attitudes

The research series, launched by the Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA) in 1992, studies Finnish public opinion on EU integration. Views are probed on Finland's EU membership, the future and enlargement of the EU, European economic and fiscal policy, EMU, the European Parliament, Finnish security policy, EU subsidies and European integration as a whole. In addition, the surveys canvass citizen satisfaction with the availability of EU-related information, as well as with the way the Finnish Government and Parliament have handled EU issues.

Starting from 2001, the surveys also cover opinions on international issues in general. Background information includes age, gender, education, economic activity, occupational group and trade union membership. Respondents have been asked which party they would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time of the study. The surveys are commissioned by EVA, and usually carried out by Yhdyskuntatutkimus.

### **Abstract**

The survey studied attitudes of the Finns towards European Union and integration. The respondents were asked how interested they are in matters related to the Finnish EU membership, how they feel about the Finnish membership, and what should Finland aim at under its EU presidency. In addition, the respondents were asked to assess various statements pertaining to Finland's EU membership, decision-making in the EU, and the EMU. Furthermore, the respondents were asked what aspects should be emphasised in the development of the Northern Dimension.

Background information includes the respondent's sex, age group, size of municipality of residence, province of residence, education, professional group, field of enterprise, membership in trade union organisations, and what party R would vote for if the parliamentary elections were held at the time.



## 1.3 Structure and collection of the data

**Country:** Finland

**Geographic coverage:** Finland

**Analysis or observation unit type:** Individual

**Universe:** Finnish population aged 18-70 years, excluding the Åland Islands

**Collection date:** 18.9.1998 – 5.11.1998

**Data collector(s):** Yhdyskuntatutkimus

**Data producer(s):** Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies

**Mode of data collection:** Self-administered questionnaire: Paper

**Type of research instrument:** Structured questionnaire

**Time period covered:** September 1998 – November 1998

**Time method of the data collection:** Longitudinal: Trend/Repeated cross-section

**Number of variables and cases:** The data contain 108 variables and 1245 cases.

**Sampling procedure:** Probability: Simple random

The material was collected through a mail survey. There were 1,245 respondents in all, representing the Finnish population aged 18-70 years, the Åland Islands excluded. The essential demographic, social and regional factors correspond to the population structure. The questionnaire was in Finnish.

## 1.4 Use of data

### Related publications

Finnish EU opinion: Autumn 1998 (1998). Helsinki: Centre for Finnish Business and Policy Studies (EVA).

Haikonen, Jyrki & Kiljunen, Pentti (2003). Mitä mieltä, suomalainen? EVAn asennetutkimuksen kertomaa vuosilta 1984-2003. Helsinki: Taloustieto. EVA-raportti.

Suomalaisten EU-kannanotot: syksy 1998 (1998). Helsinki: Elinkeinoelämän valtuuskunta (EVA).

Tuokko, Katja (2012) From Left to West: The position of Vasemmistoliitto on European integration in 1990 - 1998. Poliittisen historian pro gradu -tutkielma. Helsingin yliopisto. hdl:10138/37140

Updated list of publications in the study description at

[https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1052?lang=en&study\\_language=en](https://services.fsd.uta.fi/catalogue/FSD1052?lang=en&study_language=en)

### Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

## 1. Study description

---

### **Weighting**

There are no weight variables in the data.

### **Restrictions**

The dataset is (B) available for research, teaching and study.

# Chapter 2

## Variables

### [FSD\_NO] FSD study number

#### Question

*FSD study number*

#### Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1245
minimum	1052.00
maximum	1052.00
mean	1052.00
standard deviation	0.00

### [FSD\_VR] FSD edition number

#### Question

*FSD edition number*

#### Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1245
minimum	2.00
maximum	2.00
mean	2.00
standard deviation	0.00

**[FSD\_ID] FSD case id****Question***FSD case id***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1245
minimum	1.00
maximum	1245.00
mean	623.00
standard deviation	359.55

**[Q1] How interested are you at present/how closely do you follow matters related to Finland's EU membership?****Question***How interested are you at present/how closely do you follow matters related to Finland's EU membership?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
very interested, follow closely	1	106	8.5	8.9
fairly interested, follow to some extent	2	616	49.5	51.7
not very interested, do not follow much	3	422	33.9	35.4
not at all interested, do not follow at all	4	43	3.5	3.6
cannot say	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q2] How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel...****Question***How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel...***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.3	–
very well informed	1	19	1.5	1.6
fairly well informed	2	336	27.0	28.2
not very well informed	3	674	54.1	56.6
not at all well informed	4	132	10.6	11.1
can't say	5	30	2.4	2.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_1] Views on EU membership: As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to be outside it

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to be outside it*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	374	30.0	30.8
agree	2	405	32.5	33.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	272	21.8	22.4
disagree	4	116	9.3	9.6
strongly disagree	5	47	3.8	3.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_2] Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are much too high compared with the advantages of membership

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are much too high compared with the advantages of membership*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.6	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	392	31.5	32.3
agree	2	337	27.1	27.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	366	29.4	30.2
disagree	4	103	8.3	8.5
strongly disagree	5	15	1.2	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_3] Views on EU membership: Even if EU has its own problems, it is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Even if EU has its own problems, it is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	128	10.3	10.6
agree	2	357	28.7	29.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	320	25.7	26.4
disagree	4	276	22.2	22.8
strongly disagree	5	132	10.6	10.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_4] Views on EU membership: Finland should stay outside NATO, even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Finland should stay outside NATO, even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	215	17.3	17.7
agree	2	164	13.2	13.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	340	27.3	28.0
disagree	4	269	21.6	22.1
strongly disagree	5	227	18.2	18.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_5] Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	112	9.0	9.2
agree	2	190	15.3	15.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	403	32.4	33.1
disagree	4	341	27.4	28.0
strongly disagree	5	170	13.7	14.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_6] Views on EU membership: It was the right decision for Finland to join EMU

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: It was the right decision for Finland to join EMU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	253	20.3	20.8

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	258	20.7	21.3
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	336	27.0	27.7
disagree	4	163	13.1	13.4
strongly disagree	5	204	16.4	16.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_7] Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	230	18.5	18.9
agree	2	526	42.2	43.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	260	20.9	21.4
disagree	4	141	11.3	11.6
strongly disagree	5	60	4.8	4.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_8] Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in Eastern and Central Europe**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in Eastern and Central Europe*

#### **Frequencies**



label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	254	20.4	20.9
agree	2	436	35.0	35.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	188	15.1	15.5
disagree	4	219	17.6	18.0
strongly disagree	5	118	9.5	9.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_9] Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	393	31.6	32.4
agree	2	369	29.6	30.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	296	23.8	24.4
disagree	4	139	11.2	11.5
strongly disagree	5	16	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_10] Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership*

#### **Frequencies**

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	207	16.6	17.0
agree	2	322	25.9	26.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	266	21.4	21.9
disagree	4	269	21.6	22.1
strongly disagree	5	151	12.1	12.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_11] Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	168	13.5	13.8
agree	2	79	6.3	6.5
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	315	25.3	25.9
disagree	4	216	17.3	17.8
strongly disagree	5	437	35.1	36.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_12] Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU)*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.1	–
strongly agree	1	256	20.6	21.2
agree	2	426	34.2	35.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	369	29.6	30.6
disagree	4	97	7.8	8.0
strongly disagree	5	58	4.7	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_13] Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.1	–
strongly agree	1	353	28.4	29.0
agree	2	379	30.4	31.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	148	11.9	12.1
disagree	4	267	21.4	21.9
strongly disagree	5	72	5.8	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_14] Views on EU membership: Sweden made a wise decision in deciding to stay outside EMU for the time being

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Sweden made a wise decision in deciding to stay outside EMU for the time being*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	281	22.6	23.1

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	149	12.0	12.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	437	35.1	35.9
disagree	4	208	16.7	17.1
strongly disagree	5	142	11.4	11.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_15] Views on EU membership: It makes no difference whether our laws are made in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: It makes no difference whether our laws are made in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	27	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	248	19.9	20.4
agree	2	329	26.4	27.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	127	10.2	10.4
disagree	4	274	22.0	22.5
strongly disagree	5	240	19.3	19.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_16] Views on EU membership: EU should prevent American and Japanese giant corporations from penetrating European markets**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU should prevent American and Japanese giant corporations from penetrating European markets*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	31	2.5	–
strongly agree	1	279	22.4	23.0
agree	2	391	31.4	32.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	303	24.3	25.0
disagree	4	180	14.5	14.8
strongly disagree	5	61	4.9	5.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_17] Views on EU membership: Candidates' views on the EU have a strong effect on whom I will vote for in the parliamentary elections next spring**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Candidates' views on the EU have a strong effect on whom I will vote for in the parliamentary elections next spring*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	26	2.1	–
strongly agree	1	286	23.0	23.5
agree	2	343	27.6	28.1
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	295	23.7	24.2
disagree	4	181	14.5	14.8
strongly disagree	5	114	9.2	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_18] Views on EU membership: European Central Bank is surely going to succeed in fighting inflation**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: European Central Bank is surely going to succeed in fighting inflation*

#### **Frequencies**

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
strongly agree	1	48	3.9	4.0
agree	2	199	16.0	16.4
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	738	59.3	60.7
disagree	4	159	12.8	13.1
strongly disagree	5	71	5.7	5.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_19] Views on EU membership: EU member states should be able to influence European Central Bank's policy**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU member states should be able to influence European Central Bank's policy*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	560	45.0	46.2
agree	2	524	42.1	43.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	96	7.7	7.9
disagree	4	19	1.5	1.6
strongly disagree	5	13	1.0	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_20] Views on EU membership: The transition from the Finnish mark to the single currency euro will cause a lot of confusion and many practical problems**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: The transition from the Finnish mark to the single currency euro will cause a lot of confusion and many practical problems*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
strongly agree	1	357	28.7	29.6
agree	2	325	26.1	26.9
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	198	15.9	16.4
disagree	4	265	21.3	22.0
strongly disagree	5	62	5.0	5.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_21] Views on EU membership: Finnish government and parliament took quite a big risk in deciding to join the uncertain EMU

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: Finnish government and parliament took quite a big risk in deciding to join the uncertain EMU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.6	–
strongly agree	1	347	27.9	28.6
agree	2	294	23.6	24.2
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	287	23.1	23.7
disagree	4	213	17.1	17.6
strongly disagree	5	72	5.8	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q3\_22] Views on EU membership: The decision to join EMU was good, as it proved that Finland is able to make decisions and changes, not just talk

#### Question

*Views on EU membership: The decision to join EMU was good, as it proved that Finland is able to make decisions and changes, not just talk*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.9	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly agree	1	164	13.2	13.6
agree	2	309	24.8	25.6
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	319	25.6	26.4
disagree	4	218	17.5	18.0
strongly disagree	5	199	16.0	16.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_23] Views on EU membership: After the introduction of the euro, I will closely compare our prices and taxes to those of other countries**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: After the introduction of the euro, I will closely compare our prices and taxes to those of other countries*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	394	31.6	32.5
agree	2	420	33.7	34.7
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	215	17.3	17.8
disagree	4	147	11.8	12.1
strongly disagree	5	35	2.8	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q3\_24] Views on EU membership: Finland's presidency of the EU makes no difference to Finns, since the doings of the leaders have no contact with the life of ordinary citizens**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU membership: Finland's presidency of the EU makes no difference to Finns, since the doings of the leaders have no contact with the life of ordinary citizens*

#### **Frequencies**



label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	28	2.2	–
strongly agree	1	304	24.4	25.0
agree	2	338	27.1	27.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	205	16.5	16.8
disagree	4	282	22.7	23.2
strongly disagree	5	88	7.1	7.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q3\_25] Views on EU membership: Finland's EU presidency right after the parliamentary elections is such a demanding task that the present government should carry on**

**Question**

*Views on EU membership: Finland's EU presidency right after the parliamentary elections is such a demanding task that the present government should carry on*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	33	2.7	–
strongly agree	1	85	6.8	7.0
agree	2	121	9.7	10.0
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	439	35.3	36.2
disagree	4	259	20.8	21.4
strongly disagree	5	308	24.7	25.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q3\_26] Views on EU membership: EU's nature conservation programme Natura infringes too much on the rights of Finnish landowners**

**Question**

*Views on EU membership: EU's nature conservation programme Natura infringes too much on the rights of Finnish landowners*

**Frequencies**

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.3	–
strongly agree	1	416	33.4	34.2
agree	2	302	24.3	24.8
neither agree nor disagree/can't choose	3	291	23.4	23.9
disagree	4	135	10.8	11.1
strongly disagree	5	72	5.8	5.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_1] During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU countries**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU countries*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	32	2.6	–
very important	1	782	62.8	64.5
fairly important	2	357	28.7	29.4
can't choose	3	36	2.9	3.0
not very important	4	32	2.6	2.6
not at all important	5	6	0.5	0.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_2] During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.2	–
very important	1	231	18.6	19.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	536	43.1	44.5
can't choose	3	242	19.4	20.1
not very important	4	170	13.7	14.1
not at all important	5	26	2.1	2.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_3] During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole EU

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
very important	1	189	15.2	15.8
fairly important	2	524	42.1	43.8
can't choose	3	264	21.2	22.1
not very important	4	193	15.5	16.1
not at all important	5	27	2.2	2.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_4] During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, work fairly and impartially during its chairmanship

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, work fairly and impartially during its chairmanship*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
very important	1	588	47.2	48.9

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	438	35.2	36.4
can't choose	3	93	7.5	7.7
not very important	4	69	5.5	5.7
not at all important	5	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_5] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports in all possible ways**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports in all possible ways*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.2	–
very important	1	699	56.1	58.0
fairly important	2	375	30.1	31.1
can't choose	3	76	6.1	6.3
not very important	4	46	3.7	3.8
not at all important	5	9	0.7	0.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_6] During its EU presidency Finland should: Try and slow down projects not important for Finland**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Try and slow down projects not important for Finland*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
very important	1	148	11.9	12.3
fairly important	2	218	17.5	18.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't choose	3	387	31.1	32.2
not very important	4	325	26.1	27.0
not at all important	5	124	10.0	10.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_7] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU - Russia relations

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU - Russia relations*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.2	–
very important	1	346	27.8	28.7
fairly important	2	486	39.0	40.3
can't choose	3	236	19.0	19.6
not very important	4	102	8.2	8.5
not at all important	5	35	2.8	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_8] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.8	–
very important	1	413	33.2	34.1
fairly important	2	429	34.5	35.5
can't choose	3	155	12.4	12.8

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not very important	4	169	13.6	14.0
not at all important	5	44	3.5	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_9] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of common EU foreign and security policy**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of common EU foreign and security policy*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.4	–
very important	1	364	29.2	30.3
fairly important	2	464	37.3	38.6
can't choose	3	228	18.3	19.0
not very important	4	110	8.8	9.1
not at all important	5	37	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_10] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of EU common employment policy**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of EU common employment policy*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.1	–
very important	1	441	35.4	36.9
fairly important	2	467	37.5	39.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't choose	3	190	15.3	15.9
not very important	4	74	5.9	6.2
not at all important	5	22	1.8	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_11] During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase vitality of national cultures

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase vitality of national cultures*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.1	–
very important	1	540	43.4	44.8
fairly important	2	440	35.3	36.5
can't choose	3	131	10.5	10.9
not very important	4	83	6.7	6.9
not at all important	5	12	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_12] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	34	2.7	–
very important	1	951	76.4	78.5
fairly important	2	220	17.7	18.2

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't choose	3	29	2.3	2.4
not very important	4	7	0.6	0.6
not at all important	5	4	0.3	0.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_13] During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of the environment are cared for**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of the environment are cared for*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	42	3.4	–
very important	1	546	43.9	45.4
fairly important	2	446	35.8	37.1
can't choose	3	113	9.1	9.4
not very important	4	84	6.7	7.0
not at all important	5	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_14] During its EU presidency Finland should: Bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.5	–
very important	1	327	26.3	27.2

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	471	37.8	39.2
can't choose	3	280	22.5	23.3
not very important	4	109	8.8	9.1
not at all important	5	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_15] During its EU presidency Finland should: Attract foreign enterprises to Finland

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Attract foreign enterprises to Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	40	3.2	–
very important	1	236	19.0	19.6
fairly important	2	387	31.1	32.1
can't choose	3	307	24.7	25.5
not very important	4	200	16.1	16.6
not at all important	5	75	6.0	6.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_16] During its EU presidency Finland should: Secure viability of agriculture in Finland (and elsewhere)

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Secure viability of agriculture in Finland (and elsewhere)*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	37	3.0	–
very important	1	726	58.3	60.1

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	349	28.0	28.9
can't choose	3	85	6.8	7.0
not very important	4	38	3.1	3.1
not at all important	5	10	0.8	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_17] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.1	–
very important	1	51	4.1	4.2
fairly important	2	206	16.5	17.1
can't choose	3	461	37.0	38.2
not very important	4	348	28.0	28.9
not at all important	5	140	11.2	11.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q4\_18] During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership**

#### **Question**

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	41	3.3	–
very important	1	78	6.3	6.5
fairly important	2	212	17.0	17.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't choose	3	502	40.3	41.7
not very important	4	308	24.7	25.6
not at all important	5	104	8.4	8.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_19] During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureucracy and complexity of administration in the EU

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureucracy and complexity of administration in the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
very important	1	651	52.3	53.9
fairly important	2	355	28.5	29.4
can't choose	3	155	12.4	12.8
not very important	4	34	2.7	2.8
not at all important	5	12	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q4\_20] During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU

#### Question

*During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	35	2.8	–
very important	1	752	60.4	62.1
fairly important	2	342	27.5	28.3

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't choose	3	94	7.6	7.8
not very important	4	22	1.8	1.8
not at all important	5	0	0.0	0.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q5] What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership?**

#### **Question**

*What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership?*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	24	1.9	–
very positive	1	145	11.6	11.9
fairly positive	2	386	31.0	31.6
neutral / neither positive nor negative	3	369	29.6	30.2
fairly negative	4	189	15.2	15.5
very negative	5	115	9.2	9.4
can't say	6	17	1.4	1.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q6] Has your attitude to EU membership changed after Finland became a member?**

#### **Question**

*Has your attitude to EU membership changed after Finland became a member?*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	23	1.8	–
significantly more positive	1	43	3.5	3.5
slightly more positive	2	230	18.5	18.8
as before / no change	3	605	48.6	49.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
slightly more negative	4	225	18.1	18.4
significantly more negative	5	101	8.1	8.3
can't say	6	18	1.4	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_1] Views on EU decision-making: Functions well, considering the number and diversity of EU states

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Functions well, considering the number and diversity of EU states*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	44	3.5	3.7
agree	2	434	34.9	36.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	446	35.8	37.3
disagree	4	231	18.6	19.3
strongly disagree	5	42	3.4	3.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_2] Views on EU decision-making: Slow and inefficient; decisions postponed from one meeting to another

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Slow and inefficient; decisions postponed from one meeting to another*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	219	17.6	18.3

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	511	41.0	42.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	354	28.4	29.5
disagree	4	99	8.0	8.3
strongly disagree	5	16	1.3	1.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_3] Views on EU decision-making: Too often decisions are dictated by big member states

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Too often decisions are dictated by big member states*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.6	–
strongly agree	1	576	46.3	48.0
agree	2	445	35.7	37.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	134	10.8	11.2
disagree	4	40	3.2	3.3
strongly disagree	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_4] Views on EU decision-making: Open and transparent

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Open and transparent*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	33	2.7	2.8
agree	2	178	14.3	14.9
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	457	36.7	38.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	391	31.4	32.8
strongly disagree	5	134	10.8	11.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_5] Views on EU decision-making: Complicated and difficult to understand

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Complicated and difficult to understand*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	451	36.2	37.7
agree	2	467	37.5	39.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	199	16.0	16.6
disagree	4	59	4.7	4.9
strongly disagree	5	21	1.7	1.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_6] Views on EU decision-making: Is carried out in good cooperation between member states

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Is carried out in good cooperation between member states*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	39	3.1	3.3
agree	2	300	24.1	25.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	533	42.8	44.8
disagree	4	256	20.6	21.5

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly disagree	5	63	5.1	5.3
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_7] Views on EU decision-making: EU parliament should be given more decision-making power**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU decision-making: EU parliament should be given more decision-making power*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	60	4.8	–
strongly agree	1	117	9.4	9.9
agree	2	269	21.6	22.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	559	44.9	47.2
disagree	4	166	13.3	14.0
strongly disagree	5	74	5.9	6.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_8] Views on EU decision-making: EU Commission should be given more decision-making power**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU decision-making: EU Commission should be given more decision-making power*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	65	5.2	–
strongly agree	1	57	4.6	4.8
agree	2	181	14.5	15.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	631	50.7	53.5
disagree	4	225	18.1	19.1
strongly disagree	5	86	6.9	7.3

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_9] Views on EU decision-making: Finland has very quickly learned the rules of EU decision-making; is an 'insider'

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Finland has very quickly learned the rules of EU decision-making; is an 'insider'*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	108	8.7	9.0
agree	2	447	35.9	37.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	394	31.6	33.0
disagree	4	203	16.3	17.0
strongly disagree	5	43	3.5	3.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_10] Views on EU decision-making: Makes too many watered-down compromises which are of no use to anybody

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Makes too many watered-down compromises which are of no use to anybody*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	58	4.7	–
strongly agree	1	115	9.2	9.7
agree	2	353	28.4	29.7
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	477	38.3	40.2
disagree	4	209	16.8	17.6

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly disagree	5	33	2.7	2.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_11] Views on EU decision-making: Too scrupulous, too many detailed regulations

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Too scrupulous, too many detailed regulations*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	481	38.6	40.2
agree	2	458	36.8	38.3
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	196	15.7	16.4
disagree	4	52	4.2	4.3
strongly disagree	5	9	0.7	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_12] Views on EU decision-making: Is able to reconcile even conflicting intrests of various EU states

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Is able to reconcile even conflicting intrests of various EU states*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	57	4.6	–
strongly agree	1	18	1.4	1.5
agree	2	243	19.5	20.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	469	37.7	39.5
disagree	4	362	29.1	30.5
strongly disagree	5	96	7.7	8.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_13] Views on EU decision-making: Tries to solve too many important issues simultaneously

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Tries to solve too many important issues simultaneously*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	183	14.7	15.4
agree	2	417	33.5	35.0
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	454	36.5	38.1
disagree	4	124	10.0	10.4
strongly disagree	5	14	1.1	1.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_14] Views on EU decision-making: Deepens the gap between citizens and decision-makers even in Finland

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Deepens the gap between citizens and decision-makers even in Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.7	–
strongly agree	1	272	21.8	22.7
agree	2	443	35.6	36.9
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	302	24.3	25.2
disagree	4	158	12.7	13.2
strongly disagree	5	24	1.9	2.0

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_15] Views on EU decision-making: The whole decision-making system needs immediate reform / clarification**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU decision-making: The whole decision-making system needs immediate reform / clarification*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	365	29.3	30.6
agree	2	436	35.0	36.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	327	26.3	27.5
disagree	4	56	4.5	4.7
strongly disagree	5	7	0.6	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q7\_16] Views on EU decision-making: Sooner or later is bound to reach the limits of its own impossibility**

#### **Question**

*Views on EU decision-making: Sooner or later is bound to reach the limits of its own impossibility*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	39	3.1	–
strongly agree	1	288	23.1	23.9
agree	2	243	19.5	20.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	471	37.8	39.1
disagree	4	155	12.4	12.9

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strongly disagree	5	49	3.9	4.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_17] Views on EU decision-making: Is no more bureaucratic than Finland's own decision-making procedures

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Is no more bureaucratic than Finland's own decision-making procedures*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	48	3.9	–
strongly agree	1	144	11.6	12.0
agree	2	337	27.1	28.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	377	30.3	31.5
disagree	4	282	22.7	23.6
strongly disagree	5	57	4.6	4.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_18] Views on EU decision-making: Is a way for managers of big companies and politicians to bypass democracy

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Is a way for managers of big companies and politicians to bypass democracy*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	56	4.5	–
strongly agree	1	195	15.7	16.4
agree	2	319	25.6	26.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	491	39.4	41.3

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	149	12.0	12.5
strongly disagree	5	35	2.8	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_19] Views on EU decision-making: Good for Finland; without the EU, worse decisions would have been made

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Good for Finland; without the EU, worse decisions would have been made*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.3	–
strongly agree	1	85	6.8	7.1
agree	2	232	18.6	19.5
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	433	34.8	36.4
disagree	4	285	22.9	23.9
strongly disagree	5	156	12.5	13.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_20] Views on EU decision-making: The media favours the EU in its reporting

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: The media favours the EU in its reporting*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.0	–
strongly agree	1	238	19.1	19.9
agree	2	383	30.8	32.1
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	299	24.0	25.0

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
disagree	4	238	19.1	19.9
strongly disagree	5	37	3.0	3.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_21] Views on EU decision-making: Finnish decision-makers use the Union to cover up their own mistakes

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Finnish decision-makers use the Union to cover up their own mistakes*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
strongly agree	1	231	18.6	19.4
agree	2	451	36.2	37.8
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	352	28.3	29.5
disagree	4	146	11.7	12.2
strongly disagree	5	13	1.0	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_22] Views on EU decision-making: EU flag / EU passport unnecessary and inefficient in making EU seem closer to citizens

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: EU flag / EU passport unnecessary and inefficient in making EU seem closer to citizens*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	280	22.5	23.5
agree	2	318	25.5	26.6

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	205	16.5	17.2
disagree	4	279	22.4	23.4
strongly disagree	5	112	9.0	9.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_23] Views on EU decision-making: The decision-making power of national governments should not be restricted

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: The decision-making power of national governments should not be restricted*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	59	4.7	–
strongly agree	1	464	37.3	39.1
agree	2	287	23.1	24.2
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	292	23.5	24.6
disagree	4	90	7.2	7.6
strongly disagree	5	53	4.3	4.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_24] Views on EU decision-making: Is so demanding that should be left to the real experts

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Is so demanding that should be left to the real experts*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	60	4.8	–
strongly agree	1	194	15.6	16.4
agree	2	329	26.4	27.8

(continued on next page)



(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	417	33.5	35.2
disagree	4	174	14.0	14.7
strongly disagree	5	71	5.7	6.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_25] Views on EU decision-making: Can be expected to function only if EU deepens integration and develops into a Federal EU

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: Can be expected to function only if EU deepens integration and develops into a Federal EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	57	4.6	–
strongly agree	1	84	6.7	7.1
agree	2	162	13.0	13.6
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	616	49.5	51.9
disagree	4	194	15.6	16.3
strongly disagree	5	132	10.6	11.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q7\_26] Views on EU decision-making: It is necessary to have a commissioner from every member country

#### Question

*Views on EU decision-making: It is necessary to have a commissioner from every member country*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.1	–
strongly agree	1	582	46.7	48.7

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
agree	2	291	23.4	24.4
neither agree nor disagree / don't know	3	243	19.5	20.4
disagree	4	49	3.9	4.1
strongly disagree	5	29	2.3	2.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_1] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Guarantee energy supplies, e.g. gas from the northern sea areas

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Guarantee energy supplies, e.g. gas from the northern sea areas*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.1	–
very important	1	405	32.5	33.9
fairly important	2	545	43.8	45.6
can't choose / don't know	3	177	14.2	14.8
not really important	4	58	4.7	4.9
not at all important	5	9	0.7	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_2] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote cultural cooperation and travel

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote cultural cooperation and travel*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.6	–
very important	1	326	26.2	27.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	598	48.0	49.8
can't choose / don't know	3	113	9.1	9.4
not really important	4	151	12.1	12.6
not at all important	5	12	1.0	1.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_3] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Tie Russia into closer cooperation with the EU

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Tie Russia into closer cooperation with the EU*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.0	–
very important	1	201	16.1	16.8
fairly important	2	411	33.0	34.4
can't choose / don't know	3	387	31.1	32.4
not really important	4	151	12.1	12.6
not at all important	5	45	3.6	3.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_4] EU's Northern Dimension project should: In the long term, promote Russia's EU membership

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: In the long term, promote Russia's EU membership*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	51	4.1	–
very important	1	88	7.1	7.4
fairly important	2	237	19.0	19.8

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't choose / don't know	3	482	38.7	40.4
not really important	4	244	19.6	20.4
not at all important	5	143	11.5	12.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_5] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase Finland's status in the Union**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase Finland's status in the Union*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
very important	1	413	33.2	34.6
fairly important	2	534	42.9	44.8
can't choose / don't know	3	176	14.1	14.8
not really important	4	63	5.1	5.3
not at all important	5	7	0.6	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_6] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase security in Northern Europe**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase security in Northern Europe*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
very important	1	594	47.7	49.8
fairly important	2	416	33.4	34.9
can't choose / don't know	3	124	10.0	10.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not really important	4	53	4.3	4.4
not at all important	5	5	0.4	0.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q8\_7] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote free movement of labour within the whole Northern Dimension area - from the Arctic Ocean to Poland**

**Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote free movement of labour within the whole Northern Dimension area - from the Arctic Ocean to Poland*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	46	3.7	–
very important	1	210	16.9	17.5
fairly important	2	325	26.1	27.1
can't choose / don't know	3	329	26.4	27.4
not really important	4	239	19.2	19.9
not at all important	5	96	7.7	8.0
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q8\_8] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote the use of EU funding to repair environmental damage in Russia**

**Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote the use of EU funding to repair environmental damage in Russia*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	49	3.9	–
very important	1	219	17.6	18.3

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	376	30.2	31.4
can't choose / don't know	3	299	24.0	25.0
not really important	4	185	14.9	15.5
not at all important	5	117	9.4	9.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_9] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to put Finland in a bridgehead position in the Russia - EU relations**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to put Finland in a bridgehead position in the Russia - EU relations*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	4.4	–
very important	1	190	15.3	16.0
fairly important	2	360	28.9	30.3
can't choose / don't know	3	398	32.0	33.4
not really important	4	176	14.1	14.8
not at all important	5	66	5.3	5.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_10] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on getting Karelia back as a part of Finnish territory**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on getting Karelia back as a part of Finnish territory*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.5	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very important	1	133	10.7	11.1
fairly important	2	82	6.6	6.8
can't choose / don't know	3	187	15.0	15.6
not really important	4	276	22.2	23.0
not at all important	5	523	42.0	43.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_11] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on cleaning up the Baltic Sea

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on cleaning up the Baltic Sea*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	43	3.5	–
very important	1	650	52.2	54.1
fairly important	2	446	35.8	37.1
can't choose / don't know	3	68	5.5	5.7
not really important	4	28	2.2	2.3
not at all important	5	10	0.8	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_12] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Maintain good living conditions in the eastern and northern parts of Finland

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Maintain good living conditions in the eastern and northern parts of Finland*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	44	3.5	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very important	1	680	54.6	56.6
fairly important	2	412	33.1	34.3
can't choose / don't know	3	81	6.5	6.7
not really important	4	21	1.7	1.7
not at all important	5	7	0.6	0.6
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_13] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to speed economic growth in Russia in order to increase trade**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to speed economic growth in Russia in order to increase trade*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
very important	1	181	14.5	15.2
fairly important	2	465	37.3	39.0
can't choose / don't know	3	368	29.6	30.9
not really important	4	126	10.1	10.6
not at all important	5	52	4.2	4.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_14] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Baltic Sea region**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Baltic Sea region*

#### **Frequencies**



label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	53	4.3	–
very important	1	181	14.5	15.2
fairly important	2	532	42.7	44.6
can't choose / don't know	3	341	27.4	28.6
not really important	4	128	10.3	10.7
not at all important	5	10	0.8	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q8\_15] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Arctic region (especially Finland, Sweden, Norway and Russia)**

**Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Arctic region (especially Finland, Sweden, Norway and Russia)*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	52	4.2	–
very important	1	235	18.9	19.7
fairly important	2	584	46.9	49.0
can't choose / don't know	3	265	21.3	22.2
not really important	4	99	8.0	8.3
not at all important	5	10	0.8	0.8
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[Q8\_16] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce people's prejudices towards Russians**

**Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce people's prejudices towards Russians*

**Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	55	4.4	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
very important	1	129	10.4	10.8
fairly important	2	341	27.4	28.7
can't choose / don't know	3	384	30.8	32.3
not really important	4	248	19.9	20.8
not at all important	5	88	7.1	7.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_17] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of NATO in the region**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of NATO in the region*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	57	4.6	–
very important	1	109	8.8	9.2
fairly important	2	188	15.1	15.8
can't choose / don't know	3	584	46.9	49.2
not really important	4	211	16.9	17.8
not at all important	5	96	7.7	8.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### **[Q8\_18] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of the Russian mafia**

#### **Question**

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of the Russian mafia*

#### **Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	50	4.0	–
very important	1	563	45.2	47.1

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
fairly important	2	290	23.3	24.3
can't choose / don't know	3	210	16.9	17.6
not really important	4	76	6.1	6.4
not at all important	5	56	4.5	4.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [Q8\_19] EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase the safety of nuclear power in the region

#### Question

*EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase the safety of nuclear power in the region*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	45	3.6	–
very important	1	764	61.4	63.7
fairly important	2	291	23.4	24.3
can't choose / don't know	3	102	8.2	8.5
not really important	4	30	2.4	2.5
not at all important	5	13	1.0	1.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [BV1] Respondent's sex

#### Question

*Respondent's sex*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	14	1.1	–
male	1	602	48.4	48.9
female	2	629	50.5	51.1
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [BV2] Respondent's age group

#### Question

*Respondent's age group*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	12	1.0	–
18 - 25 years	1	160	12.9	13.0
26 - 35 years	2	234	18.8	19.0
36 - 45 years	3	268	21.5	21.7
46 - 55 years	4	255	20.5	20.7
56 - 65 years	5	211	16.9	17.1
> 65 years	6	105	8.4	8.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [BV3] Size of the respondent's place of residence

#### Question

*Size of the respondent's place of residence*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	38	3.1	–
< 4,000 inhabitants	1	92	7.4	7.6
4,000 - 8,000 inhabitants	2	165	13.3	13.7
8,000 - 30,000 inhabitants	3	360	28.9	29.8
30,000 - 80,000 inhabitants	4	205	16.5	17.0
> 80,000 inhabitant	5	385	30.9	31.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[BV4] Respondent's province of residence****Question***Respondent's province of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	20	1.6	–
Uusimaa	1	302	24.3	24.7
Itä-Uusimaa	2	14	1.1	1.1
Varsinais-Suomi	3	106	8.5	8.7
Satakunta	4	53	4.3	4.3
Häme	5	92	7.4	7.5
Pirkanmaa	6	92	7.4	7.5
Päijät-Häme	7	31	2.5	2.5
Kymenlaakso	8	52	4.2	4.2
Etelä-Karjala	9	26	2.1	2.1
Etelä-Savo	10	51	4.1	4.2
Pohjois-Savo	11	58	4.7	4.7
Pohjois-Karjala	12	40	3.2	3.3
Keski-Suomi	13	81	6.5	6.6
Etelä-Pohjanmaa	14	45	3.6	3.7
Coastal area of Vaasa	15	14	1.1	1.1
Keski-Pohjanmaa	16	28	2.2	2.3
Pohjois-Pohjanmaa	17	78	6.3	6.4
Kainuu	18	27	2.2	2.2
Lappi	19	35	2.8	2.9
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

**[BV5] Respondent's basic education****Question***Respondent's basic education***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	36	2.9	–
primary school	1	369	29.6	30.5

(continued on next page)

## 2. Variables

---

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
lower secondary education	2	400	32.1	33.1
upper secondary education (matriculation examination)	3	440	35.3	36.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [BV6] Respondent's vocational education

#### Question

*Respondent's vocational education*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	29	2.3	–
no vocational education	1	221	17.8	18.2
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	206	16.5	16.9
vocational school or equivalent (upper secondary level)	3	280	22.5	23.0
college level education	4	322	25.9	26.5
university or polytechnic education	5	187	15.0	15.4
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

### [BV7] Respondent's economic activity and occupational group

#### Question

*Respondent's economic activity and occupational group*

#### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	13	1.0	–
higher managerial position (employee)	1	46	3.7	3.7
lower managerial/professional employee	2	151	12.1	12.3
intermediate level employee (clerical, technical, admin.)	3	180	14.5	14.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
worker	4	296	23.8	24.0
entrepreneur or self-employed	5	84	6.7	6.8
farmer	6	36	2.9	2.9
student	7	112	9.0	9.1
retired	8	201	16.1	16.3
housewife/house husband/homemaker	9	28	2.2	2.3
unemployed	10	79	6.3	6.4
other	11	19	1.5	1.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

## [BV8] Respondent's membership of trade union

### Question

*Respondent's membership of trade union*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	54	4.3	–
no	1	497	39.9	41.7
yes	2	42	3.4	3.5
SAK (The Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	3	299	24.0	25.1
STTK (The Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	4	173	13.9	14.5
Akava (Confederation of Unions for Academic Professionals)	5	148	11.9	12.4
MTK (Central Union of Agric. Producers and Forest Owners)	6	32	2.6	2.7
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

## [BV9] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

### Question

*If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?*

### Frequencies

## 2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Missing (User missing)	0	30	2.4	–
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	155	12.4	12.8
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	2	180	14.5	14.8
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	171	13.7	14.1
Left Alliance (Vasemmistoliitto)	4	56	4.5	4.6
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	32	2.6	2.6
Green League (Vihreät)	6	67	5.4	5.5
Christian League of Finland (SKL)	7	22	1.8	1.8
Progressive Finnish Party (Nuorsuomalaiset)	8	12	1.0	1.0
True Finns (Perussuomalaiset)	9	2	0.2	0.2
some other party / group	10	21	1.7	1.7
wouldn't vote	11	99	8.0	8.1
can't say	12	258	20.7	21.2
don't want to say	13	140	11.2	11.5
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	0	0.0	–
		1245	100.0	100.0

## [NUTS2] Suuralue (NUTS 2)

### Question

*Suuralue (NUTS 2)*

### Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	316	25.4	25.8
Etelä-Suomi	2	452	36.3	36.9
Itä-Suomi	3	176	14.1	14.4
Väli-Suomi	4	168	13.5	13.7
Pohjois-Suomi	5	113	9.1	9.2
System missing (SYSMIS)	.	20	1.6	–
		1245	100.0	100.0



# Chapter 3

## Indexes

### 3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO] .....	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR] .....	5
FSD case id [FSD_ID] .....	6
How interested are you at present/how closely do you follow matters related to Finland's EU membership? [Q1] .....	6
How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel... [Q2] .....	6
Views on EU membership: As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to be outside it [Q3_1] .....	7
Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are much too high compared with the advantages of membership [Q3_2] .....	7
Views on EU membership: Even if EU has its own problems, it is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development [Q3_3] .....	8
Views on EU membership: Finland should stay outside NATO, even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join [Q3_4] .....	8
Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country [Q3_5] .....	9
Views on EU membership: It was the right decision for Finland to join EMU [Q3_6] .....	9
Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns [Q3_7] .....	10
Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in Eastern and Central Europe [Q3_8] .....	10
Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries [Q3_9] .....	11
Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland's EU membership [Q3_10] .....	11

### 3. Indexes

---

Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU [Q3_11] .....	12
Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) [Q3_12] .....	12
Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us [Q3_13] .....	13
Views on EU membership: Sweden made a wise decision in deciding to stay outside EMU for the time being [Q3_14] .....	13
Views on EU membership: It makes no difference whether our laws are made in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just [Q3_15] .....	14
Views on EU membership: EU should prevent American and Japanese giant corporations from penetrating European markets [Q3_16] .....	14
Views on EU membership: Candidates' views on the EU have a strong effect on whom I will vote for in the parliamentary elections next spring [Q3_17] .....	15
Views on EU membership: European Central Bank is surely going to succeed in fighting inflation [Q3_18] .....	15
Views on EU membership: EU member states should be able to influence European Central Bank's policy [Q3_19] .....	16
Views on EU membership: The transition from the Finnish mark to the single currency euro will cause a lot of confusion and many practical problems [Q3_20] .....	16
Views on EU membership: Finnish government and parliament took quite a big risk in deciding to join the uncertain EMU [Q3_21] .....	17
Views on EU membership: The decision to join EMU was good, as it proved that Finland is able to make decisions and changes, not just talk [Q3_22] .....	17
Views on EU membership: After the introduction of the euro, I will closely compare our prices and taxes to those of other countries [Q3_23] .....	18
Views on EU membership: Finland's presidency of the EU makes no difference to Finns, since the doings of the leaders have no contact with the life of ordinary citizens [Q3_24] .....	18
Views on EU membership: Finland's EU presidency right after the parliamentary elections is such a demanding task that the present government should carry on [Q3_25] .....	19
Views on EU membership: EU's nature conservation programme Natura infringes too much on the rights of Finnish landowners [Q3_26] .....	19
During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU countries [Q4_1] .....	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests [Q4_2] .....	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole EU [Q4_3] .....	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, work fairly and impartially during its chairmanship [Q4_4] .....	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports in all possible ways [Q4_5]	

22

During its EU presidency Finland should: Try and slow down projects not important for Finland [Q4_6] .....	22
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU - Russia relations [Q4_7] .....	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union [Q4_8]	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of common EU foreign and security policy [Q4_9] .....	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of EU common employment policy [Q4_10] .....	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase vitality of national cultures [Q4_11] .....	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention [Q4_12] .....	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of the environment are cared for [Q4_13] .....	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept [Q4_14] .....	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Attract foreign enterprises to Finland [Q4_15] ..	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Secure viability of agriculture in Finland (and elsewhere) [Q4_16] .....	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement [Q4_17] .....	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership [Q4_18]	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU [Q4_19] .....	29
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU [Q4_20] .....	29
What is your present attitude towards Finland's EU membership? [Q5] .....	30
Has your attitude to EU membership changed after Finland became a member? [Q6] .....	30
Views on EU decision-making: Functions well, considering the number and diversity of EU states [Q7_1] .....	31
Views on EU decision-making: Slow and inefficient; decisions postponed from one meeting to another [Q7_2] .....	31
Views on EU decision-making: Too often decisions are dictated by big member states [Q7_3]	32
Views on EU decision-making: Open and transparent [Q7_4] .....	32
Views on EU decision-making: Complicated and difficult to understand [Q7_5] .....	33
Views on EU decision-making: Is carried out in good cooperation between member states [Q7_6] .....	33

### 3. Indexes

---

Views on EU decision-making: EU parliament should be given more decision-making power [Q7_7] .....	34
Views on EU decision-making: EU Commission should be given more decision-making power [Q7_8] .....	34
Views on EU decision-making: Finland has very quickly learned the rules of EU decision-making; is an 'insider' [Q7_9] .....	35
Views on EU decision-making: Makes too many watered-down compromises which are of no use to anybody [Q7_10] .....	35
Views on EU decision-making: Too scrupulous, too many detailed regulations [Q7_11] ....	36
Views on EU decision-making: Is able to reconcile even conflicting intrests of various EU states [Q7_12] .....	36
Views on EU decision-making: Tries to solve too many important issues simultaneously [Q7_13]	37
Views on EU decision-making: Deepens the gap between citizens and decision-makers even in Finland [Q7_14] .....	37
Views on EU decision-making: The whole decision-making system needs immediate reform / clarification [Q7_15] .....	38
Views on EU decision-making: Sooner or later is bound to reach the limits of its own impossibility [Q7_16] .....	38
Views on EU decision-making: Is no more bureaucratic than Finland's own decision-making procedures [Q7_17] .....	39
Views on EU decision-making: Is a way for managers of big companies and politicians to bypass democracy [Q7_18] .....	39
Views on EU decision-making: Good for Finland; without the EU, worse decisions would have been made [Q7_19] .....	40
Views on EU decision-making: The media favours the EU in its reporting [Q7_20] .....	40
Views on EU decision-making: Finnish decision-makers use the Union to cover up their own mistakes [Q7_21] .....	41
Views on EU decision-making: EU flag / EU passport unnecessary and inefficient in making EU seem closer to citizens [Q7_22] .....	41
Views on EU decision-making: The decision-making power of national governments should not be restricted [Q7_23] .....	42
Views on EU decision-making: Is so demanding that should be left to the real experts [Q7_24]	42
Views on EU decision-making: Can be expected to function only if EU deepens integration and develops into a Federal EU [Q7_25] .....	43
Views on EU decision-making: It is necessary to have a commissioner from every member country [Q7_26] .....	43
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Guarantee energy supplies, e.g. gas from the northern sea areas [Q8_1] .....	44

EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote cultural cooperation and travel [Q8_2]	44
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Tie Russia into closer cooperation with the EU [Q8_3]	45
EU's Northern Dimension project should: In the long term, promote Russia's EU membership [Q8_4]	45
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase Finland's status in the Union [Q8_5]	46
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase security in Northern Europe [Q8_6]	46
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote free movement of labour within the whole Northern Dimension area - from the Arctic Ocean to Poland [Q8_7]	47
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote the use of EU funding to repair environmental damage in Russia [Q8_8]	47
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to put Finland in a bridgehead position in the Russia - EU relations [Q8_9]	48
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on getting Karelia back as a part of Finnish territory [Q8_10]	48
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on cleaning up the Baltic Sea [Q8_11]	49
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Maintain good living conditions in the eastern and northern parts of Finland [Q8_12]	49
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to speed economic growth in Russia in order to increase trade [Q8_13]	50
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Baltic Sea region [Q8_14]	50
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Arctic region (especially Finland, Sweden, Norway and Russia) [Q8_15]	51
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce people's prejudices towards Russians [Q8_16]	51
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of NATO in the region [Q8_17]	52
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of the Russian mafia [Q8_18]	52
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase the safety of nuclear power in the region [Q8_19]	53
Respondent's sex [BV1]	53
Respondent's age group [BV2]	54
Size of the respondent's place of residence [BV3]	54
Respondent's province of residence [BV4]	55
Respondent's basic education [BV5]	55
Respondent's vocational education [BV6]	56
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group [BV7]	56
Respondent's membership of trade union [BV8]	57

### 3. Indexes

---

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV9] . . . . .	57
Suuralue (NUTS 2) [NUTS2] . . . . .	58

## 3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

During its EU presidency Finland should: Above all, work fairly and impartially during its chairmanship .....	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Attract foreign enterprises to Finland .....	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Bring EU's common social policy towards the Nordic concept .....	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Especially promote Estonia's EU membership ..	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on issues concerning the whole EU	21
During its EU presidency Finland should: Focus mainly on promoting its national interests	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Increase the knowledge of Finland in other EU countries .....	20
During its EU presidency Finland should: Preserve and increase vitality of national cultures	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU - Russia relations .....	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote EU enlargement .....	28
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote Finnish exports in all possible ways ....	22
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote gender equality within the Union .....	23
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of common EU foreign and security policy .....	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the creation of EU common employment policy .....	24
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote the efficiency of international crime prevention .....	25
During its EU presidency Finland should: Promote transparency in the EU .....	29
During its EU presidency Finland should: Reduce bureaucracy and complexity of administration in the EU .....	29
During its EU presidency Finland should: Secure viability of agriculture in Finland (and elsewhere) .....	27
During its EU presidency Finland should: Show how well our forests and the rest of the environment are cared for .....	26
During its EU presidency Finland should: Try and slow down projects not important for Finland	22
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on cleaning up the Baltic Sea .....	49
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus on getting Karelia back as a part of Finnish territory .....	48
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Arctic region (especially Finland, Sweden, Norway and Russia) .....	51
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Focus specifically on cooperation within the Baltic	

### 3. Indexes

---

Sea region .....	50
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Guarantee energy supplies, e.g. gas from the northern sea areas .....	44
EU's Northern Dimension project should: In the long term, promote Russia's EU membership	45
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase Finland's status in the Union .....	46
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase security in Northern Europe .....	46
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Increase the safety of nuclear power in the region	53
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Maintain good living conditions in the eastern and northern parts of Finland .....	49
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote cultural cooperation and travel .....	44
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote free movement of labour within the whole Northern Dimension area - from the Arctic Ocean to Poland .....	47
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Promote the use of EU funding to repair environmental damage in Russia .....	47
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce people's prejudices towards Russians ...	51
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of NATO in the region .....	52
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Reduce the role of the Russian mafia .....	52
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Tie Russia into closer cooperation with the EU ..	45
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to put Finland in a bridgehead position in the Russia - EU relations .....	48
EU's Northern Dimension project should: Try to speed economic growth in Russia in order to increase trade .....	50
FSD case id .....	6
FSD edition number .....	5
FSD study number .....	5
Has your attitude to EU membership changed after Finland became a member? .....	30
How interested are you at present/how closely do you follow matters related to Finland's EU membership? .....	6
How well informed do you feel about such issues? Do you feel... ..	6
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? .....	57
Respondent's age group .....	54
Respondent's basic education .....	55
Respondent's economic activity and occupational group .....	56
Respondent's membership of trade union .....	57
Respondent's province of residence .....	55
Respondent's sex .....	53



Respondent's vocational education .....	56
Size of the respondent's place of residence .....	54
Suuralue (NUTS 2) .....	58
Views on EU decision-making: Can be expected to function only if EU deepens integration and develops into a Federal EU .....	43
Views on EU decision-making: Complicated and difficult to understand .....	33
Views on EU decision-making: Deepens the gap between citizens and decision-makers even in Finland .....	37
Views on EU decision-making: EU Commission should be given more decision-making power	34
Views on EU decision-making: EU flag / EU passport unnecessary and inefficient in making EU seem closer to citizens .....	41
Views on EU decision-making: EU parliament should be given more decision-making power	34
Views on EU decision-making: Finland has very quickly learned the rules of EU decision-making; is an 'insider' .....	35
Views on EU decision-making: Finnish decision-makers use the Union to cover up their own mistakes .....	41
Views on EU decision-making: Functions well, considering the number and diversity of EU states .....	31
Views on EU decision-making: Good for Finland; without the EU, worse decisions would have been made .....	40
Views on EU decision-making: Is a way for managers of big companies and politicians to bypass democracy .....	39
Views on EU decision-making: Is able to reconcile even conflicting interests of various EU states	36
Views on EU decision-making: Is carried out in good cooperation between member states .	33
Views on EU decision-making: Is no more bureaucratic than Finland's own decision-making procedures .....	39
Views on EU decision-making: Is so demanding that should be left to the real experts .....	42
Views on EU decision-making: It is necessary to have a commissioner from every member country .....	43
Views on EU decision-making: Makes too many watered-down compromises which are of no use to anybody .....	35
Views on EU decision-making: Open and transparent .....	32
Views on EU decision-making: Slow and inefficient; decisions postponed from one meeting to another .....	31
Views on EU decision-making: Sooner or later is bound to reach the limits of its own impossibility .....	38

### 3. Indexes

---

Views on EU decision-making: The decision-making power of national governments should not be restricted .....	42
Views on EU decision-making: The media favours the EU in its reporting .....	40
Views on EU decision-making: The whole decision-making system needs immediate reform / clarification .....	38
Views on EU decision-making: Too often decisions are dictated by big member states .....	32
Views on EU decision-making: Too scrupulous, too many detailed regulations .....	36
Views on EU decision-making: Tries to solve too many important issues simultaneously ...	37
Views on EU membership: After the introduction of the euro, I will closely compare our prices and taxes to those of other countries .....	18
Views on EU membership: As a neighbour of the unstable Russia, it is safer for Finland to be an EU member than to be outside it .....	7
Views on EU membership: As an EU member Finland will eventually join a military alliance (NATO, WEU) .....	12
Views on EU membership: Candidates' views on the EU have a strong effect on whom I will vote for in the parliamentary elections next spring .....	15
Views on EU membership: Estonia's EU membership would weaken Finland's competitive position and reduce jobs in our country .....	9
Views on EU membership: EU funding should be used to subsidize Finland's remote rural areas rather than to support future member countries .....	11
Views on EU membership: EU member states should be able to influence European Central Bank's policy .....	16
Views on EU membership: EU membership fees are much too high compared with the advantages of membership .....	7
Views on EU membership: EU should prevent American and Japanese giant corporations from penetrating European markets .....	14
Views on EU membership: European Central Bank is surely going to succeed in fighting inflation .....	15
Views on EU membership: EU's nature conservation programme Natura infringes too much on the rights of Finnish landowners .....	19
Views on EU membership: Even if EU has its own problems, it is nevertheless the only power which can guide and control European development .....	8
Views on EU membership: Finland should be capable of ensuring its own security in all circumstances, others cannot help us .....	13
Views on EU membership: Finland should leave the EU .....	12
Views on EU membership: Finland should stay outside NATO, even if Sweden and the Baltic countries were to join .....	8
Views on EU membership: Finland's EU presidency right after the parliamentary elections is such a demanding task that the present government should carry on .....	19

Views on EU membership: Finland’s presidency of the EU makes no difference to Finns, since the doings of the leaders have no contact with the life of ordinary citizens ..... 18

Views on EU membership: Finnish government and parliament took quite a big risk in deciding to join the uncertain EMU ..... 17

Views on EU membership: It makes no difference whether our laws are made in the Finnish parliament or in the EU, as long as they are just ..... 14

Views on EU membership: It was the right decision for Finland to join EMU ..... 9

Views on EU membership: Regardless of cost, EU must participate in solving the environmental problems of future member countries in Eastern and Central Europe ..... 10

Views on EU membership: Sweden made a wise decision in deciding to stay outside EMU for the time being ..... 13

Views on EU membership: The decision to join EMU was good, as it proved that Finland is able to make decisions and changes, not just talk ..... 17

Views on EU membership: The fact that Finland takes over the EU presidency in 1999 will bring the Union closer to Finns ..... 10

Views on EU membership: The transition from the Finnish mark to the single currency euro will cause a lot of confusion and many practical problems ..... 16

Views on EU membership: There is enough reliable information available to everybody about matters related to Finland’s EU membership ..... 11

What is your present attitude towards Finland’s EU membership? ..... 30



# **Appendix A**

## **Questionnaire in Finnish**

---

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1052 EVAn EU-asennetutkimus 1998

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

---

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1052 Finnish EU Attitudes 1998

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

---



Pyydämme Teitä vastaamaan jokaiseen kysymykseen rengastamalla sen vaihtoehdon, joka vastaa Teidän henkilökohtaista mielipidettänne.

1. Kuinka kiinnostunut Te nykyisin olette/kuinka aktiivisesti seuraatte Suomen EU-jäsenyyteen liittyviä asioita?

- 1 Erittäin kiinnostunut, seuraan aktiivisesti
- 2 Melko kiinnostunut, seuraan jonkin verran
- 3 Vain vähän kiinnostunut, en juuri seuraa
- 4 En lainkaan kiinnostunut, en seuraa lainkaan
- 5 En osaa sanoa

2. Entä kuinka hyvin katsotte tuntevanne kyseisiä asioita? Oletteko niistä mielestänne perillä...

- 1 Erittäin hyvin
- 2 Melko hyvin
- 3 Melko huonosti
- 4 Erittäin huonosti
- 5 En osaa sanoa

3. Mitä mieltä olette seuraavista maamme EU-jäsenyyttä koskevista väitteistä?

	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Epävakaan Venäjän naapurina Suomen on turvallisempaa olla EUn jäsen kuin EUn ulkopuolella	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn jäsenmaksut ovat aivan liian korkeat jäsenyydestä saataviin etuihin nähden	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Vaikka EUlla onkin omat pulmansa, se on joka tapauksessa ainoa voima joka voi ohjata ja hallita Euroopan kehitystä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen tulee pysyttäytyä NATOn ulkopuolella siinäkin tapauksessa, että Ruotsi ja Baltian maat liittyisivät puolustusliittoon	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Viron EU-jäsenyys heikentäisi Suomen kilpailuasemaa ja veisi maastamme työpaikkoja	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen mukaanmeno EMUun oli oikea ratkaisu	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Kun Suomesta tulee vuorollaan EUn puheenjohtajamaa vuonna 1999, se tuo unionin lähemmäksi suomalaisia	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn on osallistuttava Itä- ja Keski-Euroopan tulevien jäsenmaiden ympäristöongelmien hoitoon, vaikka se tulisi kalliiksi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn rahoja olisi käytettävä mieluummin Suomen syrjäseutujen kuin tulevien jäsenmaiden tukemiseen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Maamme EU-jäsenyyteen liittyvistä asioista on jokaisen saatavilla riittävästi luotettavaa tietoa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen pitäisi erota EUsta	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn jäsenenä maamme liittyy ennen pitkää myös jonkin sotilasliiton (Nato, WEU) jäseneksi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen on kaikissa oloissa kyettävä vastaamaan itse turvallisuudestaan, muista ei ole siinä apua	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Ruotsi teki viisaasti jättäytyessään toistaiseksi EMUn ulkopuolelle	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
On oikeastaan yhdentekevää, säädetäänkö lakejamme eduskunnassa vaiko EUssa, kunhan ne vain ovat oikeudenmukaisia	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EUn on rajoitettava amerikkalaisten ja japanilaisten jättiyritysten Euroopan valloitusta	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Ehdokkaiden EU-kannat vaikuttavat vahvasti siihen, ketä äänestän ensi kevään eduskuntavaaleissa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5

(jatkuu...)	Täysin samaa mieltä	Jokseenkin samaa mieltä	Vaikea sanoa	Jokseenkin eri mieltä	Täysin eri mieltä
Euroopan keskuspankki tulee varmasti onnistumaan inflaation torjunnassa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Jäsenvaltioiden on voitava vaikuttaa Euroopan keskuspankin politiikkaan	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Siirtyminen markasta euroon aiheuttaa maassamme paljon sekaannusta ja suuria käytännön ongelmia	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen hallitus ja eduskunta ottivat melkoisen riskin päättäessään mukaanmenosta epävarmaan EMUun	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EMU-päätös oli hyvä, sillä se osoitti, että maassamme kyetään päätöksiin ja muutoksiin, ei pelkkään puhumiseen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Kun euro on käytössä, tulen tarkkaan vertaamaan hintojamme ja verojamme muiden maiden vastaaviin	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen puheenjohtajuus EU:ssa on kansalaisille yhdentekevä, sillä johtajien puuhilla ei ole kosketuskohtaa tavallisen kansalaisen elämään	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Suomen puheenjohtajuus EU:ssa heti eduskuntavaalien jälkeen on niin vaativa tehtävä, että nykyisen hallituksen tulisi jatkaa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
EU:n Natura -luonnonsuojeluohjelma loukkaa liiaksi suomalaisten maanomistajien oikeuksia	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5

4. Suomi on EU:n puheenjohtajamaa vuoden 1999 loppupuolen. Suomalaiset ovat puolen vuoden ajan puheenjohtajina unionin toimielimissä ja Suomessakin on yli 200 kokousta ainakin yhden huippukokouksen lisäksi. Mitä Suomen ja suomalaisten Teidän mielestänne tulisi tehdä puheenjohtajuuskauden aikana?

	Erittäin tärkeä	Melko tärkeä	Vaikea sanoa	Ei kovin tärkeä	Ei lainkaan tärkeä
Lisätä maamme tuntemusta unionin muissa jäsenmaissa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Keskittyä pääasiassa kansallisten etujen ajamiseen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Keskittyä pääasiassa koko unionia koskeviin kysymyksiin	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Toimia ennen muuta tasapuolisena kokousisäntänä	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää vientiämme kaikin mahdollisin keinoin	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Jarruttaa Suomelle vähemmän tärkeitä hankkeita	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää EU:n ja Venäjän välisiä suhteita	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää sukupuolten välistä tasa-arvoa unionissa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää EU:n yhteisen ulko- ja turvallisuuspolitiikan luomista	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää EU:n yhteisen työllisyyspolitiikan luomista	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Vahvistaa kansallisten kulttuurien säilymistä elinvoimaisina	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Tehostaa kansainvälisen rikollisuuden torjuntaa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Osoittaa miten hyvin metsiämme ja muuta ympäristöämme hoidetaan	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää EU:n yhteistä sosiaalipolitiikkaa pohjoismaiden mallin suuntaan	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Houkutella ulkomaalaisia yrityksiä Suomeen	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Turvata maatalouden elinkelpoisuus myös Suomessa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Toimia EU:n laajentumisen hyväksi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Ajaa erityisesti Viron pääsyä EU:n jäseneksi	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Vähentää virkavaltaisuutta ja hallinnon monimutkaisuutta EU:ssa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5
Edistää avoimuutta EU:n toiminnassa	1 .....	2 .....	3 .....	4 .....	5

5. Miten Te suhtaudutte nykyisiin maamme EU-jäsenyyteen?

- 1 Erittäin myönteisesti
- 2 Melko myönteisesti
- 3 Neutraalisti/ei myönt. eikä kielt.
- 4 Melko kielteisesti
- 5 Erittäin kielteisesti
- 6 En osaa sanoa

6. Onko suhtautumisenne EU-jäsenyyteen muuttunut sen voimassaoloaikana?

- 1 Myönteistynyt paljon
- 2 Myönteistynyt hieman
- 3 Pysynyt ennallaan/ei muutosta
- 4 Kielteistynyt hieman
- 5 Kielteistynyt paljon
- 6 En osaa sanoa



7. EUn toimivuus ja tuleva kehitys riippuvat ratkaisevasti sen päätöksenteosta - miten ja millaisia päätöksiä unionissa tehdään. Miten seuraavat luonnehdinnat vastaavat Teidän henkilökohtaista käsitystänne EUn päätöksenteosta?

	Vastaa omaa näkemystä...				
	Pitkälti/ täysin	Jossain määrin	Vaikea sanoa	Ei juu- rikaan	Ei lain- kaan
Jäsenmaiden lukumäärä ja erilaisuus huomioon ottaen hyvin toimivaa	1	2	3	4	5
Hidasta ja tehotonta, ratkaisuja vain siirretään kokouksesta toiseen	1	2	3	4	5
Tapahtuu liiaksi suurimpien jäsenmaiden ehdoilla	1	2	3	4	5
Avointa ja läpinäkyvää	1	2	3	4	5
Monimutkaista ja vaikeaselkoista	1	2	3	4	5
Tapahtuu hyvässä yhteishengessä jäsenmaiden kesken	1	2	3	4	5
EU-parlamentin pitäisi saada enemmän päätösvaltaa	1	2	3	4	5
Komission pitäisi saada enemmän päätösvaltaa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomi on päässyt nopeasti ja hyvin 'sisään' EUn päätöksentekoon	1	2	3	4	5
Tuottaa vesittyneitä kompromisseja, jotka eivät ole hyviä yhdellekään maalle	1	2	3	4	5
Liian pikkutarkkaa ja yksityiskohtaisiin säädöksiin menevää	1	2	3	4	5
Kykenee sovittamaan hyvin yhteen eri maiden erilaiset, ristiriitaisetkin intressit	1	2	3	4	5
Pyrkii ratkaisemaan liian monia suuria asioita yhtä aikaa	1	2	3	4	5
Syventää päättäjien ja kansalaisten kuilua myös omassa maassamme	1	2	3	4	5
Koko päätöksentekojärjestelmä kaipaa pikaista uudistamista/selkeyttämistä	1	2	3	4	5
Tukehtuu ajan myötä omaan mahdottomuuteensa	1	2	3	4	5
Ei sen byrokraattisempaa kuin oman maamme päätöksenteko	1	2	3	4	5
Johtavien poliitikkojen ja suuryritysten johtajien tapa kiertää demokratiaa	1	2	3	4	5
Suomelle hyvä, sillä ilman EU-jäs. maassamme olisi tehty huonompia päätöksiä	1	2	3	4	5
Tiedotusvälineet kertovat liikaa myönteisiä asioita EUsta	1	2	3	4	5
Suomalaiset päättäjät vetoavat liikaa unioniin omien virheidensä peittämiseksi	1	2	3	4	5
EUn lippu, EU-passi yms ovat turhia yrityksiä saada EU tuntumaan läheiseltä	1	2	3	4	5
Jäsenmaiden hallitusten päätösvaltaa ei tulisi EUssa vähentää	1	2	3	4	5
Niin vaativaa, että jätettävä todellisille asiantuntijoille	1	2	3	4	5
Toimii vain, mikäli EU kehittyy kohti liittovaltiota	1	2	3	4	5
Tarvitsee välttämättä komission jäsenen jokaisesta maasta	1	2	3	4	5

8. Suomi on tehnyt aloitteen EUn ns. pohjoisesta ulottuvuudesta. Käsitteellä tarkoitetaan erilaisia (myöhemmin tarkemmin määriteltäviä) yhteistyömuotoja pohjoisten alueiden vakauden ja hyvinvoinnin edistämiseksi. Miten seuraavia asioita tulisi Teidän mielestänne korostaa hankkeen kehittämissä?

	Erittäin tärkeä	Melko tärkeä	Vaikea sanoa	Ei kovin tärkeä	Ei lainkaan tärkeä
Energian, mm. kaasun saannin turvaaminen pohjoisilta merialueilta	1	2	3	4	5
Kulttuuriyhteistyön ja matkailun edistäminen	1	2	3	4	5
Venäjän sitominen yhteistyöhön, EUn yhteyksien lisääminen siihen	1	2	3	4	5
Venäjän jäsenyys EUssa pitkällä aikavälillä	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen painoarvon nostaminen unionissa	1	2	3	4	5
Pohjois-Euroopan turvallisuuden lisääminen	1	2	3	4	5
Työvoiman vapaa liikkuvuus koko alueella Jäämereltä Puolaan	1	2	3	4	5
Ympäristötuhojen korjaaminen Venäjällä EUn taloudellisella avulla	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen määrätietoinen kehittäminen unionin sillanpääasemaksi Venäjään päin	1	2	3	4	5
Karjalan takaisin saaminen Suomelle	1	2	3	4	5
Itämeren puhdistaminen	1	2	3	4	5
Suomen itä- ja pohjoisosien elinmahdollisuuksien turvaaminen	1	2	3	4	5
Venäjän taloudellinen nostaminen mahdollisimman pian, jotta kauppa laajenisi	1	2	3	4	5
Keskittyminen erityisesti Itämeren alueen yhteistyöhön	1	2	3	4	5
Keskittyminen arktisen alueen (etenkin Suomi, Ruotsi, Norja, Venäjä) yhteistyöhön	1	2	3	4	5
Venäläisiin kohdistuvien ennakkoluulojen hälventäminen	1	2	3	4	5
NATOn merkityksen vähentäminen	1	2	3	4	5
Venäjän mafian merkityksen vähentäminen	1	2	3	4	5
Ydinvoiman turvallisuuden lisääminen alueella	1	2	3	4	5

## VASTAAJIEN TAUSTATIEDOT AINEISTON TILASTOLLISTA RYHMITTELYÄ VARTEN

### Sukupuoli

- 1 Mies
- 2 Nainen

### Ikäryhmä

- 1 18 - 25 vuotta
- 2 26 - 35 vuotta
- 3 36 - 45 vuotta
- 4 46 - 55 vuotta
- 5 56 - 65 vuotta
- 6 Yli 65 vuotta

### Asuinkunnan koko

- 1 Alle 4 000 asukasta
- 2 4 000 - 8 000 asukasta
- 3 8 000 - 30 000 asukasta
- 4 30 000 - 80 000 asukasta
- 5 Yli 80 000 asukasta

### Maakunta, jonka alueella asutte

- 1 Uusimaa
- 2 Itä-Uusimaa
- 3 Varsinais-Suomi
- 4 Satakunta
- 5 Häme
- 6 Pirkanmaa
- 7 Päijät-Häme
- 8 Kymenlaakso
- 9 Etelä-Karjala
- 10 Etelä-Savo
- 11 Pohjois-Savo
- 12 Pohjois-Karjala
- 13 Keski-Suomi
- 14 Etelä-Pohjanmaa
- 15 Vaasan rannikkoseutu
- 16 Keski-Pohjanmaa
- 17 Pohjois-Pohjanmaa
- 18 Kainuu
- 19 Lappi

### Millainen peruskoulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Kansakoulu
- 2 Keski- tai peruskoulu
- 3 Ylioppilastutkinto

### Millainen ammatillinen koulutus Teillä on?

- 1 Ei ammatillista koulutusta
- 2 Ammattikurssi, muu lyhyt ammattikoulutus
- 3 Ammattikoulu, kauppakoulu tai vastaava
- 4 Opistotasoinen ammattikoulutus
- 5 Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto

### Ammattiryhmä, johon katsotte lähinnä kuuluvan

- 1 Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa
- 2 Ylempi toimihenkilö
- 3 Alempi toimihenkilö
- 4 Työntekijä
- 5 Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharjoittaja
- 6 Maatalousyrittäjä
- 7 Opiskelija
- 8 Eläkeläinen
- 9 Kotiäiti/koti-isä
- 10 Työtön
- 11 Muu

### Kuulutteko johonkin ammatilliseen keskusjärjestöön?

- 1 En kuulu
- 2 Kyllä, ammatillinen keskusjärjestöni on
  - A) SAK
  - B) STTK
  - C) Akava
  - D) MTK

### Jos eduskuntavaalit pidettäisiin nyt, minkä puolueen ehdokasta äänestäisitte?

- 1 SDP
- 2 KESK
- 3 KOK
- 4 Vasemmistoliitto
- 5 RKP
- 6 Vihreät
- 7 SKL
- 8 Nuorsuomalaiset
- 9 Perussuomalaiset
- 10 Jokin muu
- 11 En äänestäisi lainkaan
- 12 En osaa sanoa
- 13 En halua sanoa

### KOMMENTTEJA?

Kaikki mielipiteet EU-kysymyksestä tai tästä tutkimuksesta ovat tervetulleita ja arvokkaita.

---

---

---

---

---

**KIITOKSET VAIVANNÄÖSTÄ!**

Palauttakaa tämä lomake oheisessa kirjekuoressa.